



City of Columbia, Missouri

Meeting Minutes

Planning and Zoning Commission

Thursday, September 21, 2023
7:00 PM

Regular Meeting

Council Chambers
Columbia City Hall
701 E. Broadway

I. CALL TO ORDER

MS. GEUEA JONES: I will now call to order the September 21st 2023 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting. Thank you, everyone, for your patience. We were wrapping up a very productive work session.

II. INTRODUCTIONS

MS. GEUEA JONES: We're going to start with introductions. Usually, this is just the roll call, but we have a new planner. If you would be willing to be introduced, we would love to meet you.

MR. ZENNER: I was going --

MS. GEUEA JONES: If not, that's okay.

MR. ZENNER: I would have taken care of that in the work session, but I -- we ran out of time, obviously.

MS. GEUEA JONES: For sure.

MR. ZENNER: So, Kiaan, come on up here so we can get you on camera for the public to see. As I had indicated at the end of our last work session, I have been fortunate enough to be able to bring two folks on. My first hire that we have brought is Kiaan Ahamed. He joins us from the San Francisco Bay area. He has a degree in planning, urban design -- urban studies, I should say, and we are pleased to have him here. You have given him an introduction tonight to the process. This evening has been a wonderful opportunity to have him see a little bit about how the end of a project works. We're working with him to train him. We are missing a couple of our Planning Commissioners, two of them, but this is the bulk that you'll get to speak with.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Welcome. We look forward to working with you.

MR. AHAMED: I look forward to it, too. Thank you.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you. With that, Commissioner Carroll, could we have a roll call.

MS. CARROLL: Commissioner Placier?

MS. PLACIER: Here.

MS. CARROLL: Commissioner Ford?

MR. FORD: Here.

MS. CARROLL: Commissioner Wilson? Commissioner Loe? Commissioner Stanton?

MR. STANTON: Here.

MS. CARROLL: Commissioner Dunn?

MR. DUNN: Here.

MS. CARROLL: Commissioner MacMann?

MR. MACMANN: Present.

MS. CARROLL: I am here. Commissioner Geuea Jones?

MS. GEUEA JONES: Here.

MS. CARROLL: We have seven; we have a quorum.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you.

Present: 7 - Anthony Stanton, Michael MacMann, Valerie Carroll, Sharon Geuea Jones, Peggy Placier, Zack Dunn and Matt Ford

Excused: 2 - Sara Loe and Shannon Wilson

III. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MS. GEUEA JONES: Are there any changes or adjustments to the agenda, Mr. Zenner?

MR. ZENNER: No, there are not, ma'am.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Is there a motion to approve the agenda?

MR. MACMANN: Move to approve.

MR. DUNN: Second.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Moved by Commissioner MacMann, seconded by Commissioner Stanton [sic]. Thumbs up approval of the agenda, please?

(Unanimous vote for approval.)

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you very much.

MS. GEUEA JONES: We had previously approved the minutes from the last regular session, so we'll move straight to our single case for the evening.

Move to approve

IV. PUBLIC HEARINGS

Case # 109-2023

A request by A Civil Group (agent), on behalf of UPT5611COMO, LLC (owner), seeking approval of a "design adjustment" that would permit a proposed lot with less than 300-feet of frontage to have individual driveway access which is not permitted by section 29-5.1(f)(1)(iv)(D) of the Unified

Development Code. The subject site is located southwest of the Waco Road and Brown Station intersection and is shown as Lot 104 of the proposed final plat for Uptown Business Park, Plat 1.

MS. GEUEA JONES: May we please have a staff report.

Staff report was given by Mr. Pat Zenner of the Planning and Development Department. Staff recommends approval of the requested design adjustment.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you. Before we go to questions for staff, if any of my fellow Commissioners have had any contact with parties to this case outside of this public meeting, please disclose so now. Seeing none. Any questions for staff? Commissioner MacMann?

MR. MACMANN: Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Zenner, some of this will be a Mr. Gebhardt question. We have a proposed plat in our packet. Right? That's not the plat. I don't have -- generally don't have a problem with this, but just I'm concerned about the plat getting changed later and we come in to tweak plats because we have different -- some of that will depend upon, and I don't know this. Maybe Mr. Gebhardt can answer this question. Do they have these lots leased yet? They're certainly not underleased, or we would know that. These are my general questions. I don't have a -- you know, it's a low impact area, that -- it's fine. I'm just wondering about having to approve a plat later, someone trying to carve it up.

MR. ZENNER: No. The only lot that I am aware, based on the developed environment here that is actively being used as the one that is immediately to the north, Lot 103, of the plat, and that is actually part of the original Dell Jones Plat Number 2. That was part -- that lot is being reconfigured in the Uptown Business Park Plat, but that was a legal lot already. So the building that is on that was legally permitted, legally authorized the access that is currently showing or has, and the replat, basically, is just adjusting the lot lines around that lot in order to carve up the extra lots, four, if I'm not incorrect, four extra lots out of the development acreage. So, no. Lot 104 at this point is not, to my knowledge, under a lease at all. It is proposed. The action here by the Board or by the -- by the Planning Commission will actually be attached. It'll be joined with the replat which goes directly to Council. So the way that this process works is we will have to submit a separate design adjustment report that will then have its own ordinance number. We can potentially have Mr. Gebhardt update the plat we received with specific language that indicates that the plat has been approved with the design adjustment subject to ordinance number relating to Lot 104, and I believe that that actually is already on the plat because we anticipated that this was going to need to be addressed. And that would then convey forward for any future subdivision or re-subdivision actions.

MR. MACMANN: All right. As long as we're tied in, and I just have one more -- well, it's more of a comment, though. As a concept, I'm all about internal driveways. As a practical matter, no. So I'm glad you didn't go that route. Thank you, Madam Chair.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you. Commissioner Dunn?

MR. DUNN: Looking at the triggering of construction of sidewalks, when that is triggered, is that burden on the City or is that going to be on the developer for this development?

MR. ZENNER: That's on the developer.

MR. DUNN: Okay. Thank you.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Any other questions for staff? Seeing none. We will open the floor to public hearing.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

MS. GEUEA JONES: Please come forward. You know the drill.

MR. GEBHARDT: Yes, I do. My name is Jay Gebhardt; I'm a civil engineer and land surveyor with A Civil Group here in Columbia, Missouri, at 3401 Broadway Business Park Court. I'm in agreement with staff's information in the staff report, and my question was centrally located on Lot 104 leaves some wiggle room, and maybe that's okay. And I just wanted to also point out some numbers in the staff report. It's -- it indicates that the -- if it's centered on the lot, that it would be approximately 500 feet from the existing driveway, but the actual numbers work out. If Lot 103 is 283 -- 283 feet wide, it's 37 feet to the driveway, and then you add in the 110 feet for the center, and you get 356 feet. So that would be center to center, and -- and we're okay with that. If we wanted to be a little bit more definitive for -- because I may not be the engineer working on this, it would -- it could say, you know, 300 feet separation center line to center line, just 356, or 300 -- whatever you want to use. And to answer your question, Mike, the Note Number 7 on the plat says the center line -- here it is -- access to Lot 104 shall be provided as defined in the associated design adjustment. So it's on the plat. We don't need necessarily -- Pat's already anticipated that, and we have that language.

MR. MACMANN: I just -- just I's and T's, Jay. That's all I'm doing here.

MR. GEBHARDT: Yeah.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Commissioner MacMann?

MR. MACMANN: I'm sorry.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Are you done, Jay?

MR. GEBHARDT: What?

MS. GEUEA JONES: Are you done?

MR. GEBHARDT: Yeah. Pretty much.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Okay. In that case, Commissioner MacMann, did you have a comment or question for this speaker?

MR. MACMANN: I believe I've already spoken out -- out of turn.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Okay. Does anyone else have any questions for this speaker? Seeing none. Thank you very much.

MR. GEBHARDT: Thank you.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Are there any other members of the public that wish to speak on this case? Seeing none. We'll close public hearing.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

MS. GEUEA JONES: Are there any Commissioner comments? I don't see anyone. Would anyone like to make a motion? Commissioner MacMann?

MR. MACMANN: I will make a motion. And Mr. Stanton, did you raise your hand to speak? I didn't want to interrupt you.

MS. GEUEA JONES: I'm sorry.

MR. STANTON: No, sir. You go ahead.

MR. MACMANN: Or you were going to make a motion. Okay. I just want to say that, before I make my motion to approve this design adjustment, right now, this is fine. This is a low footprint area. But in the future, having these driveways in another location could well be problematic. And it is my hope that as this builds out in the next ten or twenty years, it is not. All of that said, Mr. Zenner, could you show me the title page, so I get these numbers right?

MR. ZENNER: I apologize. There we go.

MR. MACMANN: In the matter of Uptown Business Park, Plat 1, design adjustment, I move to approve, and I'm going to hold my sentence for a minute and look at legal to say I don't need to make multiple motions on this?

MS. THOMPSON: No.

MR. MACMANN: I move to approve.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Subject to?

MR. STANTON: Bottom, Mike.

MR. MACMANN: Subject to the future driveway being centrally placed on Lot 104, and that's as determined when allowed by staff.

MR. STANTON: Second.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Moved by Commissioner MacMann, seconded by Commissioner Stanton. Any discussion on the motion? Seeing none. Commissioner Carroll, when you are ready, may we have a roll call?

Roll Call Vote (Voting "yes" is to recommend approval.) Voting Yes: Ms.

Placier, Mr. Ford, Mr. Stanton, Mr. Dunn, Mr. MacMann, Ms. Carroll, Ms. Geuea

Jones. Motion carries 7-0.

MS. CARROLL: We have seven to approve, the motion carries.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you. Is there any other motion on this case? Seeing none. That recommendation will be forwarded to City Council. Moving on. That was our only case for this evening.

In the matter of Uptown Business Park, Plat 1, design adjustment, I move to approve, subject to the future driveway being centrally placed on Lot 104 as determined by staff.

Yes: 7 - Stanton, MacMann, Carroll, Geuea Jones, Placier, Dunn and Ford

Excused: 2 - Loe and Wilson

V. PUBLIC COMMENTS

MS. GEUEA JONES: Are there any general public comments that anyone would like to make? Seeing none.

VI. STAFF COMMENTS

MS. GEUEA JONES: Staff comments, Mr. Zenner?

MR. ZENNER: The next meeting is October 5, and we have a little bit more work to do on that agenda. We have three upcoming cases. That may be whittled down to two before we have hearing. Gibbs Road, that is a permanent zoning request, and we will show you the map here momentarily. It contains three different zoning classifications. This is on the north side of Interstate 70 just to the west of Sorrell's overpass, so north of the outer road as well. The second item that we have on the agenda is a major planned district amendment. This is the West Broadway or Fairview Marketplace Walmart property. The major amendment has to deal with the Starbuck's end cap that is there at the intersection of Fairview and West Broadway. That is the only -- well, that is the only planned change. The actual statement of intent will be being updated to become compliant with our current UDC standards, so it will have some other material associated with it. In checking on this project this morning, it appears as though we may be experiencing a delay on getting our comments responded back to, so it is possible that this one may be deferred, but because it has been publicly advertised, it will come before you with a request for tabling, if that is the case. And then the final item that you see here on the agenda is the item that we discussed at the September 7th work session; that is the substandard lot activation text amendment as amended, as we discussed it. That has been advertised and it will be brought forward to the Commission for action. I will continue to work on the title of that report, not referring to it as substandard lot

activation. We will figure out another catchy title for it. However, that was what I had in my system at the time I was doing this preparation for the PowerPoint. Your maps for the two cases, just familiarize yourself with where this property is -- where the properties are located. You will notice the map on the left has got multiple hatched areas within it. Those represent, obviously, the different zoning classifications, so this is a true mixed-use request for permanent zoning, R-1, R-2, and R-MF, all on the same parcel. It is accessed generally off of Barberry Avenue, and then Gibbs Road on the western side of the property. Again, this is north of the Sorrell's overpass location at Interstate 70. We recently took in an annexation agreement parcel just to the west of this off of Chapman Lane. And then the property on the right is the plan amendment that deals with the Starbucks end cap that is here on this outbuilding at Fairview Marketplace there at the corner of Fairview and West Broadway. As we indicated at the beginning of the meeting, we are welcoming aboard Kiaan Ahamed, our newest planner to join our staff, and we'll have another planner joining our staff September 9, so they will be here for your second meeting in September to be introduced. And with that, that is all we have to offer for this evening. Thank you very much for our work today in work session.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you.

VII. COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

MS. GEUEA JONES: Are there any Commissioner comments?

MR. MACMANN: I have one. I apologize for speaking out of turn. Got a little casual there.

VIII. NEXT MEETING DATE - October 5, 2023 @ 7 pm (tentative)

IX. ADJOURNMENT

MR. MACMANN: And if my fellow Commissioners have no other comments or concerns, I move to adjourn.

MR. STANTON: Second.

MR. DUNN: Second.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Moved by Commissioner MacMann, seconded by Commissioner Stanton. Without objection, we stand adjourned.

(The meeting adjourned at 7:40 p.m.)

(Off the record.)

Move to adjourn