

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1	2	3	1	2-story interior.	see thru appearance.	Nothing special.	Convenience of security operation. Food service options. General appearance. Comfort.
2	3	2	1	The furniture on the interior	All the glass at entrance	All the glass at entrance	The space on the interior and the front entrance
3	2	3	1	I like the double awning on the facade	The clerestory allowing light to flood in	The tiered / lit walkway is lovely	Ease of use
4	2	3	1	Openness	Exterior covered walkway, liked.	Brightness in the interior.	Space to move around but still accessible
5	2	1	3	Neutral colors, easy to navigate design. Pleasing to the eye.	Lots of windows and natural light	I like the overhang area for pickup/drop offs at the entrance.	Easy to navigate, neutral color scheme, signage/map
6	2	1	3	Light, welcoming look that allows you to see what is going on inside. Like the materials used and connection to Lewis and Clark and local history. A little concerned about light pollution.	Like energy efficiency. Two-story design seems similar to Belleville, IL airport and that works well. Although harder on people with mobility issues. Like level entry (rather than raised).	Like compact footprint and ground boarding option. Also like increased parking space.	Easy access to parking and building. Clear signage with areas set up for easy use without having to walk from one end to the other. Areas set up to avoid crowding. Energy efficiency and least disruption of environment.
7	3	2	1	cantilever awning	height of awning	nothing I think it looks like a corporate building	modern and accessible
8	1	2	3	I love all the windows and bright colors of the materials of the exterior; I appreciate the organized flow inside Option A with openness to the second floor.	N/A	N/A	Easy to navigate; clear flow; accessible
9	3	1	2	Like the openness of the interior and the outside	Nothing	Nothing	Easy drop off and pick up and plenty of parking
10	3	1	2	The openness on the inside I also like the historic aspect that's used	At least it seems open inside like option b	Nothing stands out to me	Function and easiness to see. Where everything is
11	3	2	1	Option C reflects the reality of our ability to pay. We need space and functionality. Simple as that.	I think this project will cost too much money. It is beautiful, to be sure, but knowing our community's fiscal situation, it seems to grand. I've been involved in two large-scale building additions/renovations in Columbia. Each time, the architectural firms involved asked for people's dream lists of features and tried to make them reality. In each case, the entities were left with huge debts. I now believe in frugal design-planning.	Option A is way too grand. We will never be able to pay for it. While I do not have the specs for these projects, it appears that Option A would cost too much. Having been involved in two large building and renovation projects in Columbia, I have been burned by cost overrides that had their basis in extravagant architectural designs. In each case, the organization was left with much heavier debt burdens than expected. Columbia's project needs to align with our abilities to pay for it.	functionality (space) and safety
12	3	2	1	The exterior awnings.	The atrium.	Glass exposure, openness.	Get in and out easily.
13	1	2	3	Second-story boarding with a more efficient, compact footprint, and the ability to integrate art into the design.	High, clerestory windows (which I also like about option A) and identified quiet rooms.	Drop off lanes and separate arrival and departure spaces to make for less congestion, and expanded parking.	Security screening that's been placed meaningfully to allow efficient boarding, a sound system engineered so that boarding announcements can be clearly heard without cranking the volume, and unambiguous wayfinding for departing gates / flights.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
14	2	1	3	Tough to tell without floor plans but it seems like this option has quick easy access to from entry to gates on one level. Beautiful design features make it good balance of welcoming and functional	Reduced footprint and site flexibility. Im not a fan of the idea for 2 stories and having to deal with escalators and elevators though.	This seems to be the cheapest option by far.	Functional. Easy to use. Flexible for future phases. Some character and identification of Central Missouri. Welcoming to visitors
15	1	2	3	I like that it has a second story which helps it have a more metropolitan feel. I also like how much natural light is let in due to all the glass. All around best looking option by far, in my opinion, inside and out! Very sleek and modern! Would be proud of it.	Though Option B is MUCH more appealing than Option C, there isn't anything I'd steal from it to incorporate into Option A.	Option C, which is by far looks-wise my least favorite, offers many well thought out features for the different areas (e.g. historic aviation memorabilia super graphics) in their proposal. I hope the other two options are being equally thoughtful.	*Ease of use *Light, bright and airy. --A lot of airports look and feel depressing. I think finding the right lighting will be key. *Plenty of seating and bathrooms.
16	2	1	3	Option B has a larger feel to it.	Option A has a classic design.	none.	Features that give the airport a bigger feel to it. I would like it to feel like an airport and not a bus stop. I think it would be more valued having an "airport" feel.
17	1	2	3	Best option for larger aircraft jet bridges	History of Columbia aviation display	none	Functionality, make sure the building doesn't become obsolete in just a few years. Incorporating any of the old terminal would be a mistake.
18	2	3	1	Both the interior or exterior are very practical, and will fit the budget well	I like the full front oning, but being two stories doesn't make sense	The glass looks cool, but impractical	Functionality while staying in the budget
19	2	1	3	The space inside the lobby area is very nice. It makes the space feel very large	I like all the glass and easy access to the second floor.	The structure of the interior with the spaces for development	Ease through security
20	2	3	1	Interior bright and open good use of space. Exterior - like that it does not look like every other new building going in. Has a more inviting feel, warmer	The longer overhangs	looks like an institution or school, do not like that	easy wayfinding, easy access, open spaces
21	3	2	1	Efficient use of existing space with updates.	Raised roof looks bright and open, maybe reducing need for artificial lighting?	Use of building materials that help keep building cool in summer (is this a problem in winter?)	Enough space for multiple drop-off/pick-up. Easy drop-off/pick-up. Fast check-in, fast exit once landed. In this area, climate control mid-summer/mid-winter! Inexpensive parking. Preferably free parking for pick-ups.
22	1	2	3	two floors	glass	brick outside	easy to navigate
23	3	2	1	It looks contemporary and the entries give a sense of flight or wings with the way they are sloped. It is the most visually interesting.	I think the red brick in option b could be nice in my preferred option c. I would suggest a different color scheme for the interior finishes - not so many primary colors.	None - it looks too much like a warehouse.	Openness, light, ease of circulation through the building and clarity on where to go. Nice looking, but not overly extravagant since our tax dollars help pay for it.
24	2	1	3	Modern - warm and inviting atmosphere. Some color with going too much one way or the other. Quite zone is a nice touch.	Bright and light. Ability to expand in the future easily.	Maybe some of the seating arrangements shown.	Plenty of seating and charging areas. Place to grab drinks or food. Space and openness so passengers aren't packed into walkways or seating.
25	1	3	2	Brighter, lighter and more inviting exterior and interior.	Separate entrances for departures & arrivals.	Open and inviting entry.	Ease of movement inside the terminal, with good lighting and signage.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
26	1	2	3	I appreciated the focus on energy efficiency. I also like the idea of boarding from the second story because it's more in line with what I'm used to at airports.	I absolutely love the idea of calling the lobby the Gateway and the prospect of incorporating local history and art. This was a close second, and genuinely looks more like a stereotypical airport, but my first option edged ahead because of energy benefits of being compact.	Because there were more renderings, I was able to imagine it more, and I like having three drop off lanes because if we are growing, it'd be nice to have a expanded system. I just didn't really see the three lanes or how it'd work from the renderings for Option C and that's what it had going for it.	Charging ports and free wi-fi. Since not all planes have charging ports and our society uses technology at a high rate, it should slowly become the norm to have charging ports in as many places as possible. Wi-fi because when I travel to other airports, I always appreciate being able to hop on, especially international airports, so I can let people know I've arrived (since I probably won't have been able to get a phone plan yet). As for the design features, I like natural sunlight and windows to see out; whether I'm leaving home or arriving somewhere new, it's nice to be able to see my surroundings as I'm navigating an airport, even if it's just an empty field. I also sincerely, sincerely appreciate energy efficiency. Flying already harms the environment so much, the least we can do is make terminals as eco-friendly as possible.
27	2	1	3	The openness	The white coloring	Nothing	Modern, efficient
28	3	2	1	Functionality	Greater outside coverage in case of weather	More open to natural light	Ease of getting through security
29	1	3	2	Openness and glass. Very modern and aviation related architecture. Bright. The others look like school houses.	Separate openings for arrivals and departures.	2 and 3 look like 1950-60s school buildings or warehouses.	Separation of departures and arrivals. Good restaurants, Open spaces, easy access for drop off and pickups.
30	2	3	1	Less modern, more traditional.	Like the exterior awning, covering the full area outside of the building.	Interior, expansive view of airfield.	Easy drop-off and pick-up area in front of building.
31	1	2	3	2 story	the "large" look	looks to be only one entry/ exit door	Ease of flow thru security, to the gates, and to baggage claim
32	1	2	3	I like the compact two-story, light-filled building design. Solar control shutters for Western exposure and large entrance canopy are nice touches. The upper level views would make for a calm pre-board atmosphere.	I especially liked the quiet zone feature, along with natural wood elements and organic carpet pattern.	I like the multi vehicle type drop off lanes and clearly designated Arrival/Departure areas. Inside, I like the wood components of the design.	Easy access, logical interior flow, and calm passenger areas. Bonus points for nature inspired colors, textures & sounds.
33	3	1	2	I love love option B but I definitely feel it should have the brick exterior like option C because it will allow for more protection in case of bad weather.	N/A	N/A	A lot lot more parking to be available
34	2	1	3	Warm feeling and inviting. Easy access through security	Modern looking and interesting interior design	Uninteresting	Easy access. Well thought out check in and security check. Nice waiting area. Appealing interior and exterior
35	3	2	1	I like how it using super big bit it is still big enough	I like all the windows	I like the words above the door	Having good seating with electrical plugs.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
36	3	2	1	This design fits in with the context of the original airport. The interior finishes (including the supergraphics) provide a nice balance of openness and warmth	The escalator seems very expensive and may not be required for an airport of this size.	I like all the glass but am sure it is very expensive and not sustainable.	Reflecting our community past and present.
37	2	3	1	Big windows	awesome upper windows and open feel.	Less natural lighting in lower areas (wings), only in the center core.	functional, economical, and great natural lighting.
38	2	3	1	Clerestory glazing. Seems most economical design.	Clerestory glazing and vertical circulation to walk small distance & have building with small foot print.	Bigger dropoff canopy to connect arrival and departure entrances, and have seating situated below this canopy.	Good natural lighting with clerestory glazing and light tubes (e.g. sun tunnels like from solatube).
39	1	3	2	I like the having the second level for security and boarding. I like the white interior and windows.	I like the red accents on the walls and views of the runway/tarmac.	The windows at the top are pretty much the only part I really like about this design.	Easy traffic flow. Boarding through jetways rather than only across the tarmac. Having food options both inside and outside security. Windows and views.
40	3	2	1	the modern looks	and we like the over hangs	nothing i really think this option	having a safe runway
41	1	2	3	Matching existing features of original building	Overhead entry design leading into terminal	Really couldn't read the details of option b and c. Reading option A though seemed to have the least environmental impact and efficient heating and cooling.	Clean bright terminals with a good sit down restaurant and Hudson or similar book/ magazine and snack place. Good coffee/ snack shop
42	3	2	1	I Love the furniture proposed.	Not a fan of option 2.	I don't really like anything about it.	Using local architect and vendors for products. Keeping business in Columbia.
43	1	3	2	Open windows with broad views of the runway Gates on second floor with check in and baggage claim downstairs History of the area in the graphics displayed	Simple design that would hopefully mean a faster opening History of the area in the graphics displayed	The lighting and entry way I DID NOT like that TSA was essentially right as you walk in which causes bottle necking at the current airport History of the area in the graphics displayed	Ease of access to check in, gates, and baggage claim. Available concessions to purchase and bring on the plane. Wide open views of the runway for people waiting to be picked up and passengers.
44	3	2	1	I like the layout the most with nice sitting areas.	The design of the outside.	Limited seating.	I love easy and accessible pick up and drop off and parking.
45	1	2	3	Open and bright	The glass front	The brick walls	Parking, covered entry, cleanliness, food, and flight selection
46	3	2	1	Option C is just so beautiful	Option C is just so beautiful everyone would love it	I only like Option C	everything that option C has
47	3	2	1	clear determination of departures and arrivals, clean look....not busy	more glass	open spaces - although seems like there could be wasted space	Ease of flow - airports are often confusing because they seem to be one open giant space
48	2	1	3	Windows	Drive up overhang	Logo	Food, places to eat, natural light

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
49	3	2	1	<p>I like FLOORING that is not carpet. It is easier to keep clean, and best to maintain. Passengers spill things, and believe it or not, get ill - on the floor all the time. That is a carpet cleaning nightmare for a bare bones facility staff. I like the lack of a second level - I hate STAIRS, ESCALATORS and reliance on elevators. See next box for more on stairs. I like the two entrances - but find no value in segregating them to ARRIVALS and DEPARTURES as they are so close together. In each of the plans the space committed to the "interior entrance" and alleged waiting area is over designed. No one waits in these areas - the most used areas are on the interior past security space. I have added other comments about the outdated CHECK IN counters for all the designs in other boxes. It's really all to difficult from these plans to ascertain if WIFI is accessible, if there are bathrooms on the secure side of the building, and if there are rest rooms. Pet elimination space should be at the EXTERIOR of the building and not within the secure area BC pets are not "connecting" on flights at COU - they are originating or terminating. There is no need to add these features on the secure side. Also, the DOT and FAA have made clear they will be limiting pet travel moving forward. Cigarette access? I see none? People do still smoke and vape. There needs to be access for those seeking these items. The design plans don't touch on this. Water stations on the secure and nonsecure sides of the airport - for water bottle refill as well. My responses in numbers 3 - 5 are incorporated into this response.</p>	<p>NOTE: DUE TO THE LENGTH OF THIS RESPONSE, IT WILL NOT FIT WITHIN THE CELL/TEMPLATE. PLEASE SEE THE LAST TWO PAGES OF THIS REPORT FOR THE COMMENT.</p> <p>The expansive frontice to the building is more inviting then the other designs. The space will be miserably hot in the summer with the windows facing west. The drive is too narrow. The sidewalk goes to no where - it needs to direct to the parking. NO STAIRS/ESCALATORS or elevators. These plans are all poorly thought for air travel. Who was it said "passengers don't take bags to the plane" never flew on a plane. This stair feature in several of the planes is a real problem. Passengers have strollers, rolling suitcases, and other luggage to transport UP and DOWN. The MSN airport has multiple escalators, and generally one is down for maintenance at any one time. The elevator then becomes an issue b/c wheelchair, chair assist and passengers needing assistance walking (no stairs) will use it. If it is not working - what is the back up plan? Yes, elevators and escalators break. If there is an escalator it should be a SPLIT design one UP and one DOWN, so at least you have a chance 50% of the time to have something working. The materials on the stairs should be such that they are skid and slip resistant. They should also have color variation to help distinguish the edges of the stairs to assist passengers. And, hand rails that employ antimicrobial services. The Carpet is a big NO. Too hard to keep clean. There is entirely too much space devoted to the "entry" of the airport - NO ONE USES the entry inside - they use the waiting area. The other thing I find all these designs to miss is that THIS IS NOT 1960 - airlines no longer function behind the counter - they have a front of the counter presence with equipment and</p>	<p>I would like to see a dining venue on a second level that is open in style and overlooks the airport. These 3 page renderings do not make clear if there is infrastructure for such an OPEN plan. The current restaurant is too isolated. The plans also need to incorporate VENDING services. On the security side. Pretty is pretty, but Vending is what pax need. I've already touched on stairs. I've already touched on carpet. Carpet is not an airport design choice, and if so, in very limited quantities, and most often in INTERNATIONAL terminals where passengers frequently use the floor to sleep. I don't think COU will have that issue. The departure gates are not really shown in these renderings - but they should incorporate new designs for check in. Electronic readers, and space for lined up passengers. On the secure side one huge design error is to cluster all the restrooms together. Depending on the number of gates and space, restrooms should be scattered throughout the space. This keeps passengers closer to their gate and cuts down on lines. Also, remember that WOMEN are not the only ones that take children to the bathroom so there should be "FAMILY restrooms" available. And, again my concern with STALL size and DOOR size to accommodate luggage and or baby strollers. The chairs in all waiting areas should have CUP HOLDERS!!! My responses to numbers 1 -3 and 5 are incorporated into this response.</p>	<p>NOTE: DUE TO THE LENGTH OF THIS RESPONSE, IT WILL NOT FIT WITHIN THE CELL/TEMPLATE. PLEASE SEE THE LAST TWO PAGES OF THIS REPORT FOR THE COMMENT.</p> <p>What is very important is that there is not one central walk way out of the airport into the parking area - it needs to be spread out. Any design that incorporates a PLAZA on the second floor needs to have serious considerations as to access and mobility. Stairs, Escalators, and Elevators are not very user friendly with LUGGAGE. Or even with children or elders as well. The selection of an escalator plan that provides ample back up in case of break down is necessary, and multiple elevator back up choices. Disability travelers will rely on these elevators and stairs or escalators are not an option. In my opinion, there would need to be a minimum of 4 escalators - TWO UP and TWO DOWN. Redundancy is your only hope. And, with the option to reverse directions on alternates is useful. Elevators in my experience are UNDERSIZED at airports. An attendant, a wheelchair, 1 -2 family members and 4-5 rolling suitcases are common. Another scenario is the jumbo stroller, parent and 3 - 5 kids plus luggage. Most airports have a single small elevator, poorly suited for the traffic it carries and not generally rated for the weight either. Signage. I didn't see much about signage. On the exterior - why in the world do you need in a small airport "Arrivals" and "Departures" you don't ... You might need a sign that says "CHECK IN". All the rest is unnecessary unless you are going to patrol and enforce the curb lanes on the road. You will not. So let go of the Arrivals and Departures - - WELCOME is just fine in an airport this size. Interior signage. I saw some of this in the design, but more needs to be developed on that end. No sign should every be</p>
50	3	2	1	The entry is very impressive	The two story windows.	It's a nice box.	Way Finding, Cleanliness, use of texture and materials, Furniture, lighting.
51	3	2	1	I like the covered entrances. I think this design can come in on budget. It also looks the most energy efficient.	I like the glass but I want to balance that with utility consumption.	I like the glass but I want to balance that with utility consumption.	Ease of people and car traffic flow. A way to stand out of the rain. Energy efficient.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
52	3	1	2	Modern and efficient	difficult to cool/heat and clean	looks like old airport terminal	Efficient
53	1	3	2	Great design! Love the interior also.	I really only like Option C.	I really like Option C.	Love everything about Option C.
54	3	2	1	Sleek modern design	Fully covered entrance	Covered walkway	Ease of access, including parking and bag collection.
55	3	2	1	Efficient, easy to heat/cool, security appearance	Airy feel and light incorporated	Airy, light	Security. Lighting.
56	3	2	1	Red accent	clerestory windows	Butterfly Canopy	Easy wayfinding
57	3	2	1	design	none	none	ease of entrance, short walking distances from entrance to ticket counter to TSA checkin
58	2	1	3	Covered entry/exit to shield from elements. Lots of windows and natural light. Ease of travel through screening, comfortable seating airside.	I like the open, airy design with lots of natural light. I like that they state that building it will not impede with current terminal use. Multiple traffic lanes approaching drop off points.	Why recycle a horrible, old, decrepit building? I travel a LOT from COU (or did until March 7!). I don't see anything worth keeping here.	Adequate space for security screening, special lane for TSA precheck. Adequate space and seating airside while waiting for flight departure. A coffee shop that is open for those 5 am departures. Covered entrance, exit. And lets talk about parking. PLEASE plan for parking and CHARGE a parking fee based on distance to the terminal building! I don't mind walking away but a covered walkway from parking to terminal would be awesome when the weather is bad. Slogging the wheeled luggage through the snow is no fun. If you want to keep a lot with no fee, make sure it is LARGE enough for the masses that don't want to pay. How about a parking garage? We have an over abundance of them in Columbia, too bad you can't relocate the Walnut St garage to the airport... Seriously, a fee based parking garage is essential.
59	3	2	1	"C" is a design that is simple yet attractive. I like the fact that these designers considered the efficient use of my TIME in drop off and pick up of passengers as well as negotiating the terminal inside.. Now with our new covid-economic-limitations "C" allows more expansion whenever CoMo demands even more air travel.	I prefer a 1 story structure over a two story. In my mind 1 story is easier to navigate with luggage, easier to find the service or people that I am looking for. One story saves me time and "hassle" and probably is less costly to construct than a 2 story.	I don't want a 2 story structure. It's unnecessarily complicated and it didn't impress me as adding to attractiveness nor a beacon of light. I also disagree with highlighting the river and the history of aviation with our CoMo airport. Just does NOT resonate with me.	My Important features for the new CoMo airport are as follows in no particular order: 1. Ease of parking and access to terminal 2. Protection from rain in key exterior areas 3. Conservation of time/expense/hassle in negotiating inside the terminal and equal conservation of time/expense/hassle when I drop off and pick up passengers. 4.
60	3	2	1	Love the clear way finding. Looks well thought out.	I love the lighter color palette of options A and B and the use of transparent glazing.	Exterior brick color. I think it's a bit dated looking. Could be made more modern by incorporating light material pallets and use of glazing from options A and B.	Wayfinding and signage have the ability to make or break an airport experience.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
61	2	3	1	I like the simplicity of the design with the COU logo front and center on the building. The brick exterior will be easy to maintain and is a timeless design.	Again, simple design that utilizes natural light with easy-to-maintain materials. I appreciate the continuous canopy of Option A so the travelers are somewhat sheltered from the elements while waiting for their ride or greeting loved ones.	I appreciate the lit columns at the entrance to the building.	Easy to navigate!
62	2	1	3	The drop off approach.	the front of building and materials	the colors	layout,flow,access
63	1	2	3	Clean lines	Open feel	Large sign	Ease of use and transfer
64	3	2	1	That classic look and feel	That modern feel	Didn't get a good feel	Easy access
65	3	2	1	3	2	1	Wayfinding
66	2	1	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	everything on one level to minimize escalators and elevators...plenty of area to wait around the gates with food vendors and restrooms available..
67	2	1	3	Large open lobby	Long awning	not much	Spacious with room to enlarge
68	2	1	3	Open1 story. Heating and cooling better than 2 story height	Don't think it needs the height	Looks too much like it is now	Asseccesibility
69	2	1	3	C	C	Cc	C
70	1	2	3	brighter colors	I like the light coming from all four sides in the middle	Do not really like any of it	Bright, 2 story could be cheaper in the long run, efficient. All in al without floorplans, these are weak options. Can we have a re-do?
71	1	2	3	looks like the most cost-effective design	nothing	nothing	ease of check-in, ability to have drinks and food inside security
72	2	1	3	High ceiling and all glass	Second story boarding gates	No second floor for boarding planes using a jet bridge instead of ground boarding	More seating before and after tsa security. Definitely MORE bathrooms after security. Jet bridge boarding please!
73	2	1	3	Lots of natural light	overhang	lack of overhang	clear designations of where to go.
74	2	1	3	Large windows	Easy drop off area	Large amounts of brick	Accessibility and ease of flow
75	2	3	1	I don't really care what it looks like. I would like it to be affordable and easy to get around.	I don't really care what it looks like. I would like it to be affordable and easy to get around.	I don't really care what it looks like. I would like it to be affordable and easy to get around.	This is a poor survey. How do you choose between three options when the comparisons are not all listed? I have no idea what the cost for each is. One says it has room for expansion, the other two don't...should I assume the other two will not have any options for expansion? I think looking at the size, growth capabilities, parking options and cost are what the public should be asked about. The first one is beautiful, but at what cost? Please consider giving us the full facts in the survey so we can make informed decisions.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
76	3	1	2	good spacing it appears in option A, easy access and classic inside decor/colors	cover over arrival and departure doors, drop off lanes and parking	simple design, solar fins and roof to limit heat/light	good space, not too cramped, plenty of seating and restrooms. room to grow and add on in the future. Covered awning when pull up, plenty of lanes and parking.
77	3	2	1	B I like the lighted path from the parking lot	c easy to know where to go upon arrival	A pretty boring looking	Easy Access, I mainly pick up and drop off
78	2	1	3	Lots of glass and windows - good natural light	High glass allows good natural light	Undecided	No carpeting - too difficult for wheeling my chair, fully accessible private bathroom which allows for the user and an attendant.
79	3	2	1	Exterior color is "rich" - allows for good signage	Just like the looks of C the best of all	looks like a big box	traffic flow and flow through check-in and out
80	2	1	3	The interior and exterior feel warm and inviting. I like that there is some color but not too much. It looks professional. I love the nod to the Stephens College Aviation Department.	The exterior canopy would be a great option for folks to wait for their rides. I like the COU sign in front. The all-white palette is too sterile.	The only thing I like about this option are the All-Inclusive Personal Amenities. I don't like anything else about this option. It looks like a high school cafeteria and a McDonald's had a baby.	Enough space for all passengers and the appropriate number of TSA lines. Restrooms that are inclusive of everyone (not just male and female). A parking structure.
81	3	2	1	Excellent blend of style and functionality. Good use of color and materials. Less like a post office than the other two designs!	The atrium is nice.	Interesting emphasis on a second story -- appears to allow covered ramp access to planes.	Easy access, good foot traffic flow, comfortable seating, good coffee, strong cell and wifi service.
82	1	3	2	I like the open feel of 1, but I like 2 overall	I like the interior colors and textures of 2	I don't like the exterior design of 3, it reminds me of the Columbia post office. Looks old and dated.	I like open feel, hard flooring for walkways and carpet for seating areas. Large windows to see the runway and tarmac
83	1	2	3	The awning it looks similar to other airports	If you put the awnings on the building it would then be my favorite	Dark color	Chargers at seating and good bars restaurants
84	1	2	3	Option A looks open, airy, clean, and inviting.	Option B seems to be practical.	Option C looks ready for a reform. It looks outdated and sad.	Spacious and easy to navigate.
85	3	2	1	It looks like a prison, WTF?	At least it doesn't look like a prison.	It looks like a prison.	It is an embarrassment to the city that you are building a new terminal, on top of the millions already dropped into this boondoggle, but we can't have a functional transit system. I guess we just have a government for the grasslands, the hell with everyone else.
86	3	2	1	The dramatic canopies clearly delineate the Arrival and Departure functions and provide a nice accent against the remainder of the building. I also liked the summary statement	The tall, mostly glass central interior space is appealing but would probably be costly to build and increase yearly energy consumption.	The long canopy gave more cover for drop-offs and pick-ups.	Function is probably the most important but cannot be judged here. The building must look good so that we can be proud of it and travelers to Columbia will see Columbia as a first class destination. Lower Energy consumption will be a benefit every year in the future.
87	2	1	3	modern	liked it better than 3	looks old, not a fan	making sure there are enough charging outlets for devices



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
88	1	2	3	Option A interior seems light and airy.	Option B at least isn't just a big rectangular building.	Option C hasn't any features that generate interest in me.	Aside from the utilitarian function of an airport designed to expand over time, I hope that the exterior design explores a more dynamic look. Consider Daniel Boone Regional Library in Columbia is a bold statement.
89	3	2	1	It looks like a brand new, colorful, welcoming airport both outside and inside instead of just an enhancement of what we already have. Great introduction to our vibrant community.	More use of glass and light to open up my preferred design.	I supposed ticket/lobby directional sign--didn't care for this option much.	As a frequent business traveler, I value a well thought out, convenient, boarding flow. Proud of my community, I think it should also say something distinctive because our community is a special one--that only design that reaches toward that, in my view, is Option C.
90	1	3	2	2 story building	raised holdroom	26-foot open ceiling and Clerestory glazing	convenient boarding
91	3	2	1	It seems roomy and easily accessible.	None.	None.	Easily accessible and easy to navigate once inside.
92	3	1	2	Glass and more open space at entry	Colors	Long curbside covering	Glass, weather covering and pilot amenities
93	2	1	3	Large glass front and rear exterior, single floor design, open feel of lobby.	Two story design makes the potentially feel bigger, seems like the design provides for more efficient land use	None noted	Ease of flow and and access to food and beverage.
94	2	1	3	I like the large dramatic opening with lots of natural light. The elevation and slopping side walk are nice.	I like the interior design most of any three. It is bright and clean looking. Not sure where seating would be. The exterior is ok.	I liked the interior. It was very terminal like. I hated the outside. It looks like a industrial big box store that you stuck a metal entry onto.	Ease of finding your way. Option B actually seemed to have the most logical separation of gates and baggage claim although there was not enough detail in the others to tell where they are. I also liked keeping the option for ground loading, we do lots of charters and this would not interfere with commercial flights. Option A or B would be fine.
95	1	2	3	long curbside canopy, 2-level, areas for art & information	best interior colors	separate entrance for arrivals & departures	intuitive use, ease of maneuvering to planes
96	2	1	3	Total overhead cover. Good traffic pattern and no steps. Walk in, wide open to check in. Security and flights upstairs.	Best exterior design. Lots of glass and light. Steps near an airport are ridiculous.	Color palette is horrendous. Looks like a cheap warehouse, not enough overhead cover.	Least amount to outdoor exposure--heat, rain, cold. Flow of people. Keep them moving, not congregated together for long periods (wait time/social distancing)
97	2	1	3	I like the exterior design of option B but on the interior, I like the designs with the 2nd floor loading and unloading areas	2nd floor loading gates	3 drop off lanes, raised gates (2nd floor?)	signage for easy location of gates, baggage, ease of getting one place to another (good traffic flow)
98	3	1	2	single level	multiple dors	wasted space	ease of entry, luggage, ticketing, processing for TSA
99	2	1	3	Large glass entry. More modern feeling.	Least amount of exterior brick	Do not care for brick on any of the exteriors.	Open, safe, modern.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
100	2	1	3	Option B looks very modern and clean. Option A looks clean, but also like a generic school. Option C exterior looks like an old design from the 90s.	Option A looks clean, but also like a generic school.	Option C exterior looks like an old design from the 90s. I do like the interior, though.	Spacious and comfortable seating and some food/beverage amenity while we are waiting. Already love the ease of going in and out the way it's set up now.
101	3	2	1	I love the colors used in this rendering. I like the warm welcoming feel inside and out.	I do like the larger covered parking in this rendering.	None.	Seating, clear labels for directions, easily accessibility to move through security lines., internet accessibility
102	1	2	3	I like having 2 levels and the bridges to board planes.	Looks like there is more covered space on the exterior which would be nice.	None - wasn't interested in that option.	Easy access parking with spaces that aren't too crowded together and well lit for safety. Nice bathrooms with plenty of space to pull luggage into.
103	3	2	1	Modern design	Looks like the current terminal	Looks like government building a institution of some sort	In and out fast, pickup luggage quickly and food and drink bathroom facilities
104	2	3	1	Metal insets and brick work	Metal and brick	Clerestory	Cost and design leading to a lasting building which won't seem date in 10 to 20 years.
105	1	2	3	A	B	C	Ease through security and shops past security
106	3	2	1	.	.	.	.
107	3	1	2	Option B	Option A	Option C	Design
108	2	1	3	Comforting color scheme.	Large rain shelter along the pick up point.	Nothing.	Easy drop off and pick up.
109	3	2	1	The interior is better in preferred option. The exterior is better in the other options	The exterior design is nicer in this one than the other two.	It's alright. The exterior is still better than my favorite option, but the interior is bland.	N/A
110	3	2	1	The clean lines, appropriate for an airport in Columbia. Three drop-off lanes. Covered connections to car rental area	Quiet rooms.	nothing in particular	Efficient, cost-effective use of space. Ease of expansion as necessary.
111	2	1	3	Central entry	Two story	It's only one story	Clean, Efficient, Welcoming
112	3	1	2	Option B I think it is modern and stylish. I also like that it is open and allows a lot of sunlight in.	Option C I like the curbside appeal. The color and how solid the building is.	Option A This is my least favorite because it doesn't have good curbside appeal. It is forgettable	Space is very important. Traveling is very busy and the more space everyone has, the better the experience.
113	3	2	1	Particularly liked the possibility of expansion.	Liked that the entrance is more at center on the building.	Liked the many windows to incorporate more light in the terminal.	Easy access in and easy to get out of terminal.
114	1	2	3		1 2 MU wall		1 Convenient of parking, loading, unloading. And bathrooms being close to luggage pickup and arrival gates
115	1	3	2	Short jet bridges.	Compact footprint.	Love the two-story atrium.	Ability to expand economically with future demand.
116	1	2	3	Natural light	Natural light	The big red logo	Ease of mobility
117	1	2	3	The newer looking concept	The open floor plan	Looks like a plane square building	A good interior that is easier to navigate through
118	1	3	2	Simplicity and focus on functionality, small building footprint.	Use of regional brick is a nice touch if sustainable.	The historical/regional theming	Accessibility/sensible organization

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
119	2	3	1	more parking	two levels	not sure...maybe the driveway looking part out front?	organization of where to go as a passenger and clear traffic patterns for passengers and having those things clearly marked
120	3	2	1	Both interior and exterior are simple and roomy but not overdone like Option A and B	Finish selection. I like the Blue's and grays vs. reds and the lighter exterior finish.	Lighter finishes	Ease of way-finding and comfort while waiting
121	3	2	1	Option c	B	A	Comfortability
122	3	2	1	entry	exterior lighting	NONE looks like a retro 1950's building	Welcoming appearance for both departures and arrivals, warm open public areas, overall sophisticated design
123	3	2	1	The simplicity of design and recognizable logo.	The lighted walkway leading to the entrance.	The clean lines of the interior.	Absolutely the ease of getting from point A to point B.
124	2	1	3	This one looks the most friendly. I like the open look of this one on the inside. The first one is institutional like and the third one looks like a firehouse.	The picture presents a clean look which is nice but the inside is a bit smaller looking than the others	It looks like a firehouse, which is great, if its a firehouse.	Comfort, ease of use, open with plenty of room
125	3	2	1	Darker facade is more dramatic and looks less like a school. Prefer the ability to load from first or second floor depending on circumstance. Amenities seem to be well clustered.	Open interior area looks nice, but may not be best use of space.	I think they may have a better viewing area for incoming aircraft, but it is difficult to tell from these exhibits.	To the extent that an airport can be, I like a compact design that offers ALL amenities to ALL travelers, not just amenities at the gate you depart from. Easy access from parking area is important. Baggage claim area needs to be logical.
126	1	3	2	Covered drop off/puck up lanes	Lots of windows/natural light	Na	Please include a mother's lactation room for visitors and staff. Thank you.
127	2	1	3	Love how open and airy it looks	Love the lighting - feels very modren	#3 looks very dark and gloomy	Easy layout - makes sense where to check in/where is TSA/ when exiting where is baggage claim
128	3	2	1	I like the separated entrance/exit, which does not appear to be a feature of the other options. I like the internal emphasis on cultural connection to the area.	I like the openness and natural lighting of this option as well as the stephen's college aviation beam, creating connection to the local culture.	The exterior color and limited windows make it seem dark and not as inviting as the external appearance of option B.	Efficiency/conservation of resources, connection to local culture (I think this will make people want to use it more instead of driving to st. louis or KC), and lastly I like it to be somewhat inviting aesthetic.
129	2	3	1	I liked the efficiency of the design. It seems to be the most energy efficient and clearest internal layout.	I liked that the external canopy extends the length of the building.	The landscaping was very attractive but it seems to require substantial grade work.	Convenient parking and pick up and drop off. Ease of use of services. Comfortable waiting areas.
130	3	2	1	Local history	high ceilings, lots of light	its airiness	space, light, modern fixtures and materials

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
131	2	1	3	Large windows open design. Bright colors	Beautiful white interior accented by light wood	Dull brick	This will be the first thing many people see when coming to Columbia. Having modern architecture is ideal as a small to medium sized town. I also think a naturally lit environment will aid in reducing stress of flying/ working through an airport. Many people are away from family or home so creating an environment that will alleviate some of that which is missed is also essential
132	2	3	1	The clean lines and space	What appears to be the sculpture in the left corner	This looks like most of our Columbia public schools on the outside	Easy access clean lines and affordability to taxpayers
133	3	2	1	Color	Windows	Style of building	Comfort and accessibility
134	3	2	1	windows	covering to get into building	windows	ease to get through to the gates and comfortableness at the gates
135	2	3	1	Ease of use and efficient	I really prefer the first	I really prefer the first	Convenience
136	2	3	1	Brick	Windows	Windows	Comfortable space, amenities
137	3	2	1	The cantilevered front awnings on "C" are modern looking where as the other two are using old design elements. "C" looks warmer and more inviting that portrays what the city would want visitors to think about it when it looks at the building. "C" also is the only one that thought enough to put our airports city designation on the building. The details on the outside hint at the obvious details one would find on the inside. "B" reminds me of a school I've seen and "A" has no character, too institutional and the awning reminds me of the one torn down in our own downtown years ago. Outdated. "C" is the obvious choice.	The large glass enclosed atrium.	High windows that allow indirect sunlight to filter in from above.	Columbia is a unique town and deserves a terminal says that inside and out. Plenty of space for pickup and drop off, security services with enough room to deal with passengers in a more private way and not be held up because someone doesn't know how to pack. But because I live just a mile or so from the airport, my biggest concern is light pollution from the airport as a whole. Dark Sky lighting principles must be used
138	3	2	1	Like the Arrival and Departure features.	Use of glass in the upper parts of the building although in high winds/tornado that would not be a plus.	Did not see any that I would suggest.	Fast to get in and out of. Fast through security and boarding. Parking that is close, similar to what we have today.
139	1	3	2	I like the Energy Efficiency of the plan	I like that their is an area for nursing mothers.	I like the covered front area. In the winter it would be beneficial .	Ease in maneuvering luggage. Both inside and out. An efficient baggage claim area. A quiet space for emergency calls. A nursing mothers area. A childs play area.
140	1	2	3	the glass features that allows for lots of natural light. feels and looks modern.	the front drive and extended awning	the use of the logo on the exterior of the building	ease and cheapness of parking
141	1	2	3	Love the functionality and design of this building.	External canopy	Bathrooms mention.	Vision to future

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
142	3	2	1	Although this is the least attractive of the options, the function is superior. The separate, distinct entrances aren't helpful, with protective canopies for unloading baggage from cars in Missouri weather. They could be bigger... The interior is just fine, as far as one can tell without a floor plan, and the local history exhibit would be pleasant.	The redder brick.	Bigger canopies over the arrival and departure doors for protection from Missouri weather, although one the length of the building isn't needed, and it need not look like a gas station canopy, like A.	Accessibility and ease of use, no stairs or escalators as in #1, not having to carry your own luggage once its checked in at the ticket counter, restrooms that don't look like a Nazi bunker back in the boarding areas, some way to purchase drinks in the boarding areas, elevator if you insist on a 2-story design, attention to the back of the building with weather protection canopies and wind blocks, building entrance without steps (for everyone who's ever wrestled a wheeled suitcase up steps - and that's everyone).
143	3	2	1	Clean	Windows	Small canopies	Ease of use
144	3	1	2	I like the glass and the natural light that it may offer to the interior	I like the canopies on the exterior	I like the additional glass panels at the top that may let more light in. other than that the design seems too institutional or ordinary.	The design needs to be contemporary and make a statement for our City. This will be a first and last impression for many people who visit. Is it possible there are other towns our size that managed to achieve this on the budget they were given? Duluth and Knoxville airports may be worth looking at?
145	2	1	3	Design B seems very similar to the current design of COU. I believe keeping the design similar will cut down in the cost of things. Plus one level is just fine. We recently flew in to Charleston SC, which has a "smaller" airport (compared to STL, MCI, etc). They have a single level airport, which has the feel of a larger airport, but still simple and streamlined.	I like the drive-up option, and the external look of the building.	It seems like it could be cramped based on the picture. But this is just from the one picture provided.	Space. Streamlined process. "clean" feel. The current COU terminal seems very cramped, and "dingy." We flew out of the United side in March, and the room was very stuffy and it felt as if patrons were on top of each other. The lack of windows is also not very friendly.
146	2	3	1	Looks cool and efficient	Looks over budget	Looks too much like a school	It's more that it is efficient and having better energy use and good lighting.
147	2	1	3	i like the open windows outside and easier boarding.	Open space.	I like none of it.	Options for food for the wait. Ease of getting to gate.
148	3	2	1	Prominent rebranding; functional overhangs at entry; appears to use the current structure more than the other options and might be more environmentally friendly as a result?	I love the glazing and potential for natural light in the interior, but I worry about solar gain and an overall energy inefficient building design. I think this option would be lovely at night when lit up from inside.	Use of clerestory windows to deliver more daylight into the interior.	Overall green design; Calming interior (finishes, music, aromas); food options (good coffee!); outlets for devices; good wayfinding; interior plantings and/or views to nature; sense of place (materials and artwork that evoke the feeling of being in Columbia, MO); universal design; something for kids to do
149	3	2	1	Consistency with the overall layout of the airport and it looks like there are options to expand/alter the front in the future, if growth or changed priorities should occur.	More windows/options for natural light.	Expanded awing in the front.	Central entry that's easy to access, space to feel like there is distance between the crowd while waiting to board, easy to "get in/get out".

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
150	3	2	1	I like the sleek and classic look.	I like the covered entrance	The windows	Natural light and functional flow of the space
151	3	2	1	Separate entrances for arriving & departures	Plans for expansion for up to 20 years	Option A is so plain and uninteresting.	Ease of getting in and out.
152	3	2	1	3 lanes!	Very accommodating.	None.	Easy Signage.
153	3	2	1	exterior: clear arrival and departure; covered walkways; multiple drop off lanes interior: lots of windows; clear traffic patterns for people; cheerful color pattern	raised central area with windows	very clear internal signage	lots of light, local art and history features, clear directions, easy access to pick up/drop off and parking
154	1	3	2	Better access, Taller volume, clerestory, large curbside canopy coverage	More landscape, more brick	More brick, more site elements	Clear wayfinding, easy access, regional aspect of design
155	3	2	1	Looks better with existing buildings.	More canopy coverage in front.	2nd floor	Adequate waiting areas.
156	2	3	1	It appears that the design of Option C has a very new, forward look while at the same time maintains solid functionality. I also found Option C to be inviting.	The exterior covered passenger pickup/drop off area.	None	Ease of ingress/egress, unambiguous and attractive way-finding signage, well lit, appropriate number of rest rooms, and the terminal design is appropriate for where we are headed in a "post-coronavirus" world as it relates to health, safety, and so forth.
157	3	1	2	More modern look which is how I see option B	Nothing more than the COU on the building	not a fan	easy access to restrooms, charging stations and food.
158	3	2	1	The simplistic of the view of the front of the building! It is not an intimidating appearance at all!	Though it is not extravagant than option C it has some small town feel as well.	Need more view of the drop off spots to tell but maybe that.	Simplicity
159	3	2	1	classic design, looks less industrial and more inviting, like the multiple entryways	Larger overhang	Doesn't look for energy efficient....to many windows	Open without looking industrial and sterile
160	2	3	1	Minimal window, good flow on in the inside	The color choice is great and looks very modern	The glass entry way would be a nice touch on my favorite choice	Spacious and good food
161	1	2	3	Like the awnings and the brick detailing	Glasswork is nice, but looks expensive.	Expanded coverings will be functional in bad weather	Efficiency of moving people through the ticketing counter, security and onto the plane.
162	1	2	3	2 story	large entry lobby	ugly exterior	easy to navigate and comfortable
163	2	3	1	Option C comes closest to the current airport and looks simple and timeless	I just like option C	possibly more windows/open space	simplicity, easy to get around, parking access
164	3	2	1	I like the big windows and that the entire design says "Columbia, MO" to me!	I like the airy entrance.	I like that it appears bright.	Fast transit from on the the next terminal. Simple design. No unnecessary extras.
165	3	2	1	simple, but elegant	the drive way approach is very attractive	sterile exterior is a turn-off	Ease of security and loading of plan
166	3	2	1	The simplicity of the design	The big glass windows	*	Short check in and security lines Free parking Hygiene in bathrooms
167	2	1	3	A.	B	C	Easy access in and out.
168	3	2	1	clearly marked arrival and departure signs	lighter exterior color	more natural lighting	adequate parking, clear signage, ample space, comfortable seating and decent concessions
169	3	2	1	Brick	Entrance	Needs more windows	Ease of access, parking free, checkin short plus security short

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
170	1	2	3	I like how the light is let into the building. The exterior is nice with the Canopy and Solar Fins.	The exterior and landscaping are nice. Bringing Central Missouri Aviation history into the airport.	Bringing Central Missouri Aviation history into the airport. The covered connectors to rental car area.	Clear traffic flow from one area to another. Roomy Restrooms and stalls. Drinking fountains. Natural lighting, healthy food options and plenty of seating outside and inside security areas.
171	3	2	1	Simple.	Landscaping.	Skylights.	Ease of access.
172	2	3	1	I like sleek look and this looks most efficient design. Interior is colorful and very handsome.	I do not like this design.	I do not like this design.	Ease of parking, easy check-in and good security area.
173	3	2	1	Color and architecture	The simplicity	Still nice architecture	Quickness and cleanliness.
174	1	2	3	I looks simple but efficient. I imagine that future art installations will ad to the design. It looks grounded, efficient and substantial without being flamboyant and over budget.	Lighter colored brick.	it Looks like a Post Office	It must be guided by budget constraints of Columbia and Missouri. This is no time to throw money into a flamboyant terminal. When I fly I want the terminal to be simple and efficient not the brainchild of architects making a name for themselves at my expense.
175	3	2	1	Feels more like columbia	They okay	Not really	The quickness and small airport
176	1	3	2	Option A looks clean and modern.	I like the separate arrivals and departure areas that is provided in Option C.	Option B doesn't appear to offer anything that Option A doesn't already have.	Ease of movement in arriving and departing, then when in the terminal itself. The key will also be the ability to grow with the community so not shoehorned into trying to expand with limited footprint.
177	2	3	1	C, because it looks more secure.	I like the windows up at the top.	Too much glass.	Security
178	3	2	1	I like most the distinctive entrance canopies of Option C. Looks unique and still like an airport.	Option C looks like a most traditional airport terminal to me. I like the high glazing that draws the eye deep through the building.	n/a	I would like this project to look unlike most airport terminals. I think it needs to incorporate relaxed and quiet features and finishes. I would like it to feel like I'm not there as a customer to be exploited - consider housing services - like library services? - that are free or freeish.
179	1	2	3	By far the two story concept, natural light, energy efficiency and the continuity with the existing complex.	I like the grade of the terrain and the height of the canopy.	Nothing really. The signage is very clear.	Having a bar / lounge and food options.
180	2	3	1	Open area on the inside. Modern style.	Sleek build and footprint friendly	None honestly	Less Carbon footprint , parking , design , convenience of easier travel
181	2	1	3	Open air, lots of natural light, makes the smaller space feel bigger, single story should control costs	Similar color to Option B I like the longer covered/loading zone, very bright interior	Looks like some of the finishes like the ceiling could be more expensive than some of the others with exposed structure	Flow is probably the most important thing in an airport, seamless movement for arrivals and departures with as few bottlenecks as possible, modular construction so that the airport can grow with the community and costs are able to be added as necessary with limited rework.
182	2	1	3	Not a box with the atrium and the bump-out walls.	A little more on the industrial side.	Looks more like a tire shop	Accessibility and space to feel like you aren't on-top of people when you are waiting to board.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
183	3	2	1	Canopies and the use of corrugated metal on the outside, carpet and tile choices on the inside	Love the atrium idea, brushed metal beams on the inside	Like the long overhang outside, and the flooring and tile color palette on the inside.	Space and light, covered areas outside for loading and unloading
184	3	1	2	More modern looking	Overhang for picking up and dropping off	Overhang for picking up and dropping off	Security
185	1	2	3	I love the light airy feeling of option A, given the nature of the business of airports I feel this is only appropriate.	I really liked the look of the ceiling in option B, and how everything seemed accessible from one central location.	I almost chose option C for my favorite simply based on the seating, every airport needs that, and lots of it.	3 top features: Openness: it makes the airport feel naturally cleaner(though they should be clean anyway) Good seating: nothing's worse than waiting for a flight on your feet. Accessible clean restrooms: I definitely don't want to be waiting for an open stall while I have a flight to catch. (check out KEF in Reykjavik for good bathroom designs, I've never been in a cleaner and more well designed bathroom).
186	2	3	1	Clean and functional and easy to navigate.	Large covered area.	Nothing stands out in this one, looks a little like a school.	Convenient and accessible and portrays Columbia in a good way to out of town travelers.
187	3	2	1	I think option b looks much nicer but the efficiencies stated which include functionality and energy-efficiency are very important which is why we chose this practical option. We request that the city of Columbia consider that the area around the airport is important for its rural character and dark skies and we hope that all lighting chosen projects the light downward and does not add scatter to the atmosphere around the airport including all of the projects done to the roads and area including the terminal.	More pleasing color scheme.	Too many open window spaces cause a concern that'll project too much light into the community around the airport	Efficiency overall for passengers and not changing the character of the rural community that doesn't has lived around the airport for many decades.
188	2	3	1	Arrivals / Departures and colors and more interior dynamics	Extra light and high ceilings and and clean lines	Looks too much like a school	Ease of entry and exit, areas for waiting, ability to move around.
189	3	2	1	Raised gates for aircraft mix, efficient entry/exit traffic, decreased congestion of foot traffic crossings	Lots of natural light, beautiful Gateway, Missouri-river-inspired interior design, pet relief area	Solar fins to keep cool	Fast TSA check, room for more airlines, food and drink options past security, easy to navigate, accommodating staff
190	3	2	1	covered entry ways and low slung building features - additional parking near the facility	use more wood and metal tones and less painted red - consider glass entries in addition to awnings	neutral colors inside, less vibrant than preferred option	parking close to terminal - food/restroom options after security
191	1	2	3	Modern design and using local material	Amplitude	two clear defined entrances to the airport	Easy access to boarding gates, resting areas
192	2	1	3	B	A	C	Natural light, flow. Nod to history of area
193	2	3	1	It looks timeless and has clean lines	The entrance looks more inviting, but partly that just be a more favorable angle of drawing.	The glass that juts up -- is it called clerestory?	Respectable. Professional. A building we all can be proud of.
194	3	2	1	I like its fun interior.	the amount for glass	I dont know don't really like it that much	Easy to find your way around, and not having a crowded airport terminal / terminal gate.



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
195	1	2	3	Glass and clean lines. I like the white clean interior.	Glass.	I don't care for the low ceilings and dark colors. It makes me feel claustrophobic before I even get on an airplane.	Easy to navigate, relaxing.
196	3	2	1	Looks nice.	Looks big	Looks clean.	Fits a lot of people.
197	2	1	3	The windows. Today's call for the ability to see your surroundings.	The covered outdoor overhang and maximized space.	Looks like building and older.	Getting TSA. Not being able to access the boarding process on earlier flights. Being able to check bags as I arrive in a timely manner
198	1	2	3	The canopy, brick and how clean and modern it looks. I like how the materials interact with each other.	The glass facade.	None	A straight forward modern looking space that is easy to access, simple to understand in terms of knowing where to go.
199	3	2	1	split exterior overhangs rather than one big one.	front not flat	more windows	Easy to get in and out
200	1	3	2	I like the canopy and 2d floor boarding. Seems to be in efficient design. It seems to provide lots of space and is a modern looking environment.	I like the architectural design. I don't like the weather canopies as much, but it appears to be an attractive design.	Looks like a high school building.	Roomy and airy interior, modern exterior and efficient canopy over vehicles arriving and departing.
201	3	2	1	Brick and Glass / canopy	Glass entry area	none	easy quick entry and exit
202	1	3	2	The two story volume and roadway canopy across the whole building.	Canopies (weather protection) at the entrance door but my preferred option already has a full length canopy at the roadway.	Two story volume at the entrance but my preferred option already has a full two story across the whole building.	Contemporary, easy to navigate with modern amenities.
203	2	1	3	Modern, elegant, and functional design.	Looks accessible. A bit Plain	Nothing, too plain, looks like something from a developing country.	Speed and comfort. Also, affordable places to grab a bite to eat or a drink while waiting for the flight.
204	3	2	1	Windows, color, modern design	Nothing	Height of canopy	Size
205	1	2	3	I liked the clean look and smallest footprint of my number one choice. I liked that it would give the most options for future growth and I liked the second level entry to the planes. Thanks	I really enjoyed the row of windows at the top of the building look of my second choice. Something about it looked very welcoming and let in a lot of light!	I liked how on the inside plan of my least favorite pick it seemed like it had the most welcoming once inside. It was almost like it encouraged people to visit as they wait. I did like that a lot.	Safety. Easy of use. Flow on both inside and outside.
206	3	2	1	open feel	same	not sure	ease of use weather protection
207	2	3	1	The height of the building, the color of the brick and overall 'look' of the facade. The lighting looks subtle but pleasant.	I enjoy the large windows, if there were more windows/ larger windows On either side of the entry/exit doors, I think it would give the building more of an open feel.	I don't know if it's just the view rendering or not but the canopy type of structures above the entry/exit don't seem large enough.	Clear entry and exit path. I like a clean and comfortable look when I am in an airport. I also want to feel safe, and have plenty of room to move around while inside the building and while outside (loading/unloading).
208	1	2	3	Thoughtful integration of glass on the front face of the building. The vertical sun shades near the center of the building add interesting texture to the building.	The transparency (glass) of the entry portals.	Option C looks like a strip mall and the interior isn't very inspiring, but the hint as specialty suspended light fixtures could be applied to Option A, adding to the intuitiveness of the wayfinding in the building.	Passenger services and amenities. The experience in the airport should be an extension of the city.
209	3	2	1	It will match the similar facade of the current terminal. Interior color scheme is very warm and inviting	The feature of the Stephens College Aviation	Use of natural light	Ease of use and access

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
210	2	3	1	This design is attractive and seems by far the most practical, and thereby cost effective, one.	The height and overall size are too great. I serve on the Southern Boone Area YMCA Bd and have been working on our design for the new facility, and as I understand your budget, neither Option A or B look realistic.	Same as above.	Functionality and within budget.
211	1	2	3	Separate and distinct ARRIVAL & DEPARTURE entry and exit.	Hard covered entrance and exit, C looks like pergola.	See #3 comment	1) Getting in and getting out of the building 2) Baggage claim efficiency
212	3	2	1	the cleanliness of design, easy ID of departure and arrivals. should be easier to heat and cool with not as much glass, but enough to give lightness to interior	grandiose entrance, but seems like wasted space	all of the white metal and overkill on bldg height	PARKING (paid and free), limited covered parking with asphalt so you do not track mud into terminal or airplanes, adequate space to access TSA, being able to regulate temperatures for all areas, perhaps a conference area for meetings, limited open space to market Central Missouri.
213	2	3	1	I like the open look of option 3.	They need to add bigger windows.	Looks to chopped up. Do not think anything could make it better.	Easy in and out.
214	1	2	3	I really like the lofty ceiling of #1 and the large exterior awning.	I like the glass in the central area, but believe it might have too much solar gain.	I don't think there are any features that would improve the other 2.	functional ingress and egress from the terminal.
215	2	3	1	Clean lines, functionality	The light open feel of the interior	Open exterior design of the center section	Functional but comfortable. Ticketing, baggage, security should be accessible with smart signage. Internet access and security are musts. Light, open feel.
216	2	1	3	Allows natural light in. Love the historical display component.	Airline ticket counters. A footprint that allows for future expansion options.	Airside features.	Accommodating larger aircraft, if possible. A coffee shop/cafe would be fantastic. Allowing natural light and the local environment into the terminal as much as possible.
217	1	2	3	I like the fact it will be 2 story and ADA Accessable! The flooring matter alot for people in wheelchairs, walkers, strollers and canes plus more parking.		3	2 It will be ADA Compliant!
218	1	3	2	Two story with jet bridge access. Bright and light!	Brick finishes could be used on design A to some extent.	More light (windows) from above More light makes smaller space seem larger. Hard to know about B as there as not as many descriptions.	Jet bridge access. User friendly with good signage. Wide aisle space. More seating. More parking. Paved parking spaces.
219	3	1	2	Double Height Glass Facade. Brick Color. Warm, welcoming presence. Interior colors and feeling are open and airy.	I like the awning on the front to allow patrons a transition between exterior elements and interior.	n/a	Sense of place and identity. Room to breathe. In comparison to current conditions, much less claustrophobic. Warm, inviting colors with nice images of the place you are at and hopefully local artwork. No quickly dated graphics or generic plastic features.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
220	3	2	1	Economic yet attractive airport design.	Looks like a real airport.	clean lines	Good way-finding, room to move around with plenty of room for TSA security, a real Precheck line, waiting areas and secure areas that have amenities for travelers and people dropping off/picking up passengers.
221	3	2	1	This one seems most consistent with the way buildings in Mid Missouri look. I like the entry points for departures and arrivals.	The covered apron that juts out of the building roof is very dramatic. It looks great but expensive.	I like the glass windows at the top. It looks like it will be airy and light.	Easy to find where to go. What door do I go in for departures? Also, what impression does this give to visitors? Needs to create a sense of stability and that Mid Missouri is a thriving community.
222	3	2	1	Energy efficiency	Liked the additional height in entrance foyer. Adds a dimension missing in the proposals.	#2 has too much glass exposure facing west that would be less energy efficient and possibly pose a security threat.	Passenger access, seating comfort, noise reduction
223	1	2	3	natural light	wider look	best design elements	This should be an architecturally stunning building as a gateway to the City, including the roads in-out of airport to Hwy 63
224	3	1	2	How wide the building would be and the look of the exterior would be aesthetically pleasing.	I love the logo on the side of the building with lights illuminating.	Too small of a structure and too many windows	Enough security lanes, and enough space to wait in terminal before getting onto the tarmac.
225	3	2	1	It feels like Plan C has more of a flexible plan as well as more thought out plan for car travel in front. ex: 3 lanes for drop off/pick up.	It may be a bit more aesthetically pleasing on the exterior.	I do like boarding from the second floor.	accessibility and room for growth
226	3	2	1	Open, but not too open, more space on the exterior, close pickup area to doors, separate entries	I like the raised ceiling, the idea of having a view of the airfield after passing through screening	two stories	clearly labeled terminals, enough space for passengers at gates, seeing the airfield
227	1	2	3	Bright exterior and interior. Ample covered areas on exterior.	Large, open interior areas with lot of light coming from clerestory windows.	None.	Ability to grow and expand. Space. Easy and efficient airport security.
228	1	2	3	Exterior and interior has a clean feel to it because of white paint and also like having the upstairs	I liked the multiple access to the entry in the exterior and also liked the open feel in the interior.	I liked the Departure and Arrival section in the exterior	A clean and open feel in the interior and easy access to the parking lot
229	2	1	3	The Stephens college aviation department sign.	N/A	N/A	N/A
230	1	2	3	A	B	C	A
231	1	3	2	Larger access to the terminal + entire covered sidewalk	Two entrances/exits.	More use of daylight because of the more direct windows.	Easier to go thru security check area; spacious lobby
232	1	2	3	I like all of the windows and the openness with natural light.	I like the idea of Lewis and Clark playing a major role in decoration and theme.	N/A	Natural light and plenty of space.
233	2	3	1	simplistic. covered sidewalk. signage and colors	two story design	views and interior colors	spacious

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
234	2	1	3	Very inviting. Love the brick. Seems to fit in with the "midwest feel"	Love that it is covered all the way down in front of the terminal	I believe that have a covering all the way down the front is quite important. Additionally, the last options seems very "dark". More light would be fantastic. I'm also unclear as to how the board of the planes would be in the last design. For that matter, I'm a little unclear on the board of planes on Option A as well.	Ease of security checkpoint(s). Ease of getting from parking to terminal (especially when traveling along) Amenities such as a lounge or small restaurant area.
235	1	2	3	Best job on the interior.	Like the facade of B.	Really don't like C facade--looks like a warehouse.	Also having restaurant, snack bar, etc.
236	3	1	2	Attractive look of approach. Open space	Maybe the brighter colors	Not much. This looks like an institutional box.	Welcoming approach, interior space. No one really wants to be in an airport. The best designs make that experience as pleasant as possible.
237	1	3	2	Like the lighted, covered walkway out front. Like the simplicity of design because allows for easier future expansion and a present minimal footprint. Would rather have more money spent on good interior design, decor and art than spent on exterior.	Like the large COU signage on the building. Like the separate arrival/departure entrances but would still like more covered walkway in front.	Like the potential of three lanes in front for traffic and greater flow pattern when driving up to the terminal.	The inside flow should make all aspects of movement simple with minimal crossover of areas of movement. Good restrooms and good food access in the holding area. Make sure of a separate TSA precheck line. Strongly urge keeping free parking. Again the interior should have unique art and architectural elements from moneys saved by having a simpler exterior.
238	1	3	2	Long curb front with continuous canopy. Entire lobby looks to be a two story space with big windows, light and bright. Two story building will give better views once in gate area.	more color on interiors BUT you have to be careful with how much.	exterior-nothing-looks like a school interior looks like it has a wood colored ceiling, that might be nice	Easy to navigate. Good passenger amenities. Spacious, clean, and inviting bathrooms. Food/coffee offerings when at gates.
239	1	2	3	Open light and ease of rolling luggage in. Like the height of building.	Open air feeling of entrance. Like the height of entrance.	Don't like general shape and look of exterior of #3	Ease of entrance, plenty of space, and natural light.
240	1	2	3	Best design for easy wayfinding in terminal.	I like the nod to history; Stephens aviation, etc.	I like the easily identifiable entrances for departures and arrivals and raised gates.	Parking; convenience, easy access and traffic flow inside the terminal.
241	3	2	1	Dark brick makes it look more elegant	The lighting and the flag.	Nothing, looks like a library	Ease of entry and exit and safety.
242	3	2	1	Seperate entrances for departures/arrivals	Windows near ceiling	Windows near ceiling	Functionality
243	1	2	3	most extensive use of windows, natural lighting	a more centrally located entry/exit	the roof pitch may help maintenance in future years (think snow/ice buildup)	ease of check-in, waiting area after check-in and access to restrooms and food/drink.
244	2	1	3	The 2-story windows on the entry way and the sidewalk on the exterior.	The overhang across the complete front exterior.	The 2 separate overhangs on the entrances/exits	Easy to navigate
245	1	2	3	The two-story makes it look larger than it may be.	The appearance of a lot of glass makes it appear brighter.	Smaller awnings.	Boarding and exiting aircraft.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
246	1	3	2	The first airport reminds me of the airport in Lincoln, Nebraska or Madison, Wisconsin. These airports were easy to use because when you walked in, the airlines' check-in desks were right inside the building as you walked in. It was also easy to figure out where to board because everyone has to go upstairs to go through security (and downstairs after arrival). The airports also had space for shops and restaurants both on the first floor (outside of the gate area) and the second floor (inside the gate area). I also think it's easier to access planes via jet bridges when you are already on the second story, and I think it will allow for larger planes at COU in the future. I also like that the costs to heat and cool the building would be less due to the design.	The third option makes it easy to figure out where to drop off and pick up passengers on the exterior. The inside of Option C looks similar to the inside of Option B to me, but I like that the architects noted that their design would be environmentally friendly. I also like that they note that the airport could be expanded easily with this option.	This design reminded me of the Bakersfield, California, airport. I think the outside is the nicest of the three options, but I question how easy it is to navigate outside and inside. My experience with the airport in Bakersfield was that I was confused where to meet ground transportation when I got there because although everyone leaves the gate area in the airport through one exit, but the building itself has two exits, and when you are coming out from the inside, it wasn't clear which exit was for arrivals and which was for departures. The COU airport plans did not say anything about the environmental impact or the ability to expand the airport with this plan.	Easily being able to find the gate area to pass through security and find my boarding gate (when I'm leaving Columbia), and being able to easily find the exit and the baggage claim (when I'm arriving to Columbia). One reason my mom avoids using COU is that she doesn't like the ramps used to board the planes, so having a jet bridge that is easy to use for people who are using wheelchairs or have difficulty walking would also be important.
247	1	2	3	it's very classy looking, bright and inviting. it looks impressive which is important for customers to choose this airport. the jet bridges and a must. and it's nice that it's two floors.	the jet bridges are a must. this one is still bright, but kind of looks like a high school. less functional for someone who flies a lot, but not a bad option.	Honestly I don't like much about this option. it's dark, the colors aren't very appealing or attractive. No jet bridges is a turn turn off, and the interior looks like a combination of an office building and a kindergarten. Nearly everything about this option looks cheap	a jet bridge. bright and clean looking. look functional and appealing. inviting to go to.
248	2	1	3	Looks like a lot of natural light	Red brick	Idk	Ease in getting from car to terminal
249	2	3	1	The look	The look	Restaurant	Ease and room
250	2	1	3	More stylish with open glass area, functionality and stylish footpath.	Nothing.	Awful.	Good drop off areas and functionality of design inside.
251	1	2	3	Exterior design and jet bridges	Jet bridges	Nothing	Jet bridges
252	1	2	3	jet bridges	Jet bridges	no jet bridges...& it's Dark & Ugly!	Jet bridges
253	1	2	3	Jet bridges	Bricks	None	Her bridges
254	1	2	3	The canopy feature for inclement weather.	The photo displays of the area.	N/A	A design that is clean, modern and timeless while also having easy-to-read signage and a visitor-friendly layout that feels intuitive to travelers.
255	1	3	2	The canopy	Brick color	The canopy	The canopy
256	1	3	2	Love the glass at the exterior and interior soffits	Love the interior niches and love the canopy design on the outside	too plain	Flow of traffic, flow of foot traffic inside
257	3	2	1	The murals of the vintage planes	The pop up but it looks very expensive and can this really be in the budget?	I did not get the file opened it must have been very large file.	Budget which one will be within the cities budget.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
258	2	1	3	I just like all the natural light-but if those are steps in 1-then that is a no go-	again the windows-but feel this is very similar to current set up-nothing exciting	Love the logo and darker brick	food and magazine options....charging stations in each chair etc.....I prefer more windows to less windows
259	1	3	2	Light, modern exterior and interior and extensive clear story to let in optimal light. Awning expanding the entire width of the building. Love the two story and overall height of interior	Dedicated entrance/exit...this one looks the most "boring" but I also hear this is the only rendering that's slightly even close to meeting budget so it's not really a fair comparison in my mind.	Grand looking entrance with large windows	Functionality. Would have really liked to see an overall floor plan to assess flow and such.
260	2	1	3	It's just more appealing. Modern yet classic.	Use of glass.	Looks too much like an institution.	Ease of access, pleasing to the eye. Potential for expansion.
261	1	2	3	Exterior roof along the entire front. Interior open and light without a bunch of visually stimulating things going on.	Exterior is more interesting and not so plain.	Not a fan of this one.	Good and simple signage! Obvious walk ways to keep people moving without running into each other. Good food and beverage options inside the gate area. Controlling sound issues with areas designated for quieter needs.
262	2	1	3	The entrance	Windows	Nothing	Accessibility
263	2	1	3	open, defined entryway	lots of windows	cool entry	wayfinding
264	1	2	3	The jetbridge option.	It's the most aesthetically pleasing, with the large windows and open feel.	The covered walkway leading to the rental cars.	Jetbridge to planes. Food and drink behind security.
265	3	1	2	I think the mix and combination of brick use and ease of unload/offload is nice. The building looks similar to other new buildings in Columbia and creates a sense of modernism with the historic touch inside as well. Plus, all three of these buildings look fantastic and WAY better than the current.	I like the open floor plan and potential restaurant incorporation	The use of a second floor for either waiting areas or boarding is very useful.	Ease of access, ease of understanding where to go and what to do.. no significant long lines.. sense of security.. food options are important and also very comfortable waiting areas. Feeling connected to the city while you are at the airport is also very important, so being able to communicate with hotels, places of work etc from the airport without difficult is a must.
266	3	2	1	Love the outside, great curb appeal	Like the color of the exterior	Didn't really care for anything	Ease of access, good flow
267	1	2	3	Open and bright- interior and exterior	Just second choice- nothing I like better	Too similar to what we have now	Openness especially now in the time of Covid and how claustrophobic the current building is.
268	2	3	1	I like the clean lines and awnings over the doors.	I like the abundance of windows.	I again like the windows.	Ease of navigating the terminal, open floor plan, ample space to access necessary gates.
269	1	2	3	NA	NA	NA	NA
270	3	2	1	Awning entrance,	na	na	Coming in within or under budget
271	3	1	2	Tall Entry space	NA	NA	NA
272	1	2	3	I like the incorporation of the existing brick and that it is compact with the second story. Looks efficient and cost-effective.	I like the historical aspect of this one, but it appears to be taking up a lot of unnecessary space	I like that it appears to be using much of the existing structure at the airport, but the colors and decor aren't appealing.	I think it needs to be efficient but built for future expansion. I don't think it needs to be anything fancy, it needs to be a wise use of funds.
273	3	2	1	Interior layout	Wallcoverings	Clean lines	Layout

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
274	3	2	1	Clear wayfinding and appropriately scaled building for Columbia. Interior appears inviting and colorful, like Columbia!	Assuming the budget could afford it, the clerestory windows, especially to the north, could be nice for passengers waiting in the airport.	Nothing	Foremost, function - easy wayfinding and uncomplicated travel are important differentiators of COU from larger airports. But also a relaxing environment to ease stresses of travel.
275	1	2	3	Exterior: long protected drop-off/pick-up area Interior: simple, modern, and 2-story	More interest gained with color, comfortable almost homey seating arrangements, quiet rooms for privacy	Maternal and dog-friendly spaces (I hate the color scheme of C, it's awful)	Functionality, easy navigation, future flexibility, comfortable seating, aesthetically pleasing
276	2	1	3	Looks the most modern	Not much... It looks like an old school building.	Nothing I see.	Comfortable place to sit, easy to read signs and MODERN.
277	2	1	3	The amount of light	n/a	The use of the murals	The amount of space provided to the public, airlines, and staff to make flying out of cou the preferred experience.
278	1	2	3	modern with easy signage	door in the middle	Looks like a box and door on one end	Easy of access. My concern with drawing 2 are the intermittent stairs - very difficult with roller bags. People may walk in the road
279	1	3	2	Loading from inside the terminal. Do not have to go outside to board the plane	Seems to be better prepared for severe weather (brick exterior, not as many windows in case of tornado)	Unfortunately I could never get the pdf to load from Option B, so I cannot really give you an answer	Loading from inside the terminal
280	1	2	3	I liked the the protective covered area for people arriving at terminal, the taller ceiling with light coming in, and the color scheme of option 1.	I liked the the protective covered area for people arriving at the terminal and the taller ceiling with light coming in.	I really did not care for option 3 at all. The colors are not pleasing at all, and the low ceilings are bad too.	Covered drop off area, room to check in without crowding, gate areas that allow comfortable sitting, and access to amenities (food, drink and restrooms).
281	3	1	2	Raised ceiling on entryways	Honestly, it's not very attractive, but seems like it might be the most cost effective	I do like the continued covered area, which would be easier for drop off/pick up.	Automatic doors & a lack of stairs at the doorways. Carrying luggage/kids and encountering stairs is difficult.
282	2	3	1	I like how Option C appears inviting (i.e. the large canopies) without feeling excessive. In a time where environmental, and now economic crises loom, I think COU should look and be restrained in its design, which I think Option C does. I like that Option C doesn't have flashy and expensive-looking details like big skylights and solar fins or escalators. I like Option C's enlarged historic photos and colorful interior. We are a mid-size midwestern City and we don't need to put on airs. We need a good quality facility that isn't over-the-top.	I like how Option A's brick matches the existing airport's brick.	I like how Option B's brick matches the existing airport's brick.	Environmental and financial sustainability. I think limiting up-front costs that do not contribute to long-term low operating costs is important. I would much rather see money spent on an expensive, but efficient, HVAC system and solar power than money spent on flashy aesthetics.
283	2	3	1	The exterior signage noting the Arrival and Departure are good features in this design. The interior use of color and signage allows for ease of traffic flow.	The extended canopy in front is a nice feature.	The expansive use of glass was impressive.	Ease of entering and exiting the terminal. Logical placement of baggage pickup and clear signage and traffic flow.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
284	2	1	3	Seating area and glass exterior	Glass exterior, seating area, and ticketing signage	Too much brick (exterior wise), it looks old and still resembles the current location.	Seating areas and food court
285	2	3	1	Separate arrivals and departures entrance	The color scheme and clean look of the designs	None	Seating, visible travel information and restrooms close by
286	1	2	3	I like the windows at the top and the full length canopy over the front walk	I like the large open entry space	A little of the exterior color	safety, functionality, and convenience
287	1	2	3	Second floor. Open and light.	More glass on the front of the building - making it feel more open.	Multiple drop off lanes	Good parking, easy to navigate, quick security lines.
288	1	2	3	I like the way Option A is more streamlined & looks to have a better flow.	The entrance looks to have an open feel.	The main structure has a classic yet modern feel with the brick.	1. Flow of people throughout the airport while maintaining security. 2. Strength and durability of the structure
289	2	1	3	Nice looking, modern	It looks like a hospital/medical facility a bit, I wish the front entrance was a little more	Does not look new, looks old	Nice looking, accessible to everyone, good floor plan
290	2	1	3	lots of windows	not sure	too much dark brick	modern look inside and out, lots of customer focused features to make traveling more convenient and appealing to do through Columbia.
291	2	1	3	I think a connection of vehicles to the building is nice. IT NEEDS A RAMP though. Love the extra NATURAL light. I love the tree, perhaps more than one will be nicer. We have states parks at the end of the day and should show off our nature characteristic.	I like the roof for protection for passengers while waiting for a ride	The grass is nice. It feels more green	Ramps, nearby covered passenger drop off areas, natural light.
292	1	2	3	A place to stand that is covered and the colors	Lost of glass so you can look out a window while waiting	Nothing	Food, places to sit with outlets
293	1	2	3	Open plan	Good coverage for winters	Size of the sir port	Open planning, easy access
294	3	1	2	Option B has an appealing and welcoming feeling. Through the open facade, both departing and arriving passengers will have see and have a connection with the next phase of their journey. Option A and C are just big boxes structures and do not offer any opportunity for elegance.	Option C has a COU sign that could be transported to Option B	nothing really..	Clear and open plan where passengers instinctively find their way. Also being able to see through the front wall gives passengers the ability to prepare for the next step in the journey.
295	3	1	2	It just feels opening, spacious, and welcoming!	The White looks fresh and clean!	I just don't love the setup	Plenty of space in checkin and hate waiting! Currently, if there are full flights it's jammed pack with people. That being said, as someone that flies ALOT out of COU it will forever be nice to have these options so close to home. I would much prefer here then driving to MCI/STL
296	3	1	2	I don't like all the white but I like the walk ways to the plane. I like B better	I like the warm color accents better than white	It looks like garage doors in the windows	Ease in boarding and parking. A covered area to board an disembark



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
297	2	1	3	grand entrance. inspired by Lewis & Clark and other Missouri history.	clean design. grand. best first impression.	signage on the building	large scale signage inside and out so you know where you need to go. clean, modern colors that will last. celebration of Columbia: artwork of the city, state, Mizzou, etc.
298	3	2	1	Expansion option 20 years	Missouri artifacts	2 story	Cost effective carbon foot print
299	2	1	3	The natural tones and materials on the interior are more soothing and less likely to look dated over time. It felt clean, bright, and open, without feeling sterile. For the exterior, I liked the extra covered space to walk the full length of the front out of the elements with plenty of space to wait without having to huddle close to other people. I also like the lighted extra side walk coming up from parking area.	Option A made more use of windows placed higher up on the building and skylights for natural lighting. This made it brighter and gave a perception of having more open / fresh air.	I liked the backlit airport sign with logo on the front of the building for brand recognition.	I want it to look clean and hygienic with an open floor plan that makes it easy for me to see where I need to go. Too dark or claustrophobic, and I feel anxiety about being herded together with so many people before getting on a constricted airplane. I travel often, and don't like feeling caught in a maze when in a new airport. When arriving / departing, I HATE having to walk / stand outside in the rain with all my stuff while waiting on a car. Airports that rely on white and light gray interiors show every scuff and handprint, and even though I know they are no dirtier than any other airport, I can't shake the feeling that everything is unclean and I need to wash my hands every five minutes.
300	2	1	3	Seems to be the most inviting exterior design. Open design of the entry/interior looks good as well.	Continuous and longer canopy over the drop off/pick up area is good. Two story construction to allow jet bridge entry from the higher vantage point is great.	Separated entry/exit points for arrivals and departures.	Easy access from parking areas. Well-designed pedestrian traffic flow to prevent bottlenecks in/around baggage pickup area, security, walkways to gates, ticketing area, etc. Clear signage to direct people where to go. Restaurants and/or adequate vending accessible in the gate areas after clearing security. Flight status message boards. Security area that moves passengers through quickly with a separate TSA pre-check line.
301	2	1	3	clean lines, modern look	Neutral colors	NA	inviting atmosphere
302	2	1	3	Windows and exterior steel elements	Elevation and awning	Exterior walkways	Efficient, natural light.
303	2	3	1	Warm colors, clean lines, welcoming	Looks very modern and bright	Looks like a high school	Inviting, bright, modern, and making sure Mid-Missouri history, businesses, schools, and community is represented.
304	1	2	3	Exterior : Easy access to parking, pick and drop off area is very wide. Interior: More spacious wait area.	n/a	n/a	self check ticket kiosk, seating, informational display about flight status. cleanliness.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
305	2	1	3	Generally looks more stylish than the other two, which look like big boxes with no character. It looks more modern than Option C and less like a warehouse, which Option A appears.	Solar panels, if Option B doesn't already have them.	I like hardly ANYTHING about the exterior or interior features about my least favorite option. Maybe the porcelain tile for the restroom walls?	Ease of navigating around the terminal and spacious area for vehicles to drop off.
306	2	1	3	Lots of natural light and open space, would make the terminal feel larger and more inviting	The awning above the drop off area	Usage of the logo and other "themes" from the airport	Feeling of open space and not being cramped/claustraphobic
307	2	3	1	More colorful. More economical to maintain, Heat & cool. Good foot traffic flow!	Outside canopy. But, this may be over budget and could be added or extended at a later date.	Possible extended outside canopy	Security & traffic flow. Efficient to maintain, heating & cooling. Low carbon footprint
308	2	1	3	The entry way, the glass, the materials colors/finishes	Second story boarding bridges	Accent colors	Non cramped hallways, powered seating at gates, room enough to sit/move between rows at gate, enough room to queue
309	3	1	2	The large and open feeling.	The smaller footprint would be better if it still fits the needs of the community.	The smaller footprint would be better if it still fits the needs of the community.	The terminal should be well thought out to be efficient and easy to use. The terminal should be an iconic building that the community can be proud of.
310	3	1	2	Natural light, daylighting, lighting on exterior	Brick, overhangs on curbside drop off	Lack of windows on first floor	Natural light, open space
311	3	1	2	The windows and the light it gives! Also the history of aviation	The brick design	The windows	Easy check in, easy boarding (covered/enclosed), easy luggage collection
312	1	3	2	Efficient use of space. Two-story structure allows for easier future expansion.	Clear indications of arrivals and departures. Exterior and interior color schemes and materials are more attractive.	I don't see any favorite features. Design appears to be most inefficient use of space. Some of the flooring materials look nice.	Adequate and comfortable space in pre-boarding areas. Design should permit easiest expansion.
313	2	1	3	The depth there is to it. It's not just a box	the amount of windows to allow for natural light	the size and scale of COU's logo	ease of accessibility, natural light
314	2	1	3	Clean, inviting exterior without looking industrial	Bright but concerned about amount of windows	Clean but boxy like a garage	short walks from front entrance to common areas
315	1	2	3	Two floors	More seating it looks like	Too blah. Liked the glass look.	Spacious, actual restaurants to get food and drinks, outlets, ample seating that isn't so cramped
316	1	2	3	Clean, simple lines. Not a lot of color that could date it in the years to come. Not overwhelming. I really like the upstairs, opens it up a lot.	I like the sidewalk shape- and the brick. It reminds me a lot of a school, but I like all the seating areas and the security check point	Brick, 2 stories, sign on brick	Easy pick up/drop off, plenty of seating where people can spread out. Lots of natural light.
317	2	1	3	Passengers stay on the same level, big open areas, bright with lots of windows and high ceilings, wider ticket counter area	Full length canopy for pick up and drop off, more drop off lanes shown, two story building would be good if the passengers enter on the upper floor and the lower level/ tarmac level is for baggage and service, etc.	3-lane drop off roadway is good, COU sign on the building is good	Easy landside vehicle access, paved parking lots, open airy feel to the ticketing area, lots of windows, passengers stay on one level (keep the service/baggage area on the same level as the tarmac), lots of electric plug ins by the passenger seating area (e.g. every seat has a plug in), free wi-fi, food and drink options by the gates
318	1	3	2	The 2nd floor terminal area.	The separate arrival/departure doors.	Large windows	Comfortable seating area with window views
319	2	1	3	Streamlined, the walking path is closer to parking. The path is also roomy enough for a wheelchair and another person if walking in different directions.	It looks like it allows for a lot of light in the building.	This building looks a little like a prison.	Roomy, airy, natural light, wheelchair access should be evident and roomy as well.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
320	2	1	3	All glass entrance	The large overhead canopy	Nothing	Color
321	2	1	3	Very open and welmoming	Long drop off	airport logo placement	local identity, intuitive circulation. Wayfinding and restrooms
322	2	1	3	Prominent entrance with ample natural light within main atrium area.	Awning	Logo	Open and well lit areas with clear wayfinding.
323	3	1	2	Lots of glass for exterior views. Most modern looking terminal of the three options.	The front roadside canopies look good. Does look like a nice design overall.	The checkmark canopy over the roadway.	Open space, ease of getting around.
324	1	2	3	This design seems to be more easily accessible for my elderly grandmother who flies to Texas often. It appears much like the old airport design, which she likes because she doesn't have to walk for an extended period of time.	I like the interior colors used in the second option the best. I like the window look from the exterior as well. The coordinated black/grey/white look is appealing and clean.	I do not like the dark colors in the exterior. The awnings on the outside are too small in my opinion to protect a large crowd if it were raining, for example.	I enjoy the characteristics of a smaller city airport. Although not mentioned in the design proposals, I hope the airport is adding other drink/food options besides a vending machine for those waiting to depart. A small sandwich shop and breakfast place would be nice for those waiting.
325	3	1	2	Just looks more like an airport. Front entrance looks inviting.	The entrance overhangs.	Nothing really. It looks like a school building.	Easy in-out. Covered curb side to project you from weather.
326	2	1	3	Open and airy interior. Clean lines and exterior lighting.	COU sign.	Exterior landscaping.	User friendly security checkpoints and passenger waiting areas.
327	2	1	3	The building has more dimension and open look on exterior. Interior feels more spacious	Nothing	Nothing	Spacious feel. High ceiling, clear line or sight, intuitive navigation
328	3	1	2	The openness of it	The colors	Very bland	Easy in and out. Well signed to help guide where you need to go.
329	3	1	2	All around glass top concept, sidewalk and lights.	logo and dark brick on exterior	exterior canopy	open space concept. Plenty of areas to walk and check in
330	1	2	3	Exterior visibility, simplicity	like brick; but too "box like" looks like a garage.	Canopy, but contrast of materials seem to clash.	Open, incorporates community space, natural light, portrays character of the community
331	1	3	2	I like the two story option and room for future expansion as the airport grows.	More warm colors	Not a fan of the design at all. Looks like a school.	Ease of getting from the ticket counter to the gate.
332	2	1	3	Staying with the Ozark region history and the open ceiling.	The interiors soft colors	Quartz countertop	The feel when you first walk in, a warm inviting space. A space that is easy to navigate.
333	3	1	2	Material Palette & Open Feel of Entry Volume	Supergraphics	Ticketing Wall	ease of use / passenger flow & experience
334	2	1	3	The all glass entry area with the exposed x braces	The long canopy in the front	Three flags	Functionality
335	2	1	3	The bright entry way.	The brighter colors of option A could be incorporated into option B.	It looks more like a school, or any corporate box. An airport should make an impression for travelers.	Something to remember it by. Clear direction for the travelers. Growth potential.
336	3	1	2	clerestory entry hall	wing canopy	N/A	relaxing space and airy comfortable feel. connection to community and past.
337	3	1	2	Contemporary look with light from raised roof.	I like the lighted covered walk area and the strong entrances.	I like just looks more traditional and prefer more contemporary design.	Designed to find your way easily and open light area.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
338	3	1	2	Like the clear story of option B, and the fact that it has some offsets in the shape, not just a big box.	Like the entry portals.	roadway canopy	open environment, ease of navigation with an intuitive path to the plane
339	2	1	3	Use of glass at entrance point	Cleanliness of lines	Retro, warehouse look	Serve as the front door for our community
340	2	1	3	I like the profile of the design, it is less boxy than the others. I like that you can see into the terminal. It looks lighter and brighter.	The colors	Not sure.	It should be inviting and architecturally interesting.
341	1	2	3	High window line, bright interior, unfussy design	More color in carpet, tile, etc	Blown up vintage artwork 🖼️🖼️	Steps are a downer (#2 has in approach from parking lot), so ease of access. Quality construction. Rising above the bare minimum.
342	2	1	3	Tall glass for letting in lots of light (option B)	Front canopy	The logo on the building	natural light, good food options, seating/charging space.
343	2	1	3	The interior seem open and clean	The exterior has nice light colors	nothing	open, clean, easy to navigate, light colors and lots of plants
344	3	1	2	The large open lobby area.	The color of the veneer.	The combination of veneer and metal panels	The commodities available for the traveler.
345	3	1	2	Lots of glass for natural light.	The sensory room is a great idea.	The COU Sign out front.	Ease of mobility (flow). Art/design features.
346	2	1	3	full height windows in the atrium provide great visual connection to the outside.	variation of materials	Full brick facade looks heavy and uninviting	easy natural wayfinding without requiring arrow signs
347	2	1	3	It is more of an open concept, seems more inviting. All of the glass is beautiful and merges the interior and exterior feel of the concept. The shape of the building is also more interesting, the others just seem like a box.	I like the top row of windows on Option A. Seems like that would add a lot of natural light on the interior. I also like the front canopy that spans the entire length of the building.	I like the logo on the side of the building, though this could be difficult to change if logo ever changes.	Ease of movement for the traveler. Lots of seating and electrical outlets. Good wifi.
348	2	1	3	Open, natural daylighting. Juxtaposition of entrance to rest of the building - looks grand and welcoming.	High ceilings	Airport logo on exterior	easy signage, comfortable seats, open spaces, natural light
349	2	1	3	The overall entrance.	The light	I think it is too dark.	Openness, light, airy feeling.
350	2	1	3	The amount of space and light in the airport lobby.	The long arrival area.	Not a fan of design C.	The space in the airport lobby.
351	2	1	3	the exterior shape on Option B feels more like Columbia than the others.	it looks simple and like a government building	the logo is cool	a grand space, that offers a memorable first impression for visitors and residents both. Option B, with the memorabilia and regional history is great.
352	1	2	3	Its very open and bright.	The entrance seems open.	It doesn't look like it lets much natural light in.	Open and easy to navigate.
353	3	1	2	More open, will let more natural light in.	Exterior lighting	the art feature at the end.	Openness, plenty of light, intuitive wayfinding
354	2	1	3	I like the glass. It provides a very open feel. I really like the elevated canopy.	I like the glass up top, but wish that there was more glass down low.	Seems very dark. Don't think that the design will let enough light in.	Openness. I like a design that let's the light in. Smooth passenger flow is also a plus.
355	2	1	3	Looks more inviting and has plenty of covered drop off	Lots of covered drop off	Limited entry	Easy access
356	1	2	3	Two story design and layout.	Entry way	None	Mobility and flow through out the facility.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
357	1	2	3	The height of the building and the openness of the interior.	Not much of anything!	Picks 2 & 3 have no appeal. Look like they should be a elementary school not a airport for the future.	Flow of travelers through the airport. Ease of check-in then through security and waiting for your flight. The same for arrivals to leaving the airport.
358	2	1	3	natural light	white/light interior	NA	easily navigable, well lit
359	2	1	3	Glass front.	The canopy.	Too much brick	comfortable waiting area
360	2	1	3	Looks very open and interesting from the street.	Looks like every 1960's high school in America right down to the huge vee-shaped awning.	The entrances look like they were retrofitted to jazz up an old supermarket that went of out business. The awnings look like cheap hangar doors that would break away during a tornado. The interior looks like a cross between a daycare and a doctor's office.	Having cheap flights to places I want to go.
361	3	1	2	better functionality	aesthetics	none	convenience, security
362	2	1	3	Lots of natural light. Very airy feel. Also, lots of curb appeal.	The awning over the doors is neat, but you actually see this at a lot of airports.	Not really liking C at all. I guess the ceiling sculpture things are kinda neat, especially if they help with wayfinding.	Good flow, good natural light, open space to make it easy to pass people who walk slow.
363	3	1	2	All of the windows.	the airport sign on the building	the overhang	everything!
364	3	1	2	I feel like Option B is the most warm option presented	It is not as boxy as Option A	curbside canopy	simplicity
365	1	3	2	This look breaks up the brick and adds more contrast	I like the solid canopy over the two individual canopies in Option C	The two separate canopies look strange to me	Boarding from inside the building rather than on the tarmac
366	2	1	3	The full glass front that allows natural light into the terminal.	The canopy	I like it all!	Bright and open. Easy to navigate.
367	3	1	2	The entry looks welcoming and open. Similarly, the interior seems warm as opposed to the other two options feeling much more impersonal.	I do like the red color but it makes the interior too dark.	Does look clean but looks very bright and too clinical	Easy of movement, good signage, space
368	2	1	3	light	interior light	brick	passenger experience
369	2	1	3	Windows	White	Logo	Baggage Claim
370	2	1	3	I find the darker exterior of option B to be appealing as it blends in more with the natural scenery. I also like the large white overhand and large open windows/open areas that allow to see interior	I don't really like it. I like B as is	Nothing. I like option B as is	Aesthetic curb appeal is important. I think going to an airport should be an experience in and of itself. I think features found in Singapore's new airport should be a gold standard.
371	2	1	3	lighting	windows	looks like a restaurant	Pedestrian friendly
372	2	1	3	colors and the art. ceiling looks high end.	i like the text of the airlines in option A	i like the color and design of the carpet	I chose B because it does't just look like a box. It looks nice and comfortable and shows as a gateway to our community.
373	2	1	3	The glass design and openness feel that this presents. Looks more open and inviting than others.	The covered overhang in the front.	COU logo on the building	Space (not overcrowded), cleanliness

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
374	2	1	3	Windows	Canopy	a second story	comfortble hold rooms
375	3	1	2	Looks better lighted, more modern, lots of natural light, exterior is not so dark. looks safer with the openness	I like the signage	nothing really it's very basic	safety, lighting, bright fresh look
376	2	1	3	The steps outside and the windows	N/A	N/A	Clean lines of architecture and modern
377	2	1	3	lots of windows	the building looks large and roomy	the overhangs	large and roomy, covered walkways to all areas including rental car. i dont want to get wet. that just makes traveling the worst.
378	2	1	3	The high roof in the center with glass front.	The outside canopy.	The airport logo.	Natural light
379	3	1	2	The central glass atrium.	The cantilevered canopies	I like that it is open to light from the ceiling along its entire perimeter	High quality concessions.
380	2	1	3	I liked the association to the Columbia history, Stephens college aviation.	Liked the seating options and space.	High ceilings are not cost effective.	Accessibility, ease of use and eye appeal.
381	3	1	2	B	C	A	Clean-cut and simple
382	2	1	3	The windows, more natural light	The Canopy	Larger parking	Ticket Counters and Security
383	1	2	3	The lobby promotes clear traffic flow.	The window atrium is different and striking.	There are no renderings of seating areas, so this could be a negative.	Easy to navigate, views/aesthetics.
384	2	3	1	Use of space no elevators or escalators and a lot of color	Connected awning on exterior	Connected awning on exterior	Ease of moving people through the terminal. Traffic flow
385	3	1	2	It has more features than just the appearance of a big box like the others.	More use of masonry than the third option.	The airport logo.	An open and airy space is important. The use of exterior light and the flow through the terminal. Sometimes its hard to find your way through an airport so signage is important too.
386	1	2	3	Two story design for ease of boarding.	Brick facing on exterior	Interior colors	Two stories
387	1	3	2	Cover and bright	Cover	No cover	Seating with workspace and electric Food and drink in secure area More than one bathroom in secure area Protection from weather getting in and out of terminal
388	2	1	3	I like the glass entry way. It looks the most appealing to my eye and should provide ample room to gather your family and luggage together.	The covered drop off area is helpful in rainy/snowy weather.	It has two small covered drop off/pick up areas.	ample room for passengers
389	1	2	3	No comment	No comment	No comment	No comment
390	2	3	1	Working with the existing landscape and keeping it compact. Not having to walk in the weather to board the plane.	I like the 2 story approach to use existing landscape and compact design.	The 2 story approach and a better bag claim area.	I enjoy the close proximity to home and free parking. The ease of getting through the screening is also great.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
391	3	2	1	Fit, Form & Function. This seems to accomplish all with a simple design and best cost/benefit for building and maintenance. Nice details in the summary.	Prefer simple exterior design, not enough detail for interior space.	There is nothing I like in design that tries to put lipstick on a pig. Aluminum curtains, etc make this look like the "leisure suit" of design.	AS a 4 million mile flyer, there are only 2 attractions for me to fly COU. A) Free parking B) Easy in. Easy out. And I don't mind paying ticket prices more than my other 3 airport options (STL/MCI/SGF) if these two conditions are met. Otherwise, the limited daily flights create "connection roulette". If these 2 mentioned elements change, and the alternatives are on the same playing field, I am going back to our major airports for complete service, and expanded choice.
392	3	1	2	Interior seems inviting and comfortable	Warm colors	Drop off canopies	Ease of security. Good seating with power
393	3	2	1	Simplistic exterior design and the open interior design.	The exterior is pretty, but I can't say much for the interior.	Just feels cosmetic. The outside is pretty, but the inside was forgotten about.	Being able to quickly access everything that I need on the way to boarding the plane (e.g., restrooms, snack, brief business call in a quieter space).
394	1	2	3	Light. More prairie style influence. Looks more connecting to the outside and air.	Light and windows.	Block falling from sky. Looks like a cube that could be a mall or a factory.	Good traffic flow in and out of the building.
395	1	2	3	The outside covering that goes across the whole building, unlike #3. The interior is more attractive. The second floor access to the planes.	The center of this building makes it less box like compared to #1. The interior isn't stark white, but does seem a bit more dated than #1.	Mostly I don't like the look of this one; the minimal covering on the outside, and the colors on the inside.	The flow of people inside the airport, ease of getting from one place to the other. Convenient parking, pick up and drop off. Comfortable/pleasant waiting areas, on both sides of TSA
396	2	1	3	The pillars and windows	Pillars	Nothing	Entrance
397	1	2	3	fully covered walkway no matter where you get dropped off	design I've seen many times before so it feels familiar. looks like a school	looks like a warehouse where the trucks pull in to me. Or maybe a mechanics garage.	accessibility to drop off and pick up. Easy and clear directions on how to get around (gates, tickets, bag pick up, exit)
398	1	3	2	Modern look	Arrival and departure areas	None	Easy to understand meaning everything labeled for ease of travel
399	2	1	3	This seems like the most inviting option	The light colors	The canopy extension	Ease of navigation
400	3	2	1	Awnings for drop offs and departures, open floorplan inside.	Open floorplan.	Awning that extends across entire length of building front.	Full awning at entrance/exit. Easy access to boarding pass kiosks, baggage checks and terminals.
401	2	1	3	B	A	C	FUNCTION, FUTURE EXPANSION, NAVIGATION/FLOW
402	1	2	3	High ceilings with skylights	Exterior split-level design.	Looks blocky and not "open."	Open, does not crowd people together. Easy access to bathrooms.
403	2	1	3	Not just a square box. Different elevations and lots of windows. Tall ceilings which makes it feel more open and inviting.	Two story concept (or at least elevated hold-rooms/boarding bridges).	The exterior COU logo.	The feeling of large open spaces, tall ceilings, and lots of natural light. The ease of drop-off and pick-up. Easy to find things within the terminal.
404	2	1	3	Entry way very attractive	covered entry	windows	ease of processing through to flight

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
405	1	2	3	BRIGHT CLEAN EASY TO UNDERSTAND DIRECT AND TO THE POINT SERVICE NO FLUFF	NOT MUCH MAYBE A LITTLE MORE LOCAL COLOR BUT NO DISTRACTIONS AS A SENIOR FLYER ITS EASIER TO ACCESS	WAY TO DARK WITH ADDED DISTRACTIONS KEEP IT SIMPLE SO ALL AGE GROUPS CAN SAVE TIME WHO LOOKS AT GRAPHICS WHEN HURRYING	EASE WITH FINDING WHERE TO GO AND NO PARKING FEES THATS THE BIG REASON DON'T GO TO STL LOVE COU FOR CONNIVENCE HOPING IT GETS MORE FIGHTS AND AIRLINES JUST DON'T GET TOO BIG
406	1	3	2	The windows at the top and the canopy that extends the entire length of the drop-off/loading zone.	The multiple accessibility rooms available to travelers.	Nothing - it looks like a school, not an airport.	The layout should be easy to follow and consistent with other airports. The amount of available restrooms is important!
407	3	2	1	I like practical features of more seating and tables in the airport.	The window/natural lighting.	Maybe the second story but I don't like it either way.	Internal features for passengers to use and natural lighting.
408	2	1	3	Exterior has a varying elevation, while the other two options are a flat face. Option B is much more appealing.	Bright interior.	Nothing.	Passenger comfort. Bright spaces.
409	2	3	1	Less glass, reduced claning cost amd more energy efficient. More storm resistant.	I don't like it.	I don't like it	Best use of space.
410	2	1	3	aviation/railroad theme, rich colors, modern design	second story	canopies	intuitive design (easy to navigate), artistic
411	1	3	2	Both entryway are under roof and you can walk from one to the other under roof	Both under roof but break between doorways	I think it's tasteful just liked others better	I think needs different seating for the terminals not together like they are now
412	1	2	3	A	B	C	Convenient
413	2	1	3	Building has dimension to it. Interior looks open and easy to navigate	A lot of natural light. However, floor space looks tight directly inside the public doors	Some of the interior seating perhaps. I do not like the separate doors for arrivals and departures.	A look that will not appear antiquated a decade from now. Function and ease of the traveler.
414	3	2	1	I like separate entrances for departures and arrivals	I like the bright welcoming glass	I like the covered arrival area	Easy, not complicated access to airline ticket. I like uncomplicated baggage retrieving and exit to transportation.
415	3	2	1	Warm, welcoming, modern	Local history showcased and MO river colors and textures incorporated	Cost efficiency layout	Easy access, clear and easy to understand arrival and departure experience.
416	2	1	3	The lighted handicap accessible feature of the walkway	The interior colors	The outside isn't very attractive	Ease of entering, places to sit once inside
417	2	1	3	Not a single block from the exterior, light and airy interior. Warm interior colors.	Brick exterior, multiple floors.	While I don't particularly like the colors used, it does seem like having a bit of color it's nice	Being able to see the airplanes
418	1	3	2	Large glass curtain walls with solar fins to dissipate sun from the west. 2 story design seems better for passenger boarding.	I like that they have covered walks to parking areas.	Wood trim in the interior.	Function and layout. Make it easy for passengers to get where they need to be.
419	1	3	2	Long covered canopy and all the glass for natural light	some of the finishes	glass at the entry way	like the natural light so you don't feel closed in. covered canopy for people that want to wait outside
420	2	1	3	Covered boarding bridge	Exterior design	Access to rental cars	Covered exterior access, covered boarding, comfortable lounge area, coffee shop.
421	1	3	2	Bright, airy, contemporary, sophisticated	Pronounced entry	Tall space	Easy to use,easy flow, amenities



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
422	1	3	2	Large windows, clean design, 2 story, large covered front. Overall looks more modern and more visually appealing.	Not sure	Not sure do not really like	Traffic flow for both interior and exterior.
423	2	1	3	The openness	It looks clean	The color scheme of the interior (yellow / red)	Accessible, spacious, comfortable
424	1	3	2	Seems from the description that it was the most thought out design for future growth/expansion. I prefer the elevated boarding area	Better description than the third ranking proposal	?	Ease of use. Easy drop off and fast processing thru TSA. We have an opportunity to get it right. So get it right
425	2	1	3	Large overhang outside. Tall ceiling. Excellent viewing of airfield	Two story and room for expansion	Parking	Covered drop off area. Room for expansion.
426	2	1	3	Astetically pleasing. My favorite airport ever is the KC airport for easy of use.	it's better than the third.	the color scheme. I like dark brick and darker colors.	Ease of use. Free parking.
427	3	2	1	Interior the Ozarks	Interior the Lewis and Clark	Exterior looks like a Firehouse	Easy entrance, parking and close check in and bag drop off
428	2	1	3	Large open windows	Large overhang above the entry and exit	Looks too much like a high school	Easy in and out.
429	2	3	1	one level	least movement of land	one story	ease of movement throughout airport and boardinh planes ease of expansion for additional airlines
430	1	2	3	Lots of Glass, bright, modern.	Nice entry way.	? Too much brick.	Easy to navigate, comfortable waiting areas, good parking lots.
431	3	1	2	Just do	It's ok	Didn't like it	Fast check in
432	3	1	2	GRAND and SPACIOUS! Looks like a REAL airport! We need that!	It's very solid. Like the darker brick.	Like the plants, would be cool to have some native Missouri plants and nice landscaping around our Mid-Mo airport!	I need SPACE. Space to move around, space to not be crowded, space to check my own bags and make sure I have what I need... SPACE. Post-Covid we will all need it. Please do not create an airport with crowded main aisles! !!!
433	1	2	3	Looks to be 2 levels and lots of light	Still looks open and plenty of light but good weather protection	Looks institutional and dark	Ease of parking, room inside the terminal and seating that isn't so hard.
434	2	1	3	fresh and stylish, the large overhang	the windows	nothing	a waiting area out of rain and sun
435	1	2	3	Two story design on option A. Also, the most aesthetically pleasing.	It would be great to have a nursing mother's room (specifically mentioned in option 2) in Option 1.	Increased parking	Ample parking, easy to navigate or clear signage, family restrooms/nursing mother's room, and ample gate seating.
436	1	2	3	light colored, impressive, modern appearance, two stories, full-length canopy, wide entrance, natural light, separated ticketing, TSA screening, baggage claim	memorabilia wall is nice, but NOT the Stephens College Aviation Department beam. I get the historical link, but beam diminishes the broader context and value of the terminal...makes it seem less significant.	Nothing...exterior is dark and institutional (much like current terminal), interior looks like a day-care facility with the colors. It'd be better than we have now, but far from impressive. Supergraphic wallcoverings could be interesting?	Visually appealing and functional. This is the first and last thing visitors see, so a good impression is really important. Improved functionality compared to current terminal, with separated ticketing, TSA screening, and baggage claim. Modern jetbridges are a must...it rains and snows here a fair amount...it is hard to take our airport seriously when we have to pass out umbrellas when it rains.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
437	3	1	2	The windows will facilitate natural lighting and make it easier to view approaching vehicles for pick-up.	The clearly marked departures and arrival gates, brighter colors.	None.	The current terminal has become increasingly uncomfortable during delays. If I have to arrange travel during inclement weather, I would prefer to wait in STL than closer to home for the amenities. Access to family friendly seating where announcements are still audible, a variety of snacks (including healthy options) and coffee, and manageable workspaces are essential.
438	2	3	1	I like the use of color and clear signage in the interior. The narrative description in Option C was very informative concerning what features were a focus, and seems to be the most well thought out plan of all. The description lead me to believe there is special knowledge of airport planning in this option.	The use of a large canopy across the front is both attractive and welcoming and could be used for option C also.	Again the use of a large canopy across the front is a great design option.	1. We are in our 70's, travel frequently and prefer no stairs, elevators or escalators. 2. Obvious clear signage that makes locations in the terminal easily found.
439	2	3	1	The interior has comfortable seating and easy flow. The single story- no stairs is great for handling baggage. Also, nice for disabled, elderly and people with children.	The light airy feel of the exterior. Covered area where more than one car can pull in out of the rain.	Looks too much like a school and too many windows. Missouri has strong storms that can be damaging .	Ease of movement -clear directions-signs. Helpful and friendly service. Comfortable seating while waiting.
440	2	1	3	Liked the idea of a local history wall, covered entry and open expanse upon entering the terminal	The two story design with the light from the upper windows. Also the covered area before entering the terminal.	Did not like the style of the two separate canopies in front and thought the rest of the building lacked eye appeal.	Entrance and exit areas with covered area for protection from weather. Ease of locating specific areas. Comfortable waiting area.
441	1	2	3	It invokes, more so then the others, a feeling of excitement about flying.	None	Already looks like a outdated middle school	A building that inspires one to want to fly. Something that is functional but gives excitement to the skies.
442	3	1	2	The open entryway in option B is striking and will be a nice welcome to visitors.	The open entryway again in option C.	The compact design of A.	From my answers, it's clear that I prefer larger open spaces. The flow of option A seemed nice, but it seemed too compact.
443	3	1	2	I like the set up with the walk ways and it's more modern in its own way.	I would like to see more of the Columbia Regional Airport logo	I do like the covering over the Entrance so that way when raining or snowing people can stay dry	Just making it so people can at least come and enjoy it and also some how putting art work and items that represent Columbia like mizo tigers and what ever else we are standing for
444	1	3	2	Light color scheme	Lots of glass	High awnings	Visually appealing, modern-looking
445	2	1	3	A lot of windows	A lot of seating	Brick exterior	Hi tech, lots of charging stations, food options, and easy luggage claim.
446	3	2	1	I love the look of the new building.	Great - timeless decor.	Two story design.	Convenient parking
447	1	2	3	The architecture on the outside with the figure by its side	How parts of it are high and low it doesn't look flatter	I like how it has the COU on the front	Bright colors and some architecture attractions
448	3	1	2	One level	COU	2nd level	Handicap accessible offerings, drop off, parking, restrooms, one level checkin and departure etc

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
449	1	2	3	Shortened jet boarding bridges, smaller footprint leaving room for further expansion in both directions. Drawing shows only one escalator. Should have two!	The higher canopy and exterior building materials.	Separate locations for arrivals and departures.	A feeling of (if not actual) openness. Comfortable waiting space/furniture!
450	1	2	3	Two stories with boarding on second floor, long exterior canopy that runs the full length of the building	Open lobby/Stevens College beam	Ground boarding option	Future expansion option for additional gates and airlines
451	1	3	2	Covered smoking area.	Covered smoking area.	Lack of a covered smoking area.	Covered smoking area.
452	1	2	3	Small footprint, opportunity for future expansions are not limited.	I like the layout of the building.	Unknown	Ease of access into the building, convenience of baggage pickup close to exit. It would be nice to have either a restaurant again or an expanded area for refreshments.
453	2	1	3	I like the exterior design of plan 2, but I like the interior of design 1 and 3. Loading from the second level sounded sensible, but I really like the historical motif of #2.	See previous answer.	I dislike the exterior design of #3, but did like the interior design and colors.	Proximity of parking lot to terminal, comfort of seating, handicap access to all services including restroom, ease of embarkation onto plane, clear and easy to understand terminal layout, coffee shop or place to obtain snacks and refreshments
454	2	1	3	glass windows, the path up, roundabout drop	covered outside to wait	nothing	a drop off/pick up lane. easy to get to terminal. outdoor covered seating.
455	1	2	3	2-story and expandability	Interior finishes	None	Ease of getting around
456	2	3	1	More spacious than current, but not too much as people don't hang out alot in the non boarding area. Like the color palette.	2nd floor gates	Boarding bridges.	TSA speed and flow through. Ample seating and ease of movement at the gate. Good parking and in/out access.
457	3	2	1	Overall design and color scheme.	Historical features.	Second floor boarding.	Ease of use and most cost efficient.
458	2	1	3	The overhead driving area.	Lots of windows	No stairs	Elderly & handicap help.
459	2	1	3	I like that option B maintains a similar vibe to the current terminal yet is updated. It doesn't feel like it is trying to look bigger than it is. It is a nod to the mid century vibe of the present building.	I like the open feel of the interior of option A.	I don't think it is necessary to have two separate entrances for arrivals and departures, The doors are pretty close to each other and it seems like the terminal is trying too hard to be bigger than it is.	It should be welcoming and easy to figure out where you are going.
460	2	1	3	B is calming and open	glass is nice	Option c colors are to bold and not calming inside. The outside is plain.	Calming, open, functional flow
461	1	3	2	Clean modern spacious design	Color scheme and signs	Night time lighting.	Spaciousness, ease of use. Cleanliness. Simple.
462	1	2	3	Looks spacious	The way the bldg looks	Looks utilitarian	That I can easily find my gate and a food court
463	2	3	1	The cantilever over the doors	solar fins	lighted sidewalk, path	Ability to expand in the future
464	1	2	3	The windows and the open feel.	Similar to 1.	It feels more closed and less contemporary.	Easy to navigate and see things.
465	3	1	2	I like the openness of option B. How could we possibly know anything about interior features of any of the potions??	none	none	Efficient flow for passengers both departing and arriving..

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
466	1	3	2	Overhang at drop off sidewalk seems to be longer, and the second floor access for boarding.	Nice spacious waiting areas.	Wasted height inside of terminal.	Pickup and drop off flow and security for passengers. Access to boarding safely.
467	2	1	3	Warming interior. Not too bright and not too dark.	The modern style materials. I like these materials in the option B. That would be the best	Not modern enough. To corporate office like.	Simplicity. Easy to understand where to be and where to go. Signages.
468	2	1	3	It looks like it has the best canopy.	Light colors.	None	Ease of getting to the gate and ease of getting back out when I've landed.
469	3	2	1	Brick construction hold up to weather best all seasons	The open feel of the space	Don't know	Easy mobility through, no backtracking
470	2	3	1	Modern design and second floor access to planes.	Color concepts.	None	Modern design with options for growth.
471	1	3	2	Direct loading on large aircraft instead of having to go outside and up stairs. Lots of light in design. Less footprint with excavation but can expand. Three lane traffic.	Additionally parking. Option for ground loading as well for non traditional flights. Mothers room, quiet areas, pet relief.	Some of the colors were nice	Parking! Safety. Ease of check in and security. Place to plug in electronics.
472	3	2	1	Roomy spacious easy layout to find areas	Spacious	Upstairs and downstairs	Easy access one level and roomy
473	2	3	1	The pops of color inside add warmth to the space. Seems easy to navigate both from the inside and outside.	Larger canopy over the sidewalk outside would be nice.	I like the look of a 2 story front, but that seems like it could be quite expensive and if so, not worth the extra funds.	Easy to navigate, adequate number of restrooms located in logical places, more food options than that available in current airport.
474	2	1	3	Large overhang in front	Large over hang in front	No enough over hang in from to protect drop offs from rain and snow	Plenty of parking
475	3	1	2	Windows	None	None	External and internal flow of traffic.
476	2	3	1	Simplistic	Large exterior covered access points	Modern looking design	Efficient movement from ticketing baggage drop to security and waiting area
477	2	1	3	Option B: I like the open area at the entrance. Lots of glass and light. It looks nice and modern.	---	Option C: I actually like the overhangs protecting loading and unloading passengers from the rain....not sure that could be incorporated into Option B.	Open, spacious, modern-looking, inviting. Logical flow for people departing....and logical bag claim and passenger pickup for arrivals.
478	2	3	1	Both exterior & interior because it is using the best use of what is already there to add to.	nothing	nothing	Least expensive and most practical.
479	1	2	3	Good natural light, clean looking	Natural light in entry	The two entry points	Easy to get in and out of
480	1	2	3	Height, windows, canopy	Height, interior colors	Ceiling appearance, openness	Easy to navigate, ease of watching aircraft
481	3	2	1	easy to determine where you need to be, arriving or departing	clean lines, modern feel	looked old	getting around, locating arrival, departure, baggage area, transportation, signage and layout easy to understand
482	2	1	3	Open space, exterior windows are nice.	natural lighting	interior color design	natural lighting, and open space

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
483	2	1	3	Open atrium area, overall aesthetics, lots of windows to make it feel open and connected to the exterior space around it, design seems much more modern and forward-looking than the others.	Second-floor boarding area that provides a better holding-area view out across the tarmac and landscape.	Nothing.	1. Large, plentiful restroom facilities with (A) a deep shelf behind each commode/urinal upon which to set down a bag being carried by hand; (B) toilet stalls with doors that swing outward instead of inward and that are big enough to have space for a roller bag inside the stall; (C) tall privacy dividers that completely separate the urinals so you don't have to look at the person peeing next to you; and (D) somewhere dry to set down a hand-carried bag while washing one's hands. 2. Aesthetically pleasing waiting area, i.e. lots of windows and natural light; plenty of stylish well-designed (and comfortable) furniture that is not super crowded together; modern and sophisticated choices for materials, colors, etc. 3. Water-bottle filling station (not just a drinking fountain) 4. Quality option for getting a cup of coffee.
484	2	3	1	Covered connections outside; energy efficient, compact. Increased number of parking spaces. Efficient use of site. (Interior accent colors though seem excessively stimulating; prefer either other plan design colors).	The building seems light with easy traffic flow. Compact, also energy efficient.	The conditioned/covered boarding bridges are a good feature.	Easy to use; clearly marked; clear traffic patterns; comfortable area to wait; some protection from the elements when boarding. Adequate parking.
485	1	2	3	Option A has inviting entry and appealing two story open interior with easy aircraft boarding via jetway.	2nd choice is Option B. The drive up drop off area seems functional and the interior is a welcoming open space.	Option C looks more like a commercial business or warehouse vs an airport terminal.	Ease of access for drop off and loading/unloading. There's should be a simple and understandable flow from check in and baggage checking to TSA and then to the boarding gate.
486	1	2	3	It's light, bright and airy. Making it two stories seems like a better use of the land and would hopefully allow for more parking.	All the windows on the front. Even though I like the space efficiency of the two stories in my first choice, one story is easier to navigate when you're dealing with luggage.	nothing	Plenty of parking, plenty of outlets to charge devices, free WiFi, food and drink options, good seating areas
487	2	1	3	Very modern	I like the open appearance	Too boxy and dull	Ease of access for drop off and pick up. Also ease of parking and access to terminal.
488	2	3	1	Low energy usage, smaller footprint, increased parking	Making sure it's energy savings as much as possible and increasing parking as much as possible	As above	Parking, future growth, low maintenance
489	2	1	3	Option C is clearly marked and visible from a distance. this makes drop off and pick up simple whether you are walking up to the building with your suitcase or a new person trying to pick up someone from an arriving flight	option a seems to old fashioned like what we already have. Option C has a more modern advanced look that is pleasant to the eye. Option B my second favored option is just a clean simple look	I like the high glass windows in option a my least favorite option. It will allow a lot of natural daylight which will be cool	Free, simple, close parking. Easy to use. We could pay for cheaper flights out of St. Louis or KC if we wanted to be inconvenienced. Small town feel that connects to the world!

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
490	2	1	3	Modern look. One level.	Lots of windows	Just don't like it. Too boxy.	Larger terminal to accommodate more flights
491	1	2	3	The second story bridge way to aircraft. Smaller footprint so you can expand in the future if needed.	The reuse of current building.	Maintenance of the glass. Glass brings potential for leaks and condensation if HVAC is not set right.	Ease of access for departing and arriving flights.
492	1	3	2	It's modern and things seem easy to navigate	Seems very open and would help with crowding	Just seems a lot like the current airport	More space to check in and go through security. Also a place to eat would be nice.
493	1	2	3	Looks modern	The entrance	Looks boxy	Spacious, well lighted, welcoming!
494	1	2	3	Two story with smaller footprint. Lighter color and brighter	Expansive approach to entry	Reutilization of existing terminal	Paved parking. Covered entry to aircraft. Spacious interior
495	1	3	2	Upstairs entering plane and cars outside (drop off/pick up) area is more covered.	I think option C would be safest in a tornado and looks most up to date . But not a fan of being on lower level to enter plane. And not enough covering for pick up location. I also like the flags in front. Pride in Country and State.	Windows are nice.	Entering plane easy, pick up and drop off covered, and flags flying high!
496	3	2	1	Accessible design in regards to families, nursing mothers, service animals, sensory needs, etc.	Architectural design and color patterns are more appealing. However I am concerned this design may not consider the diverse populations utilizing the space.	Architectural design and color patterns are more appealing. However I am concerned this design may not consider the diverse populations utilizing the space.	Wifi, power/charging stations, restrooms, and food. Family friendly environment.
497	1	2	3	I prefer the interior of option A and the exterior of option B the most	I do not care for the interior designs and color schemes of option B but I really like the look of the exterior; much more than option A	I wasn't too fond of the features of this one.	Easy to navigate, spacious, free of clutter
498	3	1	2	Best looking	Seems like least costly	None really	Lowest cost to keep flights reasonable priced
499	2	1	3	Color	Open	Dark	Service
500	2	1	3	Windows and light	Footprint that plans for future expansion	Footprint that is compact and plans for future expansion	Movement (People, vehicles, etc) needs to be intuitive and efficient
501	1	3	2	full awning bright	more modern appearance	dark interior colors of #3	easy to move through - logical layout
502	1	2	3	2 story building and open, natural lighting	seating areas	the separate arrival and departure doors and seating areas	accessibility, comfortable seating and ease of flow through the terminal
503	2	1	3	Open concept, lots of windows, lighter colors	Multiple stories, light colors, open concept	Bright colors, dark features, less open concept	More space and open concept, designated arrivals and departures, bigger wait room
504	3	1	2	2 story look and open concept windows	Like the look of brick and two entrances if it improves efficiency	2 stories and windows to bring in natural light	Ease of use, room to move around easily, dining options
505	1	2	3	Second level boarding.	Tall open ceiling	Passive solar	Availability of covered parking
506	2	1	3	Ease of access and architecture	Ease of access but looks like every other airport	Architecture but access looks questionable	Ease of getting through security
507	2	1	3	Option B	Option B	Option B	Modern portico. Welcoming. Looks like an airport. Still Missouri.
508	1	2	3	The height and the glass at the top.	Still the height and the open glass top.	It appears too dark.	Modern look, conveniences such as restrooms be on security.
509	3	1	2	The openness.	Looks similar to current design.	This design looks the same as all other regional airports.	Quickness from parking to gate.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
510	3	1	2	Entry area	The unique , retro design	Simplicity	Plants and lots of space for long lines
511	1	2	3	Windows and light	Additional height. 2 stories v 1?	Multiple entrances	Comfy seats, access to bathrooms, food and drinks.
512	1	2	3	Interior 2nd story jetbridge. Waiting on the runway in the cold to board is not my favorite thing to do.... Like the windows... will miss the brick if you go with this option.	Love the brick and the open floor plan.	Like the brick.	I like cleanliness... clean finishing give this impression. Carpeted floors in terminals can sometimes be nasty. Sometimes I just see airports in certain cities, as I am in and out for business so often.... I like seeing culture of the town through art in the airport. Rotating gallery? Pop-up shops? Display cases with select items for sale?
513	2	1	3	Very grand and airy.	Long, covered drop-off / pick-up Lane.	Separate arrival / departure areas.	Ease of use.
514	2	1	3	Light, openness	Boarding from 2nd floor are easier to traverse	Growth capabilities for next 20 years	GOOD signage.
515	1	2	3	Expansive	Nothing	Cost	Lots of space.
516	3	1	2	Neutral colors that aren't trendy. Color can be added through easy to change out banners, etc that can change with the times.	Like the bright color scheme in Option C but too trendy for long term use.	Solar.	Ease of use. Plenty of spots for charging stations/work stations. Functional restaurant/snack bar. Easy parking. Easy check in and baggage claim.
517	1	3	2	2 levels Easy boarding	Open areas	More restrooms etc	Easy access
518	1	2	3	The gates that are elevated plus the ability to expand seems logical.	Large high ceiling makes the terminal feel larger and aesthetically appealing.	Nothing. It feels like an attempted remodel of the current terminal	Comfort, space to move, aesthetically appealing. It should be a statement for visitors and a point of pride for the community.
519	3	2	1	It looks interesting and not boring	The windows	I don't like anything about it.	Access to parking, windows, interesting modern design.
520	1	2	3	Two floors. Full length protective canopy for pickup/dropoff lanes. Regional bricks on the outside to match other buildings. Compact footprint allowing for potential future expansion. The "modern" feel. The carpet and tile choices. COU sign adjacent to building.	The large lobby with Stevens College Aviation beam (hopefully there is something similar for MU and Columbia College). Historic photos in the lobby. The Lewis & Clark, railroad, and Ozarks influences. (Really if the design elements from plan B could be incorporated into the two-story floor plan for plan A, that would be great).	Three pickup/dropoff lanes. Increased number of parking stalls. Raised holdroom & gates with ground boarding option. Historic aviation memorabilia. Separate arrival and departure entrances. Having all three flags (US, Missouri, and City) flown outside the terminal. COU signage on exterior.	Parking that is free, paved, and close to the terminal. TSA precheck lanes. Coming home to the Mizzou fight song playing at the baggage claim. Access to electric outlets at every seat in the waiting areas. Escalators to other floors if a two-story design is used. Restaurant or vending options both before and after security. Adequate number of restrooms before and after security. Designated wait area for family, friends, or business associates who are picking up passengers. Free Wifi access. Self service kiosks that allow for check in, seat changes, and paying/printing checked baggage tags. Room for expansion (maybe we will have more than two carriers in the future).

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
521	2	1	3	Looks more interesting. I like the outdoor lighting	Looks very modern. I like the industrial look and the covered overhang	I like the brick	Clear signs. Outdoor lights. Places to wait for pick up. Natural light inside.
522	2	1	3	That it will let in so much natural light.	The entire front of the building has an awning over it. Very good for inclement weather and being dropped off by the door.	Really nothing.	Light and airy and a place to get coffee and charge your phone.
523	1	2	3	Simple layout.	Very sleek, modern look.	Stairs.	Parking.
524	1	2	3	Simplicity	Modern	Nothing	Free parking, ease of security, love the current airport
525	2	1	3	I like the light that is allowed in from the top of the wall/ceiling area. Option B is homey feeling, but still bright and open. The circle drive and landscaping are nice as well. Clean and modern yet cozy. I do NOT like option C with the brick and red - it looks like a gas station.	Bright and open, lots of high ceilings and light at the top!	Option C - the color scheme is awful. It looks cheap and is not reflective of Columbia. The front solid brick looks like a nice gas station or restaurant not a modern airport.	Something new, modern, cozy, clean and open! The lightness and bright windows would be a great feature!
526	2	1	3	The amount of glass and the materials.	It looks as if the first option is only one story. It would be cool to see it be two stories like the second option.	I don't like any of it.	Convenience, easy to navigate, easy boarding.
527	2	1	3	Open space and natural light.	Light and bright exterior.	I like the mother's room that could be incorporated into each design.	Easy access, natural light, weatherproof drop off and pick up.
528	3	1	2	Layout and building design also view of airfield and countryside	Least expensive and less intrusive footprint	Too big	Easy access, parking
529	1	2	3	Awning and natural light	Natural light and seating	Lighting, seating	Seating,
530	3	1	2	Windows	Lights	Covering by drop offs	Restaurants and seating
531	2	1	3	The windows in option B	Similar windows, did not like the architecture as much as option B	Nothing - too dark and not enough windows	Brightness, natural light, seating and charging stations
532	1	2	3	Light color exterior, covering over the waiting area for when it's raining or snowing. High ceilings.	Lots of windows! Natural light, the buildings also a really cool shape.	Not super into this, doesn't seem like an airport shape and could easy been driven past. I do like the high ceiling though!	Openness and natural light. Also, as a current extremely active flyer, may I request normal sized bathrooms. The stalls are so small in the current women's restroom that I am unable to fit my bag I there with me.
533	1	2	3	Lines in architecture look more modern than last choice and high ceiling	Sidewalks leading up	Overhang for rain	Free parking.
534	2	1	3	Contemporary look	Second floor	Nothing	Easy to access, touches of innovation, contemporary look
535	1	2	3	I like the ribbed metal panel system as well as the anodized aluminum curtain wall for the exterior features. As for the interior features, I like the polished concrete flooring and all of the bathroom tiling.	I like the blue carpet colors.	I like the carpet tile pattern.	In my opinion, the most important features of an airport terminal are being comfortable, having my own personal space, and easy access to food, drinks, and restrooms.
536	2	1	3	I like the elevated roof line on Option B.	Openness and aireness of interior.	na	Most functional.



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
537	3	1	2	Very clean looking, less windows, with good flow into the building	Less windows with drop off and pick up area. At least I think that is what they are.	Too many windows. Concerned with weather and tornados	Easy to follow and get into.
538	3	1	2	Larger view of surrounding area. That's always my favorite thing to see when I arrive somewhere.	The stairs	Hard to say	Clear directions, food options,, comfortable waiting area.
539	1	2	3	The interior looks larger, more spacious.	The exterior looks more modern and pleasing to the eye.	The exterior looks modern	Roomy and comfortable. Updated restaurant area offering 3 meals day for those who are waiting for their flight. Clean and ample restrooms. Tile or wooden floors kept clean. NO CARPET!!
540	2	3	1	Does not look like a junior high school.	None	None	Drop off door and a separate pick up door
541	1	2	3	Clean and open	Not sure	Looks like a bar	Clean, plenty of room, a few food options
542	2	1	3	I like the multiple height roof design.	I like the outdoor cover running the length of the building.	I dont like anything about the design.	Outside pick-up areas with cover. Good flow inside for security and bag check.
543	2	1	3	I like B the best.	The white. But I like B's cover better.	Don't like C at all	A dry cover when you pull up. I like parking in front of the building. I like a clean colorful inside.
544	3	1	2	Openess	Driving lanes	Don't know	Open and efficient to get in and out
545	1	2	3	No steps.large covered entry	X	Too boxy.	Clear signage. Ease of getting in without steps. Covered entry to protect from weather. Sufficient and convenient parking close to terminal.
546	2	3	1	Simple design and looks to meet the needs of the airport without being over the top	the separation of the entrance and exit doors make it easier for traffic flow. A little over the top in design but not too bad.	looks like a high school!	food and beverage options in the gate areas or gift shop of some type. Having an inline baggage system instead of a baggage drop off. keeping the airlines separated to cut back on the long lines. Having three lanes on the TSA checkpoint with a dedicated pre-check lane. Keeping the design simple and having signage clearly posted. Have the rental car counters by the baggage claim.
547	1	3	2	Two story design- small footprint.	I like the interior design and color scheme.	I like the exterior design of this one.	Expandability. Flow. Ease of access.
548	2	1	3	Second floor boarding - easier for passengers; style looks bright, airy and modern	large covered walkway outside	Just seems "old school" with dark brick, and the purple, gold, orange colors.	covered jetway to board planes; more seating in the waiting areas and in baggage claim. Larger bathrooms in waiting areas. More parking. A more modern, bright look to better reflect the vitality of our community.
549	1	3	2	They all lack class and Imagination nothing really stood out my mind if I had to choose by these three I would go with a	na	na	na

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
550	2	3	1	Based on info provided a very detailed synopsis of movement -- foot traffic in/out; add'l parking spaces; vehicles (pick-up/drop-off) of all sizes; accommodate planes of growing sizes. I liked that it addresses current needs with an eye on the future. The detail down to service animal restrooms -- pretty impressive. I understand the reason for the separated roofs over Arrival/Departure -- but seems a cover to span from A to D would allow for people to utilize that current uncovered space.	Some of what I liked in top choice (C) is included in choice 2 -- thought given to a cost-saving footprint; more adaptable, etc. A little too sterile for my taste but nothing paint and art couldn't fix.	Initially, I like the emphasis on historical aesthetics. But beyond talking about it, their design is common, nothing special stands out for the cost. Not a lot of specifics as provided by those submitting A & C. I like the idea of the exterior covering in this design to adapt to my top choice A.	Safety/security is first; followed by ease of maneuvering both by vehicle (arriving/parking/departing), by foot once inside; and finally ease in getting on/off the plane. Every other amenity is a bonus. BTW -- thanks for the opportunity for input! Tough decisions ahead -- good luck.
551	2	1	3	lots of glass windows to let in light	exterior looks good	looks too utilitarian	lots of light, good looks
552	3	2	1	I like the simplicity yet elegance of brick, the top, the lighting, the flooring designs inside.	I like the outside brick and floor design	The clean white	Accessibility, safety, simplicity for customer
553	1	2	3	A	A	A	A
554	2	1	3	I like option 2 because it is light and airy. A lot of light can come inside with the large windows. Option C is way too dark and I don't like the red and yellow colors.	Bright I just don't like that it looks like a box	I do not like option C. It is way too dark. Red and yellow.	Ease of getting around. Plenty of seating while waiting for your flight. Restaurant or snack area.
555	2	3	1	Modern yet will blend with the existing terminal. The smaller footprint with space to expand. Easy and straightforward wayfinding and paths of travel throughout building with use of ramps for ease of travelers with bags, stroller and wheelchairs. Good use of memorabilia and color to reflect the new COU logo. Nice mix of finishes to brighten/warm up the large open spaces. Allows for future growth and nice connector to the existing terminal. Clean design with responsibility to the budget.	More glazing in the front if budget would allow as long as it would not lower the sustainability factors with the added glazing. The continuous translucent canopy for people to wait under for being picked up and protecting people from rain or snow. That is a main feature I like but assume that would add a lot of cost.	The lit stair walkway to the entrance. C might have this as well but the rendering is not back far enough to tell.	Easy accessibility and user friendly wayfinding for all travelers/clear path throughout each part of the traveling experience. I prefer ramp(s) and not the elevators and escalators for cost and better/easier traveling experience (for people with bags, stroller, wheelchairs). A space that is warm and inviting and not sterile. Easy to figure out where to go/intuitive with a clear path. A clean, modern design that ties into the existing buildings.
556	2	1	3	Option B's entrance area is open and inviting. The pathway leading up to the building would be pleasing to walk along and the fact that you can drive up to the doors would be very convenient.	The stairs are nice, and more windows. White paint looks good too.	The bushes and the fencing make the building a little more friendly.	The inviting feel and convenience. And a modern look.
557	1	2	3	The sweeping vehicle lanes and broad canopy.	I like the apparent limited height, reducing HVAC requirements	Not much	Ease of drop off and pick up of passengers. Passenger protection from the elements while waiting to be picked up.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
558	2	1	3	I like the covered, well lit front to the building. I like the walkway directly to the parking lot (although I feel like it should be a ramp and not stairs if possible). It could also use an additional sidewalk similar to choice #2. It seems like I'm always dragging my suitcase up stairs or though grass at COU. Internally I like the open feel and frontline security checkpoint.	Sidewalks, seating out front when waiting on rides, additional pickup/dropoff lanes for multiple cars (especially if traffic picks up with more routes). #2 feels a bit sterile to me, but it seems funtional with the small footprint expandability and the upstairs plane loading.	Not a big fan of #3. Color sceme looks like a Taco Bell. I see a lot of people standing around in the sun/rain outside and a lot of manual doors with handles I don't want to be touchnig right now.	Right now some more parking (with good sidewalks to the terminal) and larger waiting areas/rooms. Currently we're packed like sardines in there.
559	2	1	3	Glassed-in lobby looks modern and sharp	None	Looks like a strip mall - long brick facade is bland and not distinctive as to what the building is	Lots of power cords, large bathrooms, comfortable chairs
560	3	1	2	Open, airy, modern colors	Very modern, easy to navigate	Looks very similar to present design	Airy, roomy, clean, easy to navigate
561	2	1	3	The fact that the front of the building is glass. That way, if it's cold, passengers can wait inside and still see when their pickup arrives.	The long hallway-like overhang.	The clearly marked "departures" and "arrivals" doors.	Various seating styles (ex. couches and/or long rows of seats with no armrest for sleeping). Charging stations. Water bottle refill stations.
562	1	3	2	I like that it is a bigger area for cars to drop off people. I also think it has the most aesthetics as far as shapes/colors	I enjoy the colors and the shape of the building but not as much as the first	I like the windows and the shape of the building design.	Lots of room for cars to drop off and pickup people. Also for it to be clean and aesthetic
563	2	1	3	More open looking not closed in	none	none	Parking, openness, welcoming on both sides
564	3	2	1	Simpler design looks to be possibly strong wind tolerant. Like the less costly energy needs	Na	Na	Na
565	3	1	2	The glass, Love the windows above. The brick, like the darker color	brick design	Longer covered canopy	Draws you to it. Wayfinding is clear. Looks like an exciting place to be to ease fear of flying.
566	1	2	3	The modern look.	The block work on the outside.	The open curtain wall.	A clean/modern look.
567	2	1	3	Transperancy	Too simplistic, boxy	Too bulky	Space. Identity card for the city.
568	1	2	3	The minimal impact to site and use of local design. Looks like it would withstand storms better than number 2. Larger outside shelter.	More modern look and open floor plan. Didn't see stairs.	It appears to be all on one level.	Food service for travelers. Baggage claim close to exit. TSA known traveler use for boarding. Not having to carry luggage up or down stairs. A few other items. Shuttle service to destination, easy access to rental cars and cell phone waiting areas for passenger pick up.
569	3	1	2	I like windows to see how packed it is inside. The outside looks better over the year.	Too much brick walls and gets old over time	Looks like the old one.	Space and parking
570	2	1	3	Tall and open ceilings...similar to new airports like Indy.	Closest to B	Looks like an old elementary school from the 1970s.	Open, lessens the feel of crowded, has a place to get food, coffee.
571	3	2	1	Safety	Clean design	Color	Safety
572	2	1	3	B	C	A	Easiest for upkeep, environmental materials and energy efficiency.
573	3	1	2	Second floor ramps	Easy drop off	None	Assessibility
574	2	1	3	The large glass front.	I like the light color palette used in this design.	Honestly the exterior of this design feels like a food chain.	Have food and lounge areas assible while still being able to see flight information.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
575	3	1	2	The multi dimensional exterior vs the "flat front" option of the others.	The windows/natural light are a must!	The length of the covered sidewalk.	Multiple doors to enter/exit and the size of the overhang. While running out of the rain it's a relief to find a dry spot quickly.
576	1	2	3	A	B	C	Accessible
577	2	1	3	Looks modern	Looks big	Looks like a warehouse	Space to move! More gates! A restaurant!
578	1	2	3	Second floor viewing area and maybe a restaurant? Long covered area for cars dropping off and picking up passengers. Add a viewing area in the back as well.	More contemporary architectural look. Option A is a box right now, make it more interesting - maybe a triangular area.	The drop down architecture with the two covered dropoff areas.	Easy check-in. Covered ramps that attach to the plane into the terminal. Don't like walking down steps or getting wet walking to the terminal.
579	1	2	3	The windows to give al the extra light.	Entryway	C exterior	Accessible, accommodating, provide adequate restrooms, seating and food while waiting for flights.
580	1	3	2	Passenger flow coming into building	I don't think there is one	I don't think there is one	The ease of checking on
581	3	1	2	Openness, glass windows	Modern look.	None	Ease of navigation, food options, comfortable seating, outlets for charging devices
582	1	2	3	Modern and updated.	Interior is nice and clean. Appears to have easy access to gates and minimal fuss	Could have better flow	Coffee at terminal!, quick security, easy access to car park and fast service
583	2	1	3	Option B exterior is more inviting	The natural light from the top	None	Easy of getting in to depart. Inviting and not correctional facility looking like option c
584	1	2	3	It looks sleek and modern.	It seems to have a lot of space inside.	I'm not really crazy about any of its features. It looks dated.	Open, bright, and clean. Needs to feel like a welcoming space that is not enclosed and dingy.
585	1	2	3	Porte cache	Open glass	Color scheme	Easily navigated, open areas, bar and restaurant, lounge and large restrooms
586	1	3	2	B	C	A	Room
587	2	1	3	B	C	A	Easy in and out and efficient
588	1	3	2	Awning for loading and unloading	Has awning but is not continuous	Just don't like it	Protection from weather getting in and out. Ease and space going through security Plenty of seating with OUTLETS. Able to get food and water while in security More than one bathroom in secure area.
589	3	1	2	Modern Design	Passive renewable energy features	Covered wakway to ground transportation	The new terminal design, and all new construction by the city and county, should include maximum application of renewable energy standards and sustainable building materials, to support and require updated building codes.
590	1	2	3	It feels light and open.	It feels warm.	Not much.	Modern vibe, efficiency.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
591	1	2	3	Direction of traffic and covering for front/sidewalk	Glass and window design is nice, open and has a better modern look. Would be great to see more incorporated in design A.	Last design, C, looks more gov/down town office like. Not really inviting as an airport look.	Traffic direction and easy to access or navigate departure and arrival terminal areas. Easy to navigate from check in to gate, plenty of restroom or waiting area, etc.
592	1	2	3	I liked the modern look of the interior	I liked the large overhang on the exterior... makes it feel like an airport	I liked the setup and layout of the interior just not the color scheme	Like it to be open with lots of space to move around and have the ability to isolate yourself
593	1	2	3	Second floor for aircraft boarding. This design looks a lot like the terminal in Bismarck ND. I've flown in/out of there a lot and it is great.	Nice light terminal.	I like it all.	Covered drop off/pick up. Light and open. Easy access.
594	1	2	3	Compact footprint, sky bridge at upper level	Color scheme	The large COU on the exterior wall.	Less walking, modern design, sensible flow, well-designed restrooms that easily enable you to enter stall with your carry-on items.
595	1	2	3	2 floors	Open area	Not much change change	ease of access and space
596	2	3	1	Specific arrival entrance and departure exit	Second floor	Space	Access through TSA; food options; parking; and environmental impact.
597	2	1	3	Striking glass. Gives an appearance of a bigger building. Very impressive first impression	Covered area across the front.	Small footprint of building	Proximity of parking to terminal. Clear & easy to follow interior signage. Comfortable seating areas. Lots of charging stations for phone/computers. Free WiFi
598	1	3	2	The windows at the top would be great for reducing artificial light during the day and emitting light pollution in the evening time. I also like the brick accents around all the large windows. The drive up canopy feature is also very nice and modern.	I really like the canopy designs but I wish it was more continuous rather than separate in the middle.	The uplighting is nice on the exterior and the raised center portion or off-set roof gives the front look inviting.	Flow and traffic control. Its nice to have a lot of space to maneuver around other pedestrians as well but traffic has to make sense. I like the entrance location of the option A since it has you walk in where the ticket counters are and then it allows the rest of the space to flow far less congested then the other designs showing a centralized entrance.
599	1	2	3	I like the height of the building, whether it's real or just designed in a way to trick the eye!	I like all of the windows! Natural light is such a plus.	I like the use of some color outside of just metal and brick.	I don't fly much myself, but making sure there is enough room for everyone to feel comfortable when flying to and from CoMo sounds nice.
600	1	2	3	Option "a" has a good awning for standing outside in all sorts of weather and good window placement for natural light.	The glass front looks awesome and I'd like it more if it was combined with option A	The third looks more like a restaurant.	Easy access, pleasant looking, open feeling, secure.
601	1	2	3	I believe that the two store option makes the Columbia Airport have a greater feeling of prestige and professionalism. Also as the PDF mentioned it allows for easier expansion because of the smaller footprint.	I think the use of glass around the entrance of the terminal could be used more in my favorite design. Having lots of natural light really makes the airport a more desirable location to spend time at.	The third design really provides little better than option A and option B. The large amount of brick makes the building look less open and inviting.	An easy to follow path from checking your bag, going through security and ultimately ending up at your desired gate. I also believe having security on one level and check in on the other is the optimal layout.
602	2	1	3	The overall design.	Blend the interior layouts and keep the external design.	Blend some interior features with the blend of B and C.	Signage, ease of access to gates and internet connectivity, comfortability, a logical layout while showing our progressive vision.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
603	1	2	3	Natural light and openness.	Brick	Crammed	Convenience, food, parking
604	2	1	3	Openness of both interior and exterior. Why not use a carpet design of perhaps black and gold to represent the University.	I like the second floor design.	The COU signage placement could be incorporated into the building facade of design B. The terminal design looks like a warehouse. No red chairs.	Comfort, openness, adequate seating, good traffic flow, adequate parking, sufficient bathrooms, and welcoming tiger fight song as luggage arrives.
605	3	1	2	The Gateway	Separate Arrivals/Departures Doorways Bold Interior Color Scheme	Long Awning/Covering	comfortable interior for sitting Accessible outlets for passengers
606	1	3	2	The windows at the top allowing more light into the terminal, and the allowance for expansion in several directions	More interior design color.	None	Not being exposed to elements for boarding and deboarding.
607	2	3	1	Covered area and interior color scheme	Exterior color scheme	Na	Charging stations, seating space at gates, adequate restroom space, adequate signage,
608	1	2	3	visual continuity	none	the overhang will hold vehicle exhaust	cleanliness air quality
609	1	3	2	2nd floor boarding, thus shorter gangways	N/A	N/A	plenty of space, room for amenities
610	2	1	3	one level	undercover loading/unloading area	I would support the 3rd design if there is continuous undercover loading/unloading area, rather than just the two separate ones.	Undercover unloading/loading in front of the terminal from one end to the other. This is a must for unloading at curbside. Clean, ample restrooms, various food services, clear signs to ticketing, TSA, and gate numbers.
611	3	1	2	The un-box like appearance, and the clerestory design	The box quality is not easily modified--and hidden--in my second choice	I really find Option B to be a nice visual plum for the COU Regional. It is a 10 in my view, while A is 5 and C is 3--all on a 10 scale.	It would be great to feel that air travel began in a more open and visually interesting structure than is currently the case. I would accept a slightly higher tax load for a while to get Option B.
612	1	3	2	2nd floor boarding, expandability	expandability, 2nd floor boarding	nursing station for moms; private rooms	boarding gates (i.e., don't have to walk outside and up metal stairs); private spaces; electronic charging stations; food options and ample seating
613	1	3	2	Two stories are imperative to allow for a smaller footprint, future expansion, better jet bridge access, and energy efficiency.	The color of the exterior brick in design C is preferred to the color of design A. I do understand that option A has chosen the color because it blends with adjacent buildings and is constructed from local brick.	Option B looks like an elementary school, not an airport.	Two-story, energy-efficient, and smaller footprint.
614	2	1	3	Seems open and bright. Love the idea of incorporating The Ozarks and Louis and Clark into the design. Outside seems appealing	I liked the openness, and second floor. Outside is appealing.	Seating	Nice, large restrooms that can accommodate luggage. Clear and obvious signage. Good traffic flow, limiting congestion points.
615	1	3	2	Modern design	History of aviation & culture	Ease of navigation	Modern yet portray the history & culture of mid Missouri
616	3	2	1	It looks the least like a school.	More windows.	More outdoor lighting.	Space. Quick security. Updated look without seeming like something that came out of an IKEA. Aesthetics of regional airports should ideally reflect the flora, fauna, cultures, etc. of the region.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
617	1	3	2	I like the two story option, feels very bright and more welcoming inside (though some additional color may be good). Unfortunately there is no rendering of gate areas and that's problematic.	I like the separated arrival/departure doors (but I really dislike the red colors used in the interior); I like that they talked about both raised and ground level boarding...that seems smart to keep flexibility in flight/aircraft types	The lobby area is large, but almost seems too much so (is it wasted space?); No rendering of the gate areas which would have been helpful	More comfortable gate areas; energy and space efficiency; ability to continue expansion of airport generally over time; a more modern design to better reflect Columbia as a vibrant community; inclusion of a small number of food spaces (e.g., where you can get a decent cup of coffee while waiting for very early flights, preferably within the gate area after security); avoid the use of outside boarding and use of jetways instead as much as possible
618	3	2	1	Separate arrival & departure doors. All on 1 level.	More glass on front.	None	Ease of drop off, parking, ticketing. Food and drink available.
619	2	3	1	The liveliness of the design, the aesthetics are good, the juxtaposition of colorful elements makes it more happening airport	looks professional, the design board is conventional but the selection is very official themed.	i liked all three of them but other two are more favorable than the last one, it is also a good design though	spaciousness
620	3	1	2	Wonderfully lit, open and airy, looks like a public building	Airport sign	Canopy	Ease of use, no stairs or elevators, colors matching rest of campus, sufficient seating
621	1	3	2	two story. bright interior space, room for art installations, departure gates on second floor for jet boarding bridges	I actually disliked the interior and exterior design features of option C (my 2nd choice) the most, however chose it because it plans for future growth much better than option B. Examples of these good ideas for future growth include using the existing footprint, high efficiencies, planning layout and building for future expansions. I also like the idea of maintaining some ground boarding options for increased flight demands, etc. But again, I wouldn't use any of the aesthetic design features of option C.	While option A is light, open, and a bright interior, it is a bit stale. Incorporating some of the aesthetic design features of Option B may make it a little more inviting.	Brand new look & branding. Modern look/feel. two story for smaller footprint for future expansions. art installations. strategic layout and comfortable expansive seating areas.
622	1	3	2	most light	modern style	too basic, like school	comfortable boarding area
623	2	1	3	The combination of high ceilings and open spaces.	Modern appearance with natural light. A mix of both proper gates and tarmac level gates would be nice.	Wallcoverings with historical pictures and whatnot	Natural Light, High Ceilings, Large open areas, anything that makes it feel not overly cramped.
624	2	1	3	I like that the raised windows make it appear more open. I like the option of quiet rooms. Overall, this option feels more inviting.	I like the solar panels. I wish all the options had that in their rendering.	Option 3 just had a lot more features that made it more appealing for passengers and drivers.	Easy access to the ticket window and customer service, and a coffee shop nearby.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
625	1	2	3	Open, light, and airy. Modern and up to date. The color palate is soothing...which is helpful when travelling.	Historic aspect for decorations. I like the landscape design.	Well marked signage and additional parking...optional ground boarding. The red, yellow, and purple colors are dated and dark...like the current logo. They, along with the exterior canopies, make the whole building look like a fire station.	Ease of security...line doesn't go around into the path of normal traffic. Ease of finding gate. Ease of baggage claim...please make it easy to get luggage...it always takes forever and ever. If there is something design wise that makes it easier for the baggage handlers, then please do it. Parking...please pave the parking lots. Travelling with a roller bag over gravel is absurd.
626	1	2	3	The extended covered awning	Interior design/layout	?	Boarding plane via jet bridge — get rid of the portstairs/ramp
627	3	1	2	Lots of windows	Nice brickwork and the logo on the side	Nothing	Light and restaurants/cafe
628	2	1	3	The big window entry	The modern looking exterior materials	None	Wide open spaces, good way finding and easy access
629	1	3	2	Two level is very efficient space usage. Lots of glass and light. But I need to see more internal details.	Sweeping curved outer awnings. Both aesthetically and functionally. But they need to be huge and awe inspiring. Completely cover the entrance drive. No one likes unloading in the snow.	None. They're all boxes. Hope a school bus doesn't accidentally drop off students. Can't tell difference from our boring middle school building or a medical facility. Passengers will forget where they are and ask where to find the blood draw station. Midwest Columbia conservative (cheap) design. No more barns. Where are the curves, whimsy and futuristic elements of an airport. We only seem to know how to build one kind of building. These are fancy airplane hangers and not modern passenger terminals. Try something new. Please.	Unique architecture. Stop Columbia barn design mentality for such an important building! Lots of space, seating and eating / drinking amenities. Work spaces with outlets. We will always experience many long delays and cancellations. We must have adequate facility to comfort that fact. For COU the area after security will be much more utilized than a lot of wasted main lobby area. We're not a destination hub. We're a municipal commuter endpoint. As a frequent local business traveler our lives are spent waiting, not socializing.
630	1	3	2	Contrasting materials on exterior gives it a lighter brighter appearance	Nothing. Looks like a warehouse.	Nothing. It looks dated already!	Covered drop offs and pick up areas. Bathrooms that are functional. Available food for purchase. Skywalk to plane. Continued free parking.
631	3	2	1	Large overhead canopy	Nothing	Nothing	Free parking
632	1	2	3	Bright light, modern look, would carry into the future	Not cookie cutter square design	Appeared to have more seating	Good quality jet way. Easy access to baggage claim. Quality restaurant, shopping.
633	1	2	3	I like the expansive awning outside, the large windows that allow the inside to feel light and airy, I like that it's being built up instead of out, and I like the up-to-date, modern finishes that were chosen to complete the inside. It also looks/feels more eco-friendly.	I like the artifacts related to the region's history and the Stephen's College Aviation Beam. I also like that thought was put into providing a mother's room and pet relief area.	Not sure what I would incorporate, but I do NOT like the two separate awnings outside, the colors chosen inside, and the outdated feel. It doesn't look that different.	It should be comfortable and easy to navigate. I also like when they feel big and airy so you don't feel so closed in. I like being able to watch planes land and take off.
634	2	1	3	Seems very open	The awning at the front	Brick color	Easy access to security and gates. Comfortable seating with charging capabilities at each chair
635	2	1	3	Different roof levels add architecture interest	Clean white lines	Third place looks like a prison building	Light and airy
636	2	1	3	More windows less brick. More modern and open.	Multiple lanes for circle drive through	I do not like the brick	Convenient drop off, pickup, and parking



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
637	1	2	3	Future expansion	Roomy	Yuk- looks like a school	Waiting area and boarding gates
638	2	1	3	I like the large, open, 2-story exterior entry area that is very light and emphasizes the size and scale of the building. Also, I like the large, open interior space.	I especially like the clean, white look and feel of the interior and would like to see this coloration on Option A.	I do not like Option C and would not want anything incorporated into another design option.	Large, expansive exterior with large, spacious interior. Light, airy interior feel.
639	2	1	3	Looks sophisticated and sleek	The exterior features. Honestly, the interior of 2 & exterior of 1 are a good fit	Didn't like the interior or exterior of 3.	Convenient parking, even for a small fee. Quick security line times A place to grab a snack and coffee on the go
640	2	3	1	Colorful, clear arrivals/departures	Boarding aircraft from the second floor	B Looks the most like the current airport. We need a change.	Free parking. Inexpensive flights.
641	2	1	3	High glass walls and tall ceilings	High ceilings	Entrances	Curbside luggage check
642	2	1	3	Glass front ,more open . Also it appears that there may be some parking space not too far away.	Looks every clean ,fresh, I like the open glass at the top near the roof line.	Dark looking , to enclosed.	Open and lots of natural light .Minimal walking distance . Older people have a hard time getting from check in to security to gates . Then back going from landing to baggage. I know this airport is small and shouldn't be a problem.
643	2	3	1	Clean design, 4 jetways, energy features, ties in to what Missouri is and has to offer.	Compact design.	Open inviting look.	As a Lyft driver, access and flow for pick up and drop off of passengers will improve customer experience. Improvement of the design and layout improves the customer experience which should also increase usage as Columbia and Mid-Missouri grows and the business, educational and medical services grow.
644	3	1	2	Coveted ceiling	Space arrangement	No food or drink area	Need a restaurant food & drinks. and adjacent hotel like Drury inn or Marriott courtyard. In case cancellations or major delays.
645	1	2	3	Love the 2 story design and colors	Smaller footprint	Parking options	Shortest walking time possible with level grade to enter aircraft
646	2	1	3	Inside looks comfortable and has things to look at to keep it interesting.	Inside, I like all the natural lighting and openness. Two stories adds to that.	Not much to say.	Ease of use and attractiveness.
647	2	1	3	Full height glass and views to exterior, broken-up mass (not just monolithic box)	Materiality - the perforated metal find give it a more modern look that contrasts with the brick. Also, I like that it is two stories and creates a shorter walk to the airplane.	The graphic-ness of the interior	Ease of approach (ramped approach) to the entrance from parking lot; pleasant waiting area; food options.
648	1	2	3	It looks so spacious on the inside and the light color exterior.	I like the modern exterior design. Inside looks also nice and spacious.	Outside color is too dark, inside looks confusing and to spread apart.	Spacious, easy to get around.
649	3	1	2	The color and dimension of the front. It's visually interesting	I like the brick color. It doesn't look so much like a state building	It looks like a post office.	Easily accessible and clearly marked. Lots of light. Not too institutional.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
650	2	1	3	I know nothing about the interiors of these buildings. I actually think that this process is terrible and needs to be more opened up and have MANY open meetings, especially during evening hours, about this, as it will be something that is with our community for decades. It needs to be easily expandable to add more terminals. It should have no parking issues. And ENOUGH parking close to the terminal.	I know nothing about the interiors of these buildings. I actually think that this process is terrible and needs to be more opened up and have MANY open meetings, especially during evening hours, about this, as it will be something that is with our community for decades. It needs to be easily expandable to add more terminals. It should have no parking issues. And ENOUGH parking close to the terminal.	I know nothing about the interiors of these buildings. I actually think that this process is terrible and needs to be more opened up and have MANY open meetings, especially during evening hours, about this, as it will be something that is with our community for decades. It needs to be easily expandable to add more terminals. It should have no parking issues. And ENOUGH parking close to the terminal.	Future expansion
651	2	3	1	Looked best	The upstairs	It was on one level	Parking
652	1	2	3	2nd floor boarding.	Open feel.	Might be the least congested option?	Plenty of bathrooms and restaurants inside secure areas.
653	3	1	2	Glass exterior, liked the space in the deep communal space in the front for passenger/drop off and pick up	Height of structure, (what a I believe to be) dedicated entrances for arriving and departing passengers away from each other which helps with pickup and dropoffs, stand alone COU sign for aesthetics	Dedicated entrances for arrivals and departures with their own hallways	The moment I walk in, I should know instinctually where to check in and where to go for security check. The space needs to be expanded with overflow check-in or security plans that do not mix the two spaces or pours into a communal or amenities area. Also waiting area near gates needs expanded space, more charging power outlets and some monitors
654	2	3	1	Long covered entrance EZ to stay out of the rain	Nice entrance	Too squat	EZ in EZ out
655	3	2	1	Looks like the exterior would be easy to expand in the future. Interior looks simple and and easy to maintain.	The drop off area looks like a large area.	Exterior to bland	drop off and pickup areas and have a room at boarding gates so you can sit and feel comfortable waiting to board.
656	1	2	3	Modern with connection to surrounding area. Great light. The most progressive looking design, which helps improve first impressions of our area for visitors.	Clean design. Use of some modern elements. Fits site.	Use of the current COU logo and colors in design.	Ease of use of TSA security area, comfortable boarding area with good amenities such as comfortable seating and charging stations. A sense of openness and a modern, forward-looking sense of place. I also want a clean arrival area with well-planned baggage claim. Must have good jet bridge access to aircraft. Good signage and technology throughout. Nice views from waiting area. Use of calm colors (not reds and yellows).
657	1	2	3	The lighter coloring looks cleaner and more modern.	The exterior on my second favorite option looks nicer.	The coloring on the interior is darker and gloomy.	Clean finishes and superior way finding

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
658	1	2	3	Appearance- looks like an airport	Ease of approach, seems easy to get in & out of	Ugly. Just ugly. No need to scream arrival and departure on a building this size. The colors don't match. It looks like the cheapest option and doesn't look durable or classic. We would wonder what the heck everyone was thinking, just a few years down the road.	Easy of use, security, flexibility, ease of upkeep and sanitization
659	1	2	3	The awning outside to protect from weather conditions	Appears to be open and airy in appearance	N/A	Practical in all environments/weather. Open space.
660	1	2	3	Bright and uncluttered	Entryway	Darkness and clutter	Easy to navigate for people of all ages. Not dark; bright and cheerful. Not cluttered. Easy to maintain, clean.
661	1	3	2	Looks like a real life airport.	The entrance awnings.	Nothing. It looks like a high school.	Simplicity. Comfortable chairs. Ease of access to outlets, phone/computer charging.
662	2	1	3	Entry gives open airy feel. Like the idea of tying area themes to the interior.	Nothing.	Nothing	Ease of finding ones way around the terminal. Bathrooms capable of handling numbers of passengers. Waiting area seat comfort.
663	3	1	2	The interior color scheme is most pleasing of the options and lobby area gives the feeling of openness and natural light. The design using Columbia's history of aviation, culture and beauty is fitting and displays pride of origin. Love the idea of covered boarding bridges	If the Columbia Airport logo remains the same, then this color scheme best matches. There is mention of potential of growth written in only 2 of the 3 options but any change should be planned with future growth as well as using the existing building. The raised gates I assume would use a covered jet bridge which is important instead of being exposed to the weather. I also like the use of historic memorabilia.	I like the two story open lobby space letting in ample light as well as the elevated views from both the pastoral and boarding side	-Large bathrooms with doors that swing out to accommodate luggage and multiple hooks and hangers for coats, backpack, purse, etc. - Automatic soap, water and hand dryers in bathrooms -Covered boarding -Natural light - Food and drink choices -Shopping/souvenirs of local origin
664	3	1	2	Option B	Option c	Option a	Easy access for pick up and drop off. Comfortable seating areas.
665	2	1	3	All the windows and impressive entrance-has a sophisticated style! Looks symmetrical and balanced,	Higher ceiling better height and windows and also the entrance covering outside	nothing about it -looks dark dreary and the 2 entrance overhangs look ugly-no style at all	Modern and sophisticated. Big and bright and of course free parking as we have now.
666	3	2	1	Energy efficiency and especially low light pollution to this wonderful dark sky area of mid-Missouri are most important to us - the neighborhood by the airport. The color scheme of B is much better thought.	Color scheme! Looks much nicer, cleaner and friendlier.	Too much glass to let light into the surrounding community. The translucent canopy outside with lots of light sounds like an issue to keep the area a dark sky area.	Please make sure that the terminal and supporting changes (including Highway H road changes) have light that projects down only instead of causing our dark sky area to not be as usable for those of us who love the area for it's remoteness and star viewing at night.
667	2	1	3	Aesthetically pleasing to the area. Wood features inside are nice as well.	A second floor viewing area.	Looks like a big box store - too many bold colors.	limited need to stand in lines, good people flow, ability to view the planes....
668	2	3	1	looks like separate doors for arrival and departure, not a lot of windows facing west, looks two story	like the long canopy outside but does not look attached, looks two story, drive comes in close, windows on second floor	looks like parking stays close	easy access, room for expansion

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
669	1	2	3	Windows/light. Large drop off area. Upstairs division	Light interior and color scheme	Nothing. Looks like a school	Ease of access to drop off and pick up. Nearby parking. Good flow for people to check in. Clean spacious waiting areas with connectivity. Good light with view. Shops with necessities and regional products featured. Affordable tasty food. Ease of access to baggage. Safety. Clean restrooms not crowded. Good flow.
670	3	1	2	Clean exterior design, fits well with existing buildings. No red painted metal on exterior. Interior design has good visual interest, not over the top like C and not uninteresting like A.	Raised gates and airside features.	N/A	Efficient, cost effective, visually appealing, room for expansion past current service.
671	2	3	1	The red metal on the front. Farming is the heart of mid-missouri and a red barn metal reminds me of that.	Street side canopy and the solar fins.	Nothing, I like the interior colors of option c, they are cheerful and seem more open which I feel will leave an impression on mid-missouri visitors.	When I travel, I like seeing the history of the area I travel to on the walls on the way to baggage claim. Also, I usually travel for business, so having someplace to charge laptop and eat during lay overs is important.
672	1	3	2	Two levels, better for access to bigger planes. Longer area for passengers to exit autos. Looks like it is built for growth.	More attractive exterior. Dark bricks. Airport should be unique to Como. Look at design for Traverse City, MI. Very distinctive.	None	Passenger access. Food service. Loading planes from second level with esclavator from ground level.
673	2	1	3	Its calming, not too bright, not too busy. It is warm and inviting. Comfortable.	It is bright. Very simple. White and brick. However, it looks like a school.	Don't like it at all. It looks like a fast food restaurant.	Calm to keep passengers calm. Inviting and ready to do business. Plenty of space. Not too bright. Not all white. Easy to keep clean.
674	2	1	3	Option B is more welcoming, friendly yet sophisticated image for Columbia. The other options appear "cheaper" looking, more like a dressed-up metal warehouse building.	The exterior drop-off canopy being longer and more of a unique shape.	None. It looks like a converted warehouse where the large overhead doors have been filled in for a new use.	Friendly yet sophisticated, with more of a national style or appeal to it.
675	2	3	1	Darker look	Expanded parking	Incorporation of art should not take the place of functionality.	Air bridge to board airline. Food and beverage available.
676	2	3	1	Large open flow areas	Modern appeal	Exterior design looks old	Flexibility. I travel every week all over the US. The smaller airports with open designs have been more easy to adapt to changing security measures and growth. Both will undoubtedly happen here and should be planned for.
677	2	1	3	It's classic and relatively timeless	Clean design, in keeping with original and the landscape	I don't like it. It looks like a mall from the 80s	Contemporary design, not overdone
678	2	1	3	Long covered loading/ unloading zone	Large windows	High ceiling in lobby, not boxy design	Two story compact energy efficient design - dealing with global warming
679	2	3	1	Waiting areas, energy efficient design, seems easier to build on top of what already exists.	Lighter more jovial color scheme.	Lounge area seating.	Clutter and confusion free. Safety features. Modern amenities. Architecture that reflects Columbia's personality.
680	2	1	3	Curb appeal and canopy	Built for expansion	Red interior will wear and be dated	Space and efficiency

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
681	1	3	2	Glass and light	Distinctive design	Traditional design	Ease to navigate. Future growth
682	3	1	2	Option B is updated while maintaining the Columbia airport charm. It is the perfect upgrade because it doesn't feel too modern and connects to the roots of Columbia.	The option C design is a great second option in my opinion because it still embodies the mid-west, but it does mask some of the original Columbia airport.	Option A is too commercial feeling and looks like every other airport. It would be a shame to lose the charm of the Columbia airport. This design feels cold and empty.	The most important features to me are accessibility, to bathrooms, refreshments, the gates, etc. A close second would be comfort. Option B feels the most "homey" and has a nice welcoming feeling.
683	3	1	2	I liked the fact real impact information was included.	Too fussy	Too much emphasis on "pretty". It's an airport. You're there to get on a plane not to go to a spa. Public money, use it well. Functional first. Ascetics last.	Ease in getting to it and through it to the plane or car
684	3	1	2	Openness created with the glass.	Separate arrival and departure portals	Regional brick	Compactness and ease of mobility in moving around the airport. Can I get from A to B without it feeling like the Lewis and Clark expedition?
685	1	2	3	Option 1 clean lines,, light and airy	would like to see more glass on it.	To much brick. Dark	Need to have food and drink, plenty of light, expandable for future airlines, easy to navigate
686	1	2	3	Windows and light. Also all the covered area out front for pick up and drop off.	It resembles what is already there.	Not much	Ease of experience, from parking to flying. A decent cup of coffee for early flights would be nice as well.
687	2	1	3	Openness	Canopy	Awnings	Lots of space.
688	2	1	3	I like the various heights on the exterior and open space inside. I like the color scheme of interior.	All the windows.	Option three reminds me of a barn.	Ease of getting around.
689	1	2	3	Clean, Light and Modern Design. Maybe warm it up just a tad bit and add a few interior features of Plan B Lobby making it not so "boxy" and less hospitalish.	The exterior is nice but looks too much like a school vs an airport. I travel every week and see a lot of airports. My favorite part of this choice is the interior lobby design. Warm and welcoming. I would like to see this potentially incorporated with 1.	Love the exterior of Plan A.	Food/beverage options inside terminal Charging stations Jet bridge Separate TSA Security Valet Parking Safe parking (well lit) Quick carry on bag retrieval
690	3	1	2	Looks. Lean and open	Cost effective design	Looks like a warehouse	Openness, seating and shorter walks
691	3	2	1	The colors and flow and mention of service animal relief areas and a sensory room.	The flow inside	The two story idea	Easy access to security
692	1	2	3	Layout,seating, covered entrance	Upstairs, allows for future expansion	Option 3 does not as functional	Separation of TSA screening for multiple flights leaving around the same time.
693	1	2	3	G i g	Uff	Uff	Ovig
694	2	1	3	Glass and brick exterior	None	None	Easy access and spacious comfortable waiting areas
695	2	3	1	The simplicity and ease of understanding building layout before entering	The open window concept designs	The carry over of the existing building, reminiscent of the previous terminal	Ease of a loading/unloading zone with minimal congestion, covered entrances, clear signage within the terminal, comfort, charging stations, larger restrooms and stalls, amenities such as food options or drink stations, ease of mobilization throughout the terminal

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
696	2	1	3	Open with lots of natural light	Option B looks to be higher to better accommodate walk on jet bridges	Like the covered deep off area for departures	Wifi and clean restrooms with enough room to bring your luggage into the stall and capacity to accommodate the arrival of planes,.
697	1	3	2	ticketing and baggage on one floor and terminal upstairs	basic in it's design and seems cost effective	exterior design is very nice sleek	space, ease of movement and better TSA setup
698	2	1	3	The overall pulled together look. Particularly the overhang.	Not a fan of the white	Maybe the brick color	Easy flow of people thru checking, security, waiting and boarding. Maybe boarding people in a logical order - back, windows, middle seat, aisle.
699	1	3	2	I like the awning/cover outside. The over structure has a feeling of being open and bigger. It also reminds of the Austin, TX airport a little.	I like the awnings.	I like the glass entry way. If you added that onto my favorite that would be perfect.	Easy access to the gates and baggage claim.
700	3	1	2	Looks better architecturally	I like that shade of brick better	Looks like a middle school but I do like the idea of more canopy at the drive up.	Interior efficiency
701	1	2	3	Large awning, two story	Circle drive up	Small drop off and pickup	Open large lobby, large comfortable chairs in numerous seating areas, easy to read signage
702	2	3	1	Two entries, relieves congestion, no steps from parking, great colors, clean exterior design	Covered drop off	Nothing	Ease people congestion. Not being jammed up at drop off area, few if any steps from parking, Windows, ease of movement with baggage, clear signage
703	1	2	3	Historical perspective included in interior design	Discussion of energy saving consideration	Pass on this question	Ease of use, consideration for handicapped use
704	3	1	2	Interior design reflecting Missouri River, trains, history of the region reflected in option 2	Signage	high level glass for natural lighting	Ease of access to parking area, safe area during severe storms, quiet waiting area at gates
705	2	1	3	It's not ugly like the other ones	Nice modern interior. Two stories.	None. It's fugly	It's should be accessible and have plenty parking. The location you chose is wrong. It should've been on the north side like you promised.
706	3	1	2	Separated arrival and departure doors	Covered entrance/exit	Long covered awning in front	Spacious interior. Convenient and logical flow
707	3	2	1	Brick and covered entrances. Clearly marked arrival and departure.	Dual escalator and stairwell and larger pedestrian walkway outside	Longer covered walkway in front of the building	Easy to understand signage
708	2	1	3	Open 26 ft ceiling in main terminal. Open views of the airfield	Lighter colored building exterior	None	Conditioned jet bridges, where we don't have to walk outside to get on the plane; more room in the ticketing area, in the waiting areas; better system for luggage pickup
709	2	1	3	Light and bright	It looked like a hallway.	Didn't like it at all	Light, space and security.
710	3	2	1	Separate labeled entrance/exit of C. Colors and updated features if C.	Wide canopy of B looked better	A looks very dated. Too much brick for this day and age.	Ease of finding where you need to be.
711	3	2	1	C. One story, efficient design, use of land, raised gateways.	Passenger flow	Not clear why a "civic" building is needed. Appears to be most expensive. Emphasis of "form" over "function".	Function for easy access and egress. Flexibility for different sized aircraft.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
712	1	2	3	Covered outside loading and unloading.	More covered area than option C.	Still looks good but doesn't have as much covered area.	Easy loading and unloading. Short walks through terminal. Convenient bathrooms.
713	1	3	2	The 2-story structure is more attractive, more efficient, and allows second floor access to jet bridge.	The efficiencies of this design are highly attractive, though it is not a 2-story structure. I would like to see my MOST favored design incorporate those efficiencies, especially regarding drive-up access.	The "gateway" is impressive.	PARKING GARAGE that is physically close, covered, and large enough to accommodate plenty of travelers for now and for the future. Unfortunately, that is not part of this project. Easy expansion is absolutely essential. Comfortable and spacious gate areas (these designs don't show them).
714	2	1	3	Option B, Has better aesthetics. It feels more inviting, better use of the natural lighting and has a better more flexible floor plan.	Option A, is lighter and inviting but has a boxy, square look which is unappealing.	Option C, Is the least appealing and inviting design.	Aesthetics, functionality and flexibility.
715	1	3	2	I like the open design for accommodating many passengers, and I was looking for a design that specifically looked built for expansion in mind. This design feels most like an airport. I like the two-story design; it will make boarding more accessible.	The second design is both designed for expansion potential and ease of construction. I am worried about the other two designs taking a long time to build, meaning the airport may have to shut down for a period.	It looks like a high school.	Accessibility, ease of use, not feeling cramped by other passengers.
716	3	2	1	Exterior look made me want to read more and did look dated	Also wanted to look inside and read more about it.	Dull look not wanting to look at what inside would offer. Looks like a post office e not an airport	Easy entrance comfortable waiting areas. Attractive views with wifi hook up.
717	1	2	3	I like that it has the look and feel of an airport, the translucent cover, the regional brick, the polished concrete flooring and the incredible amount of light.	I like the big lobby & I think incorporating the large amount of masonry all around my 2nd favorite design & use more masonry on my favorite design (option a).	I did like the arrivals/departures differentiation. My least favorite option is making a lot of claims on the third page that may or may not be comparable to the others.	Increased space/capacity, expandability for 10-50 years into the future, disabled access, improved lighting, access to modern jetways, more parking capacity....this is a major investment which is being mostly paid for by the federal government. Pinching pennies will not be wise. You need to emphasize how important this is to the entire region.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
718	1	2	3	It has a very clean interior, with the white and blue (steps). I'm not thrilled about the olive green wall segments. Maybe make that match the blue steps. I like that stone looking cross-hatch. I like the extended exterior overhang for rainy days. Love the wavy wall section above American Airlines.	I like the lights with the exterior steps and the lighting at night.	Christmas tree hedges, would be better if it were like the bonzi trees, but bigger. There's an interior kiosk. Lots of places to sit, but the grey chairs in the 4th picture look weird. I like the Logo design, but not the color scheme of the Logo design. 2 blues and one white would be better, or white, blue, and grey. Picture 4 has comfortable couch seating. I like the couch seating with charging stations much better than the rows of chairs on the right in picture 5. I like that there's a big picture on the wall in picture 5, but not that picture. Maybe something more artistic, contemporary, lots of color. The sign in picture 5: It's just kindof bulky and feels out of place, needs a new icon, color blue in background, silver raised lettering, serif font perhaps... not all caps... and... Maybe just kill the text completely... square sign with silver raised icon against a dark blue background with arrow underneath the icons. I don't like how you have to walk through a door to get to the baggage claim, needs to be more of an open floor plan. Do we really need carpet? I'm not sure how I feel about carpet, but if there must be carpet... blue.	It's easy to find where you're going. Free parking all the time. Gluten-Free dining options. Spacious. Comfortable seating. Kiosks for checking in. No lines. I hate the massive security checkpoints. I'd like it better if people were just run through a scanner, upon entrance to the airport and then there's no lines for anything. There's too many lines in the current system. Just make it easy. You just swipe your phone like a magic wand and go where you need to. I hate the restrictions on carry-on luggage. I don't want to have to buy shampoo when I get to my destination. I want to pack the damn shampoo I already opened from home and use it when I get there, not some small bottle or have to throw away shampoo and drinks. There's too many restrictions and rules and lines. I get it, because of the bad people. We need to fix things so people don't have to do bad things and then we can just swipe our phones and go about our merry way and have fun in life.
719	1	2	3	Interior wise I appreciate the addition of an upper level. I think it is forward thinking for airport expansion and keeping with other airports around the country. Exterior features of the full canopy for drop of during inclement Missouri weather and three lane drive up is an important and appealing upgrade.	Large windows make for plenty of light & comfortable waiting.	Simple design, didn't like anything as it wasn't much of an upgrade from what is there now.	Functional and welcoming as you enter with appropriate signage. Clean facilities and ease of checking baggage, and moving through TSA. Comfortable waiting areas and eateries.
720	1	3	2	Modern and seems like it would be fairly timeless	Holds a bit more true to the current building design. Keeps a bit of nostalgia.	I like the open entry	Light and airy. Space to wait on flights. Some food options. Not listening to the Mizzou fight song at baggage claim. Very small town.
721	1	3	2	Colors	None	None	Nursing station nearby
722	3	1	2	I like the more modern look.	Love the interior colors.	The exterior isn't that bad but no color at all in the interior.	Easy access to parking and terminals.
723	1	2	3	Light	Colors	Na	Light, food, and spacious bathroom stalls
724	3	2	1	Modern look with room to expand	loading unloading design overhang landscaping and sidewalks	loading unloading overhang	easy to navigate for everyone, signage, plenty of clean bathrooms, food area



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
725	1	2	3	Lots of covered area for people waiting for rides or loading and unloading bags.	I think this one looks the nicest, just based on overall design. I like the big windows in front and tall roof area.	The darker brick color looks nice but I don't like this design at all. Looks like a grocery store.	Practicality- covered waiting area makes sense.
726	2	1	3	Building up so that air travel can continue during renovation. Also best way to get on the plane. It also seems to require the least renovation and keeps space all around for expansion.	Colorful. Different drop off spots. Spacious	Most colorful.	Smooth process from ticket counter to boarding. Comfort while waiting to board with easy access to technology charging stations.
727	3	1	2	I like how open the Lobby is.	There wasn't a lot of pictures of the ticket counter and lobby. But I do like the seating area of the gate.	This reminds me of MidAmerica airport in Belleville, IL. I don't like how the stairs are obstructing the lobby and the ticket counter area is small with 2 airline counters. Should be more separate because there's usually a or of people in the mornings with 4 flights going out.	How well the traffic flow of passengers can easily goes through an airport and not be confusing. This also goes for the workers as well since they are all around cross functional and can go from ticket counter to ramp any time.
728	2	1	3	More spread out and looks less like a boxy office building. Open on the inside.	Looked functional and environmentally friendly	Just blah.	Make columbia look less embarrassing when you arrive to town for the first time. No fighting of the elements to board the plane, no limited bathrooms after going through tsa, and ample snacks and drinks for sale following tsa.
729	2	1	3	Soaring, open appearance	A longer overhang for niece protection from the elements	Don't really like it	Access, comfortable waiting areas, an area for nursing/pumping moms
730	1	2	3	Love the futuristic look and the two floor structure. Love how there's a bridge to the airplane too.	Bridge to the airplane	Everything sucks and looks cheap. Need to attract more flights to more places	Bridges, more terminals, more flights.
731	1	3	2	2nd floor waiting and boarding by ramps lots of windows!	Least amount of major construction needed	I did not like the red interior spaces=angry, frustrated people!	Cost to fly to connectors. - bring back the stl and kci hoppers!
732	1	2	3	Lots of light	"Stephens college aviation department" sign.	Too much white.	Decent Restaurant that doesn't charge an arm and a leg for a burger.
733	1	2	3	The. bright white looks cleaner, welcoming, and open with all the windows.	Something about large windows has some sort of instinctual feeling about a safe place to enter. This is an opinion of mine that businesses that use large windows licks convenient stores, where you can see in and appears safer.	I like the landscape lighting.	I am a million miler with AA. Parking is important to me. And quick checkin kiosks as I know what I'm doing. I also like to be able to buy a coffee and snacks before boarding. Free WiFi in the terminal is nice with plenty of outlets to charge my electronics. Thanks
734	1	2	3	Openness and natural lighting	Not sure	Seemed small and boxy	Room to move around without feeling crowded.
735	1	2	3	Option 1 I like the entrance as it appears to be all one level from the parking area. I like the ability to go directly up the escalators to security. This allows downstairs to be less congested.	Exterior entrance that has a nice overhang that helps in inclement weather	Looks congested inside.	More bathrooms in the gate area. Power and internet. Close/lighted/secure parking. Places to sit and more quiet or private areas for calls.
736	3	2	1	Elevated boarding. Separate arrival / departure doors. Conservative design	The openness of the arch and history of aviation	Too modern and impersonal.	Food / drink and comfortable seating options within sterile holding area. This is one airport where you will arrive early and definitely be waiting to board.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
737	2	3	1	Bold color, exterior awnings.	Clean bright white.	Exterior is generic	Waiting areas should be calming and comfortable.
738	2	1	3	Glasses windows	Looking compact	It seems dark	More interior space and easy access to essentials
739	2	1	3	Lobby seating. None shown in option A. Like glass in both A and B.	Smaller footprint. Vertical blinds on exterior. The A option has a bit of a 'box ' feel. Do like the full length canopy on both A and B.	Interior is nice but exterior is plain ugly, especially the two separate canopies.	Need lobby seating for waiting outside of the gate boarding area. Access to coffee shop in both waiting areas. Is nice to have raised boarding level for ramps and viewing. Easy drop off and pickup curbside drives a must.
740	1	2	3	Glass entry, less old dark brick look.	Large centered entry, but that's asking for hiccups if traffic grows	Dark brick - an old style that is way outdated	Flow, distance from door to ticket counter to security entry, signage, retail pre-security, distance to admirals club
741	1	3	2	Natural light, tall, appears modern	Tall so would appear to have lofted ceilings which is nice in an airport. Looks solid.	Looks like an elementary school	Modern look, natural light, higher ceilings
742	1	3	2	Bright front entrance, energy efficiency	Energy efficiency	Drop off lanes	Ease of use, open & bright, easy to remodel
743	3	2	1	Features	Color scheme better than "C".	2 story. Hard to lug carry ons up the stairs.	Sitting areas, water and snacks after going through security! Fast baggage claim. Covered walkway to the planes! Maybe a shuttle from parking lot to terminal entrance. Appreciate the chance to have some input on this ! Thank you!
744	1	2	3	modern, extended awning	seems open, lots of windows and natural lighting	multiple amenities for those who need them	doesn't feel cramped, easy access to planes
745	2	1	3	Option b has an open more spacious look, both functional and attractive.	The windows at the top would let in lots of natural light.	Trees, trees, trees, everywhere they'll fit. The more shade, the less water everything below will need.	Convenient parking and room for quick drop off/pick up. Comfortable seating in case of long waits. Charging stations for all those devices we take everywhere.
746	2	1	3	Open looking, friendly	Looks larger	Not much	Parking and ease of use
747	2	1	3	Brick!Clean looking!	Clean looking!	Very dark!	I like the history in the 2nd !
748	2	1	3	Lots of space...reminds me of Springfield, MO airport which is beautiful!	Second story access to plane departures and arrivals.	None	Lots of open space for possible overcrowding due to delays or cancelations.
749	3	1	2	Modern, proud, memorable, unique to the area.	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
750	2	1	3	That large spacious atrium. It's going to bring in the most natural light and work as a great place for people to say goodbye to their loved ones. They can even wave out the windows to others who had to stay in the car. It will also work as a really comfortable and natural sitting area for people getting ready to board a flight. There will always be more indoor space. There is no shortage of indoor spaces devoid of natural light, but it takes real design to remember and incorporates the outside. My favorite airport (and I travel A LOT) is the Chicago Hare Airport. They use a variety of windows and make it a priority for passengers to not feel "closed in". Especially after security, there can be a stuffy sense to the gates, but including lots, of windows can easily combat that.	It's not high for no reason like choices C and A. I can't tell from the pictures if there are two stories or not, but if you are going for an open space breathable concept than putting in more windows for greater natural light will be better than raising the ceiling. Sunlight naturally produces more dopamine which will stimulate contentment and happiness	It's all brick. Minimal windows are keeping out the beautiful Missouri sunlight. Honestly, it looks slightly like a prison. Think- if you just got out fo 3-4 hours of flying, and were tired, would you rather be able to drink in the sunshine or see the beautiful night sky or meet harsh florescent lights which you've seen in your past 3 airports?	It's all about light. Especially in an airport where you're in lines squeezed up next to people or waiting at a gate in those compact style rows, being able the breath or at feeling space will be a great boost to the building. People are more likely to be happy seeing a little sunshine now and then, rather than stand under 40-watt bulbs.
751	3	1	2	One floor, traditional classic design, covered entry	None	None	Easy processing of TSA pre-check, spacious baggage claim, room for rental car agents and of course free rice crisps.
752	1	2	3	Covered drop off area, efficient design	Incorporation of historic material	Efficient construction and amenities	Clear navigation inside and out, comfortable seating areas, ample electrical outlets, comfortable and accessible restrooms
753	3	1	2	Overall pleasant design, inviting	Basic design, a lot of your questions hinges on prices of each. If all we are going to have fly in is 2 airlines then looks are not my biggest concern. Increase the runways to attract more airlines in the future. Thus increasing completion and services. Love to see Southwest Airlines come in	Not enough information as to what other services or offerings ie cell charging stations, tv for passengers, NOT CNN	As I said before until expansions take place with more airline option any of the 3 would do
754	2	1	3	Out of all the options, my most favored one is the most modern looking. I like that.	Maybe the fact that there's two floors? Or maybe the lanes in front of the airport?	I definitely love the separate arrival and departure entrances!	Easily understandable layout, and comfy waiting areas
755	3	1	2	Modern look	The warm colors	Lots of natural light	Easy to navigate, well labeled, open, easy to find bathrooms
756	2	3	1	I infer from the rendering that this floor plan would work best for the public - passengers and friends.	I like the exterior brick.	None.	Ease of access to and from planes while boarding or exiting and the same applying to arriving and departing from the building via vehicles.
757	1	2	3	Most modern and impressive design.	Maybe the exterior entrance -it appears to he a little more coveted for rainy/winter weather.	Nothing really - this reminds me of an elementary school building.	Ease of navigation and way-finding.
758	1	2	3	Looks like it can handle more growth	Looks professional	Nothing	Ease of use

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
759	2	1	3	I like that it is not just a box with all the same elevation. Natural light is also great and all the glass will bring in a lot of natural light. Would be great to have a lot of plants in that area so that the airport doesn't feel stale.	This brings in more natural light through the whole building which would be nice	More covered areas for people waiting for rides	Large easily marked signs to know where to go. Also design so that if you have to be stuck there for a bit it isn't so depressing. Food court
760	3	1	2	B seems more open and more windows	C is open but didn't seem to have as much light as B	A don't like having a 2nd level	Light and space
761	1	2	3	Tall building and lots of windows.	The lighting.	I like the overhang best in the least favored design	Bar. Bigger women bathrooms. The current ones are terrible!!! True TSA PreCheck lines. Keep the mizzou signal for bags.
762	3	2	1	I'm interested in the sustainability and smaller carbon footprint put forward in option C.	I think option B looks very welcoming and warm on the exterior, and option C could have a more open entrance/exit as well.	I don't like option C.	Ease of use, potential for growth, sustainability and minimal carbon footprint
763	1	2	3	Views, energy efficiency, decor, and room for expansion	Privacy rooms	Traffic lanes	Compact for travelers with health issues.
764	2	1	3	Modern look, open space	White	Its dark	Light, modern, classy
765	2	1	3	Classier look of B	Long covered walkway of A	Not much	Driveway, covered entrance, easy to get to security or bag check
766	1	3	2	The windows and modern design	The brick	I don't like the design of B	I like that there will be a second floor and a jet bridge to the plane
767	1	2	3	I like the exterior look of the terminal. It is modern and sleek. I like the second floor boarding area.	The Stephens college aviation theme.	The three lanes to the building.	Ease of access, modern look, ease of boarding.
768	2	1	3	Like one overhang roof look in A & B to protect from the elements. Do not like 2 overhang roof look in C.	As long as jet bridges are available to board and get off planes I am happy.	Don't like exterior of C	Jet bridges and plentiful seating areas
769	1	3	2	2d floor passenger waiting area. Separating boarding from otherspassengers from	Did not like glass of option B	Nothing I care to keep from option B	Ample space to stay with well wishers before moving to boarding area, but plenty of room to be comfortable in flight holding. Do not need spacious luggage claim as this should be in and out
770	1	3	2	Open windows, long awning to protect from elements no matter where the passenger is	Lots of windows, some awnings protection	Not enough awnings outside, no windows doesn't feel as open or light	Ease of use (ie everything is well marked and easy to get to), place to stand while waiting that's covered, comfortable seating, lots of light and windows for possible long layovers
771	2	1	3	windows	white design	looks like old terminal	A nice area when you come off the plane that welcomes them to Como and Mizzou. Some kind of sandwich shop or hang out food area.
772	1	2	3	2 stories, brick matches buildings nearby, lots of natural light, light colors.	Natural light	Unknown	Visually pleasing, ability to expand over time.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
773	3	2	1	It seems classic and like it wouldn't take much maintenance.	I like the windows but think it would make it very warm in the lobby and the stairs seem like it wouldn't be good with luggage. I like that it seems to match the other terminal.	Covered walkways.	Easy to get to and convenient parking.
774	2	1	3	Open ceiling and Clerestory glazing. Open feeling.	Two story concept, shorter air bridges. Also the perforated solar fins.	Separated entries. Increased parking space.	Overall aesthetics and ease of navigating from entrance to boarding.
775	2	1	3	Will stay current in design for many years.	The over hang is modern	Plan looking	Convent entrance and exiting
776	1	2	3	The elongated canopy at the front. Minimal use of dated brick	The large amount of clerestory	The new materials vs the OLD dared brick	The thing you haven't shared with us, the most important factor... functionality. How can you bother to get feedback without providing a floor plan? Were there design criterion provided? Is there an objective scoring method that will be applied at each step against key objectives?
777	2	1	3	Stone and rustic feel	Exterior look	McDonalds colors	Welcoming and reflective of where you landed
778	1	2	3	I like the use of vertical space, both for the benefit of passengers boarding planes as well as the possibilities for future expansion. Really nice use of windows for natural light.	The warmer colors seem a bit more inviting.	Good use of contrasting color to balance the space.	Easy to navigate; good wayfinding; comfortable seating; easy to hear PA system.
779	2	1	3	The open plan and colors	Second floor? Lightness.	Could use more outside awnings	Ease of security
780	1	2	3	I like natural light and windows.	I like the colors, but it appears to have less natural light.	Dark, boxy, not spacious.	Open spaces, natural light and views, spacious seating, free parking!
781	2	1	3	Expansive opening and airside tarmac views will provide a sense of openness before boarding the cramped aircraft.	The 2 story interior layout can be incorporated into the my most favored design.	Option of expansion in the future.	High ceilings, All glass windows and tarmac view give a sense of openness before embarking on the journey in the cramped quarters of an airplane.
782	2	1	3	The exterior is the mix of modern (high ceiling glass entrance area) and classic (red brick flat ranch style building). It is the prettiest. Interior has well defined areas of setting, ticket counters, security check point.	The long covered drop-off and pick-up area is very convenient and friendly. Second floor boarding is nice. Unfortunately, this design doesn't have well defined setting and ticketing area.	Setting area inside is nice, but the exterior is not very attractive to me.	Convenience is the most import feature to travelers. This includes easy drop-off and pick-up, comfortable and plenty of settings, short walking distance from spot A to B, etc.
783	3	2	1	The color palette, not to over the top with the design.	I like the smaller raised section of windows to let outside light in.	N/A	Comfy waiting areas.
784	2	1	3	Clean lines. Practical design. Overhang looks cool. Looks right for the setting.	Little more glass. Second story kind of cool.	Have to have practical awning.	Ease access... shuttle... get in get out... thanks.
785	1	2	3	Glass, natural light.	Second story.	Brick...	Openness. Utilities installed in the seating. Solid wifi.
786	3	1	2	Overall look/style, windows for natural light	The overhang pergola and COU logo	The nice lighted sidewalk separated from the street	Efficient design for moving people
787	2	1	3	The blend of warmth in warmer colors with the light open air the windows bring	The light	None	Enough space to form lines efficiently and spread out. Clean and simple design. Accessible outlets to plug into.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
788	2	1	3	Lots of natural light with a contemporary design	Still getting light	Dark, same old terminal look	Restroom facility, waiting area w/ plenty of charging stations, convenience store.
789	2	1	3	The Clerstory light and connected and history of aviation and transportation.	The escalator escalator from ticket area to waiting area for departure and arrival.	Just didn't speak to me. Too much brick and too little light.	Comfort and light and a sense of vision in the design. A space that draws people to this space and says transportation connections is to the world.
790	2	1	3	Modern design on exterior, interior not good	Exterior not good, interior is nicest of all	None	Function and flow, modern design
791	3	1	2	Single story. The architecture reminds me of central Columbia and Jefferson City and fits with the character of the cities.	Well thought out functionality of both passenger and airplane movement.	Long covered drop-off area.	Single story, i.e. no stairs! A well thought out flow of passengers from check-in to boarding. Respectful treatment of passengers, e.g. No crowding or herding of passengers in lines or behind barriers. Easy drop off of departing passengers by private vehicles.
792	1	2	3	open, compact for expansion and gates upstairs	lots of glass	feels heavy but thought the write up was the best.	better gates, bathrooms near or in gates, lobby space separate from TSA lines
793	1	2	3	I like that it's compact with space for growth. I like the concept of being multiple stories for easier plane access. I like the open window design.	I like the historical wall.	I don't like the incorporation of the logo colors on accent features. Logos change and having to change accent colors can be expensive or look dated easily.	Ability to grow with increased demand. Easy to navigate.
794	2	1	3	The big open windows and grand entrance	Natural light	The brick is nice	Easy to navigate. Layout that makes sense to keep the lines for the entrance to the plane not intruding on the people sitting and waiting like the current one does.
795	2	1	3	Open design using one level provides modern functionality. It provides for a targeted entry, welcoming and provides for expansion in the future. One level adds to accessibility, for users as required under the Rehabilitation Act and DOT funding.	Awning across the length of the building	The COU sign...	One level with continuity to the gate area is very important for users. In each 2018 and 2019, I logged 100,000 miles in the air domestically. I flew in/out of COU approx 12 times each year. The one positive feature that is consistent in the terminals I have used is the single level access. The cost of the earthwork and grading will be worthwhile ten times over to maintain one level for customers.
796	3	1	2	Whatever is the cheapest option. I land at airports all over the country with unused brand new terminals.	It's the second cheapest.	Don't spend \$ we don't need to spend to get the job done	Palatable food choices

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
797	2	3	1	Covered connectors access, additional parking spaces, use of same site grade. Energy efficient and easy flow-through. Accommodates growth. Options for funding that the others do not have (or failed to mention)?	Smallest footprint and use of old terminal during construction. Second story allows heating and cooling efficiency. Ease of 2nd story jet-bridge and would accommodate larger aircraft.	Does it show better lighting outside?	Plenty of room for ticketing and TSA screening. Comfortable waiting areas with access to appropriately sized bathrooms (not like current ones) and access to food and work spaces separate from children friendly areas, especially when flights are delayed. Plenty of room for baggage claim so handicapped passengers have the room they need as well. Currently everyone is on top of each other and squeezed in to pick up the bags. Thank you!!
798	1	2	3	Like house light the terminal is with light materials throughout both inside and out.	Like the large open concept	None	Quick easy checking process and more room within the airport terminals especially the gate areas.
799	3	2	1	separate arrival/departure points	multiple drop off lanes	Nothing really but I do hope those aren't stairs	Ease of use...getting in/out with the least number of steps.
800	1	3	2	Light and open	20 year plan for expansion	Comfortable seating	Clean, open, efficient
801	1	3	2	2nd Story	History	None	Boarding through gate, second story for more space.
802	1	2	3	That it's a 2 story	More open with the glass on the outside	Weird looking - too modern with the large overhangs	Having major restaurant chains for food (breakfast/lunch/dinner), places to charge computer/phone, spacious, clean. Multiple bathrooms. Easy access in and out
803	3	1	2	Open plan, colors, seating areas	Open plan	The second floor windows to increase interior lighting	Easy entrance and exit. Seating in waiting areas
804	1	2	3	The windows at the top	a pedestrian pathway from the parking lot	covered entryway	convenience restroom, comfortable waiting area with plugs for technology, open airy feel
805	2	3	1	The colors and size and shape seem appropriate for a small central mo airport. Option a is so tall and looks more like an office or medical building.	The continuous canopy goes the whole length of the drop off area. Also the wood on the inside is nice	Again, the canopy that goes across the whole front.	Easy way finding. All on one level. No elevators, stairs or escalators.
806	3	2	1	It appears the designer added great detail on the interior design and the use of ramps inside is a money saving design.	N/A	A full length canopy is very nice	Easy of movement inside the terminal and access from the exterior.
807	1	2	3	Bright and open. Natural light from lots of Windows	Open. Natural light from Windows	One level looks closed off and not as open. Not enough natural light.	Easy to navigate. Open, bright, and up to date.
808	2	3	1	It looks modern.	It looks fancy!	I don't like it looks like trash	Food!
809	2	1	3	Large open area, windows	Clear signage, windows	Nothing	Clear signage
810	3	1	2	Color and modern design	Color scheme	Staircase	Openness and comfort
811	1	2	3	I like the exterior of Option A due to the large canopy. I also like the bright white on the inside - very positive.	I liked the warmth of the option b on the interior.	Option C had zero canopy for dropoffs and pickups.	Clear layout, a touch of warmth and welcoming... Good access for dropoff and pickup

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
812	2	1	3	The awning. The natural light. The windows.	NA	NA	Having options for food, drink and entertainment past the security checkpoint.
813	2	3	1	N	N	N	N
814	2	1	3	Awning	Two story	Yes	O
815	1	2	3	2nd floor boarding without going outside	N/a	N/a	Not going outside to board and disembark
816	3	1	2	Stephens college aviation sign. Openness. Looks fresh but won't get outdated quickly.	Like the designated area signs on the outside. Large photos on the inside. looks updated on the inside. Like the airport sign	Brite and open.	Easy to find where you're going. Nice area to wait to pick up passenger. Would like to see the runway. Something fun and interesting to look at while waiting for either pick up or take off. Good, not expensive food area.
817	1	2	3	Bright, clean	tall covered entry	Not sure	Nice place to wait for flights and easy drop-off and pickup of passengers
818	1	2	3	The straight lines on the outside with the long shade roofing across the front is very appealing.	The amount of windows which will let in natural light.	The covered sidewalks, with the roofing extending out beyond the building.	Ample waiting space that is roomy enough to allow for NOT crowding folks together too closely.
819	1	3	2	Openness	Elevated natural light	Porticos	Ease of access, comfortable environment
820	2	1	3	Exterior: This design is a modern take on the existing terminal, I like the added use of glass to allow natural light into the building. Interior: I like the exposed beams, and choice of colors for the finish materials. Stained concrete floors.	Exterior: I like the design of the covered walkway. Three lanes on the road at the terminal, allows for better flow of traffic. Interior: Stained concrete floors	Exterior: Dedicated entry points for arrivals and departures(Would like to see them further apart to help with flow) three flags in front, COU logo on building. Interior: Use of Supergraphic Wallcoverings, second choice for carpet colors.	I'd like to see open spaces, utilizing an industrial look(Option B). A simple flow from ticketing to boarding and from arrival to baggage claim, keep the stress of finding your way to a minimum.



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
821	3	1	2	I like the tall entrance with glass.	Large rectangular windows.	Looks like a middle school or high school.	I like an attractive approach to the outside entrance. The roof lines of all these options are completely flat. They follow the architecture of the empty industrial park on the south side of that road approaching the airport property. Our airport needs some variations in height, additions of curves, or some peaks. Look at Manhattan, KS, half the population of Columbia, airport, <a href="https://www.flymhk.com/">https://www.flymhk.com/</a> . It shows some creativity and art. The interiors of these COU options are okay, could be better. Look at the interior of the Boise, ID, twice the population of Columbia, airport. It has very attractive features <a href="https://www.google.com/maps/place/Boise+Airport/@43.5658231,-116.2223159,3a,75y,90t/data=!3m8!1e2!3m6!1sAF1QipNiE6aOeBxAFXKLfSH0uzl8oJYRQeYIFn-xNQ0Y!2e10!3e12!6shhttps:%2F%2Fh5.googleusercontent.com%2Fp%2FAF1QipNiE6aOeBxAFXKLfSH0uzl8oJYRQeYIFn-xNQ0Y%3Dw203-h114-k-no!7i4208!8i2368!4m5!3m4!1s0x54ae57f721f81b47:0x8ecedfa5e530be2b!8m2!3d43.5658231!4d-116.222316">https://www.google.com/maps/place/Boise+Airport/@43.5658231,-116.2223159,3a,75y,90t/data=!3m8!1e2!3m6!1sAF1QipNiE6aOeBxAFXKLfSH0uzl8oJYRQeYIFn-xNQ0Y!2e10!3e12!6shhttps:%2F%2Fh5.googleusercontent.com%2Fp%2FAF1QipNiE6aOeBxAFXKLfSH0uzl8oJYRQeYIFn-xNQ0Y%3Dw203-h114-k-no!7i4208!8i2368!4m5!3m4!1s0x54ae57f721f81b47:0x8ecedfa5e530be2b!8m2!3d43.5658231!4d-116.222316</a> In summary, I think the outside needs more variation, especially in the roofline. The interiors are just okay but could be made more attractive.
822	2	1	3	The windows.	The awning in front.	It looks like a factory.	Accessibility and easy to navigate
823	1	2	3	The two story structure which reduces grading and preserves space	The Lewis and Clark exhibit	This option is too ugly to be considered	Access to parking and ease of boarding
824	1	2	3	I like that this option leaves room for expansion because it has a smaller footprint and still has lots of natural light.	I like the front entry and how open it is.	The extra parking	Easy in and out access from the parking lot and natural lighting
825	2	1	3	Windows	Windows	Seems dark	Convenience and openness

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
826	1	2	3	<p>Exterior: Pleasing to the eye and covered passenger loading and unloading. Design allows for expansion for the future. It is functional and uses solar fins to protect the interior from the western sun. Interior: Appears to be spacious and allows passengers to access 2nd floor easily by elevator or what appears to be escalators and not stairs. It reminds me of the Killeen-Fort Hood regional airport terminal. The ability of passengers to go to security and the departure area on the second floor is huge because it clears the first floor of already processed passengers and allows arriving passengers to leave relatively easy once they get their baggage which is also located on the 1st floor and depart away from arriving passengers. The designers took into consideration expansion and the heating from the western sun during the summer. The idea of the 2nd floor departure area is the game changer. The 2nd floor area could have a small cafeteria and other amenities as well and there are places where regional history on both floors could be placed giving the new COU a very welcoming and educational view for visitors. I like the interior colors and materials as they are not overwhelming but welcoming. This design with the passenger loading bridges will allow for multiple aircraft to be safely parked which is difficult now at our present facility. There is much more I can say and will in #5.</p>	<p>The interior 26 foot ceiling is pretty but a complete waste of space. It is hard to imagine this facility lending itself to expansion but maybe so. It is hard to determine how the departure area for passengers will lay out but it creates a choke point with security extending passengers into the main lobby. I like the size of the first floor lobby area and that would be plus if my top choice could have a larger lobby but may not be necessary due to the 2nd floor passenger area in option 1. The additional passenger comfort bonus of a nursing room, quiet areas and a pet walking area would be nice in option 1 if it does not have these features.</p>	<p>Absolutely nothing! This is by far the ugliest design of the 3 choices. If I did not know better I would say the exterior is a copy of a local tire store service bays near the mall. The interior rather than being calming and subdued is bright colors such as the walls and furniture. This design does not seem to lend itself to expansion.</p>	<p>This survey is a long time coming and we can see we will have a new terminal building in just a few short years. Columbia and Mid-Missouri's airport will no longer be an eyesore for incoming passengers and will be functional for years to come. I attended the Airport Advisory Board meetings for several years as an interested citizen. I absolutely understand how important aviation( commercial, general and cargo) are so critical to our area. Mid-Missouri has come out big time to support American and United airlines with increasing passenger loads over the last 5 years. Our region deserves a new terminal. I think it is critical that the airport design can be expanded when the need occurs. Otherwise we will be in the same situation we find ourselves in now. I want visitors and residents alike to have a beautiful and functional airport to call their own and be proud of. The ease of access to the passenger/departure area is a huge plus and passenger bridges on the 2nd floor to aircraft are a must. Arriving passengers can easily get their baggage with this design without mixing traffic with departing passengers. While I think art/history of the area is important and should be tastefully displayed it does not impact air travel. Our 3 colleges could have displays that showcase them and yet not be overdone. I was attending the airport advisory meetings when Parsons-Brinkerhoff showed their rendition of a new terminal and spoke to the group about projected expansion and passenger load. Their design was similar to Choice 1 in that it was about a 2 floor facility with passenger area on the 2nd floor. I liked their design and supporting information/study about future passenger load and ability to expansion. In closing I would like to thank the city for the opportunity to take the survey and how happy this day has come so that we can finally</p>

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
827	2	1	3	Option B with the steps in front seems to show the existing terrain the best and reflects a team with more attention to detail. I like the bigger covered sidewalk area for pick-up and drop-off. What are the interior features? All I see is the facade.	Option A has the long covered sidewalk. It reminds me of the covered sidewalks we used to have on Broadway before people who like to walk in the rain took them out.	The COU sign on the building in Option C is nice.	Expandability. Open airy feel. Efficient set up for baggage drop-off/pick-up and security. Lots of electric outlets in the waiting area. Free rice cakes. Free parking.
828	1	2	3	light and sunshine	lots if room	big	clean, efficient
829	1	2	3	It feels airy, and the second floor is a really nice touch.	I do like the mid-Missouri photos (like Faurot Field) on the inside.	The Stephens College beam is pretty cool!	-Easy drop off / pick up space -Clean (and plentiful) bathrooms -Space to work out of while waiting for a flight -Good WiFi -Some food options
830	2	3	1	I like the designated entrances.	I like the 2 level design with covered loading ramps.	I like the historical aspects being incorporated into the design.	Easy access, lots of parking, ease of finding where you need to be, access to amenities once in a secure area
831	1	2	3	I like the 2 story open concept in option 1	It has a modern feel to it	The awnings for protection	quickly getting through security.
832	1	2	3	I like the way the awning extends to cover the arrival area. I like the natural light the upper windows will provide. Accentuate this feature.	unimaginative. Needs to better reflect the region. looks like a bigger version of what we already have.	This is a box with absolutely no style. Survey the area for creative inspiration from existing mid-missouri structures.	Quick and easy access for departing passengers. All three designs lack inspiration and look more like an architects desire to maximize profit. You need to make a statement with this structure. Go back to the drawing board.
833	2	1	3	It's cool	Too white and silver	Too boxy	Efficiency
834	2	1	3	Wide open design, lobby looks very impressive.	Modern design	None	1. Easy pickup and drop off 2. Arrivals and departures separate 3. Food options 4. Restrooms 5. Adequate seating
835	1	2	3	Depends on orientation of building - windows for passive solar or lighting is good and design on front/side is more artful and brings eye to sky	canopy is OK- but building looks like a generic Columbia school	canopies are modern and interesting but the building looks like a commercial garage or warehouse and is dark	You are asking for an opinion based only on a visual esthetic?! There is NO info here on orientation, use of natural light/use of solar energy or environmentally appropriate materials for either interior or exterior and nothing about the use and/or function inside. What are the security features, cost of these proposed designs and/or architectural ease to further implement future modifications to expand and/or to improve energy efficiency?? Native Landscaping ?
836	2	1	3	The elevated glass and the color of the exterior	The modern glass covering out-front.	The airplane feature/sign out front.	Open space with comfortable seating that does not feel so crowded.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
837	2	1	3	I like the color scheme, and the big entry room feels spacious and would seem to have lots of natural light from all directions from the high windows. The building seems to have a larger "presence" on the exterior concept photo.	I like that it uses a brick design that matches the existing airport.	If I only read the description, my lowest choice - Option C - would seem like the best option. It stresses things like position, landscape features, accommodations for large & small aircraft, the capacity for growth, and energy efficiency. All of these elements would be great for a final design. However, I really don't like the colors or the arrival/departure entry ways that stick out. The design feels like it will quickly become dated, and I don't see any visual design elements to carry over.	I like an airport with easy drop-off or close parking, uncrowded waiting areas with food/snack offerings, and pleasant decorations. I also think it is important to have a design that will accommodate future expansion, as I think the Columbia airport will continue to grow.
838	1	2	3	I like the look of 3. The color palette builds on the logo.	Ease of boarding is very important.	Ease of boarding. Not a fan of original brick color.	Airport experience. Shopping, dining and things to do.
839	1	2	3	I like that it is two stories tall with a small footprint.	It mentions expansive view of the airfield. I think all airport terminals should utilize viewing areas to watch planes take off and land.	My least favored option is less boxy design with more exterior color which I like.	1) Efficient car/human traffic flow for both arrivals and departures. 2) Terminal should feel open and cosmopolitan featuring artwork created in our region. 3) Design should incorporate ideas allowing for future expansion (hopefully) taking into mind FAA regulations. 4) All airports should have a public viewing area to watch planes take off and land. 5) Design should incorporate solar/wind/renewable energy
840	2	1	3	Lights up at night and the big open space inside	Option A has very clear markings for where to be (baggage claim, airlines, etc)	I like the arrivals and departure labels on option C	Clearly labeled signs, lighting, welcoming
841	1	3	2	Clean lines Use of boarding on 2nd floor	Brick color	Awnings look hideous	Easy boarding and baggage claim. I don't believe any money should be spent if we must continue to go out in the elements to board. That wouldn't be any better than what we have now
842	3	1	2	Seems more open with natural light able to enter.	Overhangs to allow travelers to load and unload and keep from getting rained on.	No exterior stairs heading from parking lot. Ease of accessibility.	Ease of getting in and out of the terminal.
843	3	1	2	The glass front	The overhangs in the front	It looks like a school	Open and inviting not closed off to the outside world.
844	2	1	3	The option B I like the entrance. The two story glass entrance.	Two stories	Nothing	Easy arrivals and departure gates. Plenty of parking.
845	3	2	1	Raised gates and covered jetways	Landside design	No 1 exterior design	roominess, TSA access and ticketing access
846	3	2	1	Eco friendly. Expandable for future growth.	Like the exterior look. Cool entrance.	None	Easy access to parking. Climate controlled jet bridges. More seating area at gate. More space @ luggage carousel.
847	1	3	2	Bright colors. Small footprint to get to terminal.	increased parking and easy drop off.	??	Easy people and traffic flow.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
848	1	2	3	A is very bright with light colors used	Tall ceilings with lots of windows for light to come in	None	Ease to get through security quickly and comfortable gate to sit at when waiting. Also needs better Wifi
849	1	3	2	Two stories, to allow jet ways to planes	The entrance design	na	Multiple gates, room for waiting. Ease of transit through TSA.
850	2	1	3	Option B: Sleek aesthetic modern, yet functional design. Nice color choice and beautiful tall covered entrance.	Possibly adding a second floor/level to a portion of the airport and adding covered outdoor seating.	I like the COU insignia, but really do not care for the overall design of Option C. (Option C, to me, looks more like a "Midas muffler" or one of the fancier automotive stores, like the "Pep Boys" stores on the West & East coast.).	Modern, inviting & beautiful exterior...that flows in to the interior of the airport terminal. A large outdoor covered area is also very important, as we do get blasted with all four seasons!
851	2	1	3	Love the entrance, color schemes, and historical references	I like the second floor idea. Bright interior but maybe too sterile with its silver and white.	There's not a lot I like about this one. Exterior has no character and the interior color scheme is unimaginative.	Ease of getting in and out including parking, waiting at ticket counter, getting through security. Looking forward to finally having an airport that isn't an embarrassment.
852	1	3	2	2 stories and ability to expand.	NA	NA	I worked at the airport of a couple years and the biggest things that the airport lacked were the following. Food, Seating area, and parking. There needs to be reliable food/beverage facilities both before and after security that can take cash AND credit. Provided seating area should be modeled after airports that have the ports/plug-ins every few chairs and a lot of chairs so the increase in people using the airport will be able to sit in chairs and not on floors or standing. For parking, even with the extensions, there is not enough. Consider a long term parking garage further away from the airport with a shuttle service. This could provide venue and a nicer/sheltered place to park for people with long term flight plans or who fly often.
853	1	3	2	Light colors!	Looks like an airport	It looks like a school	Easy access for pick up and drop off
854	3	2	1	Energy efficiency	Open design	Clarestory	Services and amenities that allow for comfortable stay at the gate, including restrooms and food/drink options.
855	1	2	3	I like the modern and traditional mix. I also like the windows which would allow in a lot of light. I also like the circle drive.	I like the lighting and the open concept.	I don't like how dark it is. It seems like an old gymnasium. But I like the overhangs.	Bathrooms and Snack and Drink Options after security. Security check with multiple entries to go quicker.
856	1	2	3	A	B	C	TSA speedy access.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
857	1	2	3	Two story allowing for better ease of access to planes, more substantial looking has a stronger presence for the importance of our region.	I liked some of the materials used inside which make it less white (fairly boring aesthetic) compared to option A. Access up to the airport also looks more aesthetically pleasing.	Mmmm....like the Mizzou artwork	User friendly- accessible, comfortable waiting spaces, restroom, possible restaurant? What airlines and destination availability will always be more important than the terminal.
858	1	2	3	solar fins	A memorabilia wall containing artifacts related to the region's history, people, and culture features the historic Stephens College Aviation beam, a link to the airport's origins.	Raised gates allow for larger aircraft mix while maintaining full taxiway	Windows, bathrooms, and lots of individual space around seating for luggage while waiting.
859	2	1	3	The flag. This is just a big box. Very plain. Dull, dull, dull. None of them are my favorite but there is no place to vote no on any of them. Go back to the drawing board!!!!!!	The flag. Start over with a different designer that will have more unusual ideas-note the building across from the J School.	I find all three of the designs lacking in creativity. They are insipid. It is great opportunity for Columbia to have a creative building as a gateway to Columbia. Try again with different designers that can design something better than a box.	A creative design. We need something that shows that Columbia is not stuck in the past and is forward looking and exciting.
860	2	1	3	Tall ceilings, bright	Couch seating	Boring brick	Passenger comforts like restaurants, bathrooms, seating choices besides hard plastic bucket chairs. Open design with tall ceilings before being crammed in a tiny airplane.
861	2	1	3	#2 option B is the most impressive option to view. First impressions can be very important to visitors entering our city. One of the most exciting parts about any airport is being able to watch the take off and landings of air traffic, it is fascinating for adults and a joy for children. Being ringside to a large window and landscape of our beautiful state may make people want to stay a while longer in our beautiful country side and mini metropolis. I think we should put our best foot forward in this endeavor. Glass can be made to filter the west entrance sunlight, but still be functional and beautiful. By the way I remember being at the airport at it's grand opening in 69. I remember seeing young lady ambassadors wearing the fashion of the day, Hot pants, it was all quite thrilling for a 12 year old girl.	This is a decent entrance but not near as impressive as #2 Its just a typical box looking structure.	This just looks like a 2nd thought, obvious add on. completely uninspired. Sorry the red corrugated looking façade looks plain ugly.	Visual of the air traffic flowing. Easy to locate and well placed signage, Comfort of furniture, easy of finding pertinent informational literature, about the city, events, etc. Clean place to get food and drink. Beautiful photos of local scenery and events. Spacious bathrooms. Gift shop with Columbia, Jeff City, memorabilia, personal care products, fresh flowers, snacks, batteries, etc.
862	1	2	3	Bright	Natural light	Places to sit	Efficient
863	2	3	1	I like the two stories and I think coming off the plane on the 2nd floor. Not having to walk down the stairs outside to enter the terminal. Also the outside awning runs the full length of the building.	The two story windows that added more light inside.	Not anything.	Modern looking, spaciousness, quiet, interesting art or murals. No Mizzou song at the baggage terminal.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
864	1	2	3	I love the color scheme and the way the front of the building looks	I like the over hang on front	Not sure	Restroom in gate area Restroom with stahl doors that swing out allowing easier access when traveling with rolling carry on suitcase.
865	2	1	3	Seems more open. Path from parking lot	Entrances placement	Color	Waiting area with plenty of working outlets. Accessibility to restaurants and restroom behind the security check point
866	1	2	3	Light, airy appearance. Good space use	Gate area looked inviting.	Distinct departure/arrival areas	Convenient parking and covered drop off and pick-up areas. Open movement inside. Good coffee shop for waiting.
867	1	3	2	Like the clean lines	Like the clear story	None	Need to have enclosed jet way to plane(s) from the terminal, for loading / unloading
868	1	3	2	Bright and clean look of the exterior.	Some color on the interior	the two story volume at the entry	minimizing cross traffic gates and ticketing
869	2	1	3	The raised atrium and lights	The windows	The dark brick and lack of windows	Good lighting, large open spaces and luggage retrieval
870	2	1	3	The open air space in the middle of Option B makes it seem more inviting and large. Not closed in and old like the others...	Take the over all tallness and windows from Option A and add it to Option B. Take the darker bricks from Option C and add it to Option A as well.	The darker Brick seems more expensive and nicer than Option A or B.	FUTURISTIC DESIGN. All three of these options just look like buildings designed in the 1970's-1980's. They are not dynamic, no curves anywhere, no nice sculpture... This is all a waste of money if you are not going to make it look like it was designed AT LEAST in 2020... But it needs to be good enough to still look new in 2030, imo. But your A, B, and C are already so dated that they need to be renovated before you even start construction. Don't waste the money if you aren't going to make the structure something to be PROUD of.... Don't design something just to save a little money. A, B and C are so very underwhelming. Please scrap these designs and contact Elon Musk, or at least look on Google for 'Futuristic Airport' for ideas. - Zach C.
871	3	1	2	airiness. open. inviting.	overhangs. but too boxy.	sprawl. possible lack of energy efficiency.	clean. welcoming. efficient.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
872	1	3	2	I like that the architect brought in local elements but also married this with international appeal. The internal elements seem classic and should weather the test of time the best with little replacement allowing future updates to have minimal cost. I feel that it also sends a statement that we are planning to become more and are hopeful for an ambitious future.	I like that it feels uniquely Missouri, both inside and out. It is colorful and sets a positive atmosphere. Building on local history and artistic vibes would make this a very trendy airport.	Honestly, I feel that option 2 is a combination of elements from both options 1 and 3. It is difficult to pick specific feature from 2 that are not already incorporated in The other options.	Free parking. I know we can't do that forever, but one can hope. I think that having food options available would be good. It would be really great if there could be a rotating service to showcase local eateries or even options for preboarding ordered meals would be interesting. I remember that there were times I was hungry waiting for a flight or family to come and pick me up. As someone who has gotten stuck in airports several times, having safe rooms to wait in would be helpful. I got stuck at an airport with 3 young children and had no where to go or eat and the kids had nothing to do for 6 hours. When we got in, there was food, but still nothing to do for another 4 hours. Then, I fell asleep waiting another 6 hours for the connecting redeye and I was just lucky that my kids didn't run off on me. So often airports cater to business, but very few have a family friendly approach as well.
873	2	1	3	Entryway. Enclosed on-boarding bridges from the 2nd floor.	The use of brick to tie into the old terminal.	nothing	Easy access from parking. Uncrowded drop off and pick up areas. Uncrowded and comfortable boarding area. Efficient security process. Good restaurant and bar with plenty of seating.
874	1	2	3	Option A exterior glass panels at the top	Option B Stone bottom area	Option C accent band around the brick	Drop off lanes
875	2	1	3	Open concept and mixture of brick and glass.	The exterior metal incorporated into the design.	The interior colors that accentuate and complement the interior while not too overwhelming.	Exterior design and appeal, and good interior concept for accessibility and future growth and/or expansion.
876	1	2	3	Windows and covered sidewalk	Brick	Looks like a wear house	Work stations, good WiFi. The current airport WiFi is lacking
877	1	2	3	Upstairs area to connect to jet bridge. I like the glass exterior.	I like the color scheme and finishes	Exterior lighting	Space to check in and go through security, ease of parking, easy access to plane
878	2	1	3	Like the blue color so everything is not so dull.	Like second story boarding feature..	Arrival and departure separate sections.	Comfortable waiting area; less stressful security area with a bit more room between screening machines. It is always so stressful and crowded.
879	3	1	2	incorporating local history in the design	energy efficient design	glass upper story.	efficient, easy to use, local history.
880	3	2	1	Option C mentioned the ability for expansion. I am concerned the other options would become constrained and crowded in 20 years and would not be as easily upgraded.	I like idea of the large open lobby.	The fact that it is a two story building appealed to me. While I ranked Option C the highest, I am concerned about its lack of space because it touts itself as "compact".	Jet Bridges Vendors/Concessions past security Large TSA screening area Well lit and easily accessible parking Adequate amount of seating at the gates



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
881	1	3	2	I like the light colored brick, the exterior design, and the big, open, light-filled interior. I also like the suggestions for incorporating artwork in the space.	I like the interior accent colors and the tiling.	I like the memorabilia wall.	Efficient, clean, bright and airy, comfortable
882	1	2	3	Light airy	Nothing	Too dark	Food and drink options
883	2	1	3	Like both the outside design and the interior--so far as we can see the interior. None of these really show all of the interior.	Actually like the interior better than my first choice, but exterior not so much.	Option C looks like a warehouse. Ugly.	Traffic patterns for fliers that don't overlap. An interior that impresses visitors to COU.
884	1	3	2	Exterior looks nice, not like a factory	Interior looks to have more seating	Drive-through overhang to unload luggage in the rain	No narrow halls that require crowding when walking during epidemics. Seating with desks and electrical connectivity and good, free wireless. Ample seating.
885	3	2	1	The minimal construction efforts due to using many components of already established structure/less financial impact.	The windows in the front, the longer awning in front.	N/a	Safety, convenience, ease of flow/operations, availability of restrooms and nursing/breastfeeding rooms.
886	2	3	1	Not dull grey, good lighting but not unnecessarily over-windowed, not excessively large	2-story design, more windows on 2nd story	none. Seems excessively large for needs and has so many windows that it will feel cold and bleak in winter or bad weather.	separated arrival and departure areas, Better-designed TSA area (with real precheck lane!), comfortable and widely-spaced seating in terminal, adequate restroom space and minimizing doorways and interior ramps, space for concessions if vendors are interested (basically, the existing terminal is everything I hate)
887	1	2	3	Two storied	Driveway is appealing	None	Easy to navigate, not congested
888	2	3	1	Compactness. Appears to be the most energy efficient and makes best use of existing space. Goal is to be most environmentally appropriate.	Lightness. I actually prefer the color scheme of thus one better, but again, the goal should be to think how to get a better airport with the least harm to the environment.	Color scheme.	See above. Also, not having to walk "miles" once you get inside. (Otherwise we would go to STL or KC).
889	2	1	3	the spaciousness and open nature of the lobby are and it's color scheme	The windows taking up the majority of the exterior	Not much	Open and easy to navigate, aesthetically pleasing
890	2	1	3	Modern look	Ability to expand	Colors	Modern airy design with a nice bar
891	2	1	3	More majestic	Nothing, looks like a STEEL building	Looks Cheap!!!	Enough Jet Bridges to handle the morning departures, Four currently without using the jet Bridges twice. I think the additional flight to CLT will need a FIFTH Jet Bridge. WHY not go for SIX???
892	1	2	3	Separate ticketing and baggage	Open feeling due to lots of glass	Not sure	Convenient parking, easy TSA screening, easy boarding, quick baggage claim
893	3	1	2	I like the warmth the inside brings	I like how many amenities it has (mother's room, sensory room, etc.)	Too cold and sleek	Feels comfortable

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
894	2	3	1	Separated arrival and departure entrances.	Open area for passengers.	Single entrance.	Important: Plane boarding and leaving from the second floor and separated arrival and departure door on land side.
895	3	2	1	I like the separate Arrival & Departure Gates, the raised viewing area, and the other positive features listed in the description. I hate the metal "storage shed" panels on the area around the entrance & exit.	I believe that option 2 is the most attractive & aesthetically appealing, but unfortunately is probably not the best suited for airplane viewing and future expansion.	I like the second floor of option A being dedicated to Terminal Side activity.	Separation of Ticketing, Security & Gates, and Baggage Claims & Land side services for good traffic flow. Please refer to My favorite airport: Bozeman (BZN).
896	3	1	2	The entry	The windows	The covered loading/unloading area	Easy entrance and exit
897	1	2	3	Two stories. Glass and light	Canopy ... less brick	Brick wall	Ease of movement internally. Drop off bags at counter. Pick up ease.
898	1	2	3	I love that it's modern, classy, and beautiful and easy on the eye! It's bright and welcoming and I like the use of white.	I like the light placement.	Nothing that I can see. I believe option A has everything needed for a beautiful terminal.	Easy to navigate, welcoming, beautiful and modern.
899	1	3	2	The open atmosphere and second level loading	clean lines	the walkway next to the driveway	open concept and convenient amenities
900	1	2	3	I like the glass...natural light. They still do look like schools!	Not much...don't like the design but had to rank them	Cannot stand this design. A block of brick. 2nd and 3rd choices are not creative at all.	Clean, open, airy, variety of food and drink options. Not dark and dreary. No carpet. Quality speaker system to hear announcements. Charging stations too. Look at PDX and even Sioux Falls SD. That is a very small but new airport. Loved that one!!
901	2	1	3	Looks inviting. Good use of windows and natural light. I like the large overhang that resemble columns of a house rather than the utilitarian columns. Provides more dimension and height, rather than the single-height box structure of other designs. I also like the use of wood and other natural materials. Gives a warm but contemporary feel and not sterile (A) or whimsical (C)	Upper windows are good. Way to sterile in the inside, and no space to move around.	Maybe some sort of hanging ceiling art for visual appeal and to take advantage of the ceiling height, but certainly not as gaudy as those "Y" things hanging up there. More like "Why?"	Inviting, clean, sophisticated appearance, with plenty of room to mill about, especially in the entrance. I don't want to feel like I'm entering a hospital or a high school with cheesy logo branding. I love high ceilings in an airport. The sky should be emphasized with lots of windows to bring in light and see the sky. Efficient design to get to the gates easily. Wide aisles in the gate and baggage area. I also appreciate seeing art, especially from local artists or relevant to local culture, and natural features of the area used in furnishings, decor, etc.
902	1	3	2	Natural light; shield for strong light glare; easy to read signs; large canopy for vehicles near entry and exit; compact design (energy efficiency)	There at least appears to be a little color in the interior materials.	Glass separations for interior spaces. Color, but those depicted look tacky to me - will appear dated quickly.	Easy to orient (signage); easy to find restrooms; adequate seating
903	2	1	3	I really like the wood ceiling and exposed steel beams. The landside interior is vast and impressive. One thing that could be improved is the exterior material choices. I would've thought Missouri limestone would have been chosen?	The mixed use of material on the exterior (ribbed metal) as well as the color scheme of interior finish material.	Separating Departures and Arrivals seems user friendly, however, everything else about Option C is horrifying. It really highlights how terrible the airport branding/color scheme is.	Impressive aesthetic, comfortable seating and materials. Short parking distance.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
904	1	2	3	Two stories	Glass front	Brick color	Efficiency and flow
905	2	1	3	Glass	Nothing	The worst	This is a gathering place for residents and visitors to engage in air travel. It should be attentive to space and not be brutalist architecture. B is minimally acceptable
906	1	2	3	Having a Jet bridge for boarding is the most important.	More color and less sterile white.	Covered walkway to rental cars	Jet Bridge, close rental car and parking access. After security coffee and food options. TSA Pre line.
907	1	2	3	It looks fresh and inviting.	It appears larger.	nothing	Enough space for people to comfortably wait for their flight.
908	1	2	3	open	more overhead covering on exterior	none	accessibility and openness
909	2	1	3	open, lots of windows, natural light, multi-level profile, modern	windows at the top	separate arrivals and departures entrances	simple, clean, modern, easy to navigate
910	1	2	3	Overall design of interior is very intuitive. Easy access to all areas without a lot of open wasted space.	Plenty of sitting areas.	There wasn't much about this design I liked.	Clearly marked signs for check-in, boarding, luggage pick-up, etc.
911	1	2	3	It's very open	Open and well lit	Doesn't feel as welcoming	Welcoming, well lit, open
912	3	2	1	Color of the brick and the COU sign.	All the glass. It seems more open and inviting. This design also has some dimension to the facade. It's not just a flat surface.	The canopy the covers the length of the entry way.	Ample space, chargers for devices and internet.
913	1	2	3	I like the two floors on option A, and I like the light, open feel.	I like the landscaping the most in option B, but as a traveler I don't know that it would matter as much.	I liked the emphasis on less environmental impact with option C.	In a small airport like ours, it's important for me to feel that the walk internally is shorter than my walk from the parking lot to the door.
914	2	1	3	I like the glass	Nothing	I dislike the jutting front porches.	I do not like any of these options. I ranked them because that was my only option. The buildings appear as though they were all designed by the same person using the same materials. They have an institutional appearance. These buildings lack creativity and forward thinking. They are not much better than the current terminal, and the current terminal is an embarrassment. Despite the cost, go back to the drawing board. The new terminal will serve Columbia for too many years to do otherwise.
915	3	2	1	It is warm and inviting	The openness of the front entrance	Nothing	More space and options to eat
916	1	3	2	More open	Separate for incoming and outgoing	Like the esthetics	Having meal options after you get through security. Being modern but inviting.
917	2	1	3	It feels more open and has less white	It's better than the third option	It seems dull	Lots of Natural light

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
918	2	3	1	A mix of modern and history. Ability to expand and accommodate larger aircrafts.	Modern, clean with second level. Elevated gate entry.	Most esthetically pleasing but least functional for Columbia's needs and growth. All style no substance.	Ability for expansion and growth. Ease of use for travelers.
919	2	1	3	Single story, welcoming facade	Facade	Cold, dark	Open, airy, but convenient—openness overcomes the constraints of security
920	1	3	2	Clean and light	Clean lines	Unattractive	Paved parking lot
921	2	1	3	The openness - a modern look	The view from the second story	I like the prominence of MIZZOU football field or anything MIZZOU related. Do not like the prominence of Stephens College Aviation Department. Why????	Comfortable seating - ease of security lines - food/drink/sundries access, jetways
922	1	2	3	Covered entrance. Jetway boarding.	Windows	Covered connector to ground transportation options.	Jetway boarding, more parking, good signage, restrooms
923	2	3	1	Colorful interiors	Interior materials	Entrance	Easy access, comfortable waiting area, nice bathrooms and snack and drinks
924	2	1	3	it is not a plain rectangle	don't like	nothing on this design should be incorporated	It needs to be economical; none of the three designs submitted are worthy of being built. The work of these architects is very disappointing
925	2	1	3	It's sleek	It's sleek, but not as much	It's tacky	Modern
926	1	2	3	open, airy, looks more like a growing city airport. Like the 2-story approach. You don't show the gates and how TSA works.	its ok.	too dark. Looks like any building.	need a large open area, then separate the gates. Need to look at Springfield's airport--large open area, then through the check in to 10 or 12 gates, 5 or 6 on each side of the concourse. Looks very sophisticated.
927	3	2	1	modern exterior	reminds me of existing columbia stuctures	the large exterior awning	easily accessible and comfortable/homey interior, i dislike all chrome and black plastic covered seating/benches...screams COLD
928	1	2	3	The openness	the central raised area	the traffic lanes	easy to understand signage
929	2	1	3	Clean lines. Its warm and inviting.	Fresh and classic	It doesn't have personality	Plenty of space in the bathrooms to move luggage and yourself. Places to sit and relax comfortably before flight. Definitely a snack shop with healthy options. Water fountains for refilling water bottles.
930	2	3	1	Compact design and economic considerations. Also, the interior is more interesting than that of Option A.	I like the compact design (for ecological and economic reasons) and the fact that it would be light-filled.	I very much like the touches that reflect on Missouri history.	Sufficient number of electrical outlets for recharging phones and laptops! Eateries. Attractive, efficient layout with attention to conservation of energy and materials.
931	2	1	3	It looks least like a hospital or high school. However, all three are nondescript...we should have an airport that showcases our region.	Nothing really except the windows and light inside.	I hate the colors, and compact building footprint sounds like lack of space to accommodate future growth.	Space, openness, ability to grow. Would like something unique and architecturally distinct.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
932	2	1	3	Brick exterior but with a modern feel	Open airy feel	I don't like a metal exterior	Honestly I'm thrilled to have any of these. An extreme upgrade from our current facilities. I fly out of COU every month.
933	1	2	3	I like the clean lines	I like the brick front on second option and colors picked for inside, but #1 has more appealing and calming shades	None	Parking, ease of checking in luggage, Beverage and food areas, restrooms inside boarding area as well as out in main area, pet relief area, covered walkway to and from planes
934	3	2	1	The exterior brick is breathtaking. Interior design, transparency for new passengers as well as a beautiful entrance into the city! Love the environmentally conscious attributes.	The amount of lighting and focus on sleek designing.	The amount of exterior coverage.	Conditioned areas like terminals. An indoor terminal is very pleasing and provides protection from vicious weather. Easy to follow direction inside and out. Parking availability.
935	2	1	3	The inviting glass enclosed terminal entrance is my most favored feature. I like the idea of the local memorabilia wall and historic features on the interior.	The window features allowing in the natural light	Energy efficiency and minimized carbon footprint	In addition to the attractive exterior and natural light from windows, the most important feature would be the "intuitive" use of where to go for what. For example, easy to see check-in, security, and pick up of arrivals. The comfortable seating areas and views of the airfield will be a welcomed addition.
936	1	3	2	If we are talking about the three options having the same amount of square feet, I like the 2 story option because it uses less land space, providing for more parking area. The two story seems to add better access to boarding before a flight. I would guess 2 story is cheaper to build. That being said, it's my least favorite looking. Too much white, and the overhang is unattractive.	I like the look of the entrance overhang and the brick color looks similar to what is there now. The colors look modern but more warm to the surrounding environment.	The exterior looks like large garage doors. It looks like a butler building that has better finishes on the outside.	One of the things that sets Columbia apart from bigger airports is the convenience of parking and easy access to the airline desks and terminals. Better waiting areas, larger baggage claim area and boarding. Check out the Belleville Illinois where Allegiant flies. It's perfect.
937	1	2	3	The windows at the top to let light inside the building	I like the atrium structure in the middle of the building	None. The third option looks like a garage or shed.	Convenience, light, areas to sit and rest, lots of space to move around in, areas both in and outside security to shop and sit and eat
938	2	1	3	Seems nice and open and uses local history in the decorations to introduce visitors to our town	Like the drop off canopy and the use of natural lighting gives it an open airy feeling.	Seems dark and uninviting. canopys look like two baseball caps sticking out. Just not a good looking building from the outside.	I like an open terminal so that I don't feel cramped when moving around. It also provides plenty of room to be able to work, sleep or wait without feeling like everyone is on top of each other.
939	1	2	3	The natural light, openness, and front staircase. Clean modern style.	The large entrance with windows all the way up.	The color scheme is awful.	Easy to navigate, good seating options, coffee shop.
940	2	3	1	Simplicity	Blends in with current buildings	None	Efficiency and lower cost. With current economic crisis we should always get the best we can with the least dollars.
941	1	2	3	Love all the windows at the top. Also like the lighter colors and the fact that it is two stories.	Like the steel entryway.	It is much too dark. Looks like a factory.	Easy to navigate, light airy feeling.
942	2	1	3	Windows	Covered outdoor sidewalk	Brick color	Adequate parking and pick up and drop off areas

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
943	3	2	1	The prominence of the airport sign.	The night lighting	It looks like a health care facility.	Distinct signage, easy access, practical updates that are modern but not over the top.
944	3	1	2	Option B is the most aesthetically appealing. It looks bright and welcoming. Option 3 looks like a school building.	The separate entrance ways for departures and arrivals	Nothing really	Comfortable boarding area with a coffee and snack shop available both before and after clearing TSA. A sufficient number of toilets, with more for women than for men. Free Wifi. An adequate number of mobile device charging stations. Sufficient parking. Efficient TSA screening process.
945	3	2	1	It reminds me more of a hub international airport feel	That it's a completely different design pretty much gutted and start over	It looks like the airport now just upgraded	TSA has a chance to expand Airlines have a chance to expand EMPLOYEE PARKING Rental cars have their own parking lot Passengers pay for parking An option for food like a mini food court Maybe airport shuttles come out to COU if having to transfer people from COU to STL or KCI ADA ramping Better WIFI More airport staffing to be better equipped to handle inclement weather (snow, ice)
946	2	1	3	The Gateway	The metal solar fins	The 'COU' outside sign	close parking, good curbside baggage handling
947	2	1	3	Clean, bright, one level, skylights	Room for growth	The whole design does not appeal to me.	Efficient and welcoming
948	2	1	3	Like the open feel / windows / light with nice structure - really nice lines and the Interior looks nicest. I think this by far feels the most updated / freshest / elevated.	Has newer feel than my third preferred choice - flooring in that one and building feels more "traditional" and maybe old school. I think something a little more modern feeling and updated would be great for Columbia! This is a close second, I just prefer the amount of windows and natural light in the first option. I do like the fresh white interior of this option since there aren't as many windows, would help keep it feeling light and bright.	Unsure.	Enough space for seating and comfortable seating, enough outlets, clean feeling area and bathrooms. Functionality, basically but aesthetically pleasing goes a long way and I think makes a difference for people since you're sitting and waiting in that area for awhile! The more comfortable we are in our COU airport and the nicer it feels is just another reason for people to fly COU instead of driving to KC or STL, and helps justify higher ticket price.
949	1	2	3	It's bright and spacious! Colors are welcoming.	Easy access to the parking lot. Plain view from sitting area to outside. Bright!	The industrial feel of materials.	Spacious sitting area. Coffee shop/eating area. Spacious ticket and TSA area. Covered area over curbside and easy access to parking lot.
950	2	1	3	Canopy	Canopy	Number three. Boring	A design that plans on the future
951	3	2	1	Number of drop-off lanes. Interior pictures / design. View of the airfield	Open design and the Lewis and Clark aspect and other associated design ideas from the area	Boarding from second story to the plane	Easy navigation from parking to gate (including getting onto and off of the plane)
952	1	2	3	Light and open interior.	Still a pretty open concept.	Unsure.	Lots of natural light.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
953	2	3	1	Exterior materials and portico feature make this more of a 'signature' for the future of the airport. I got a better idea of how this facility will work for the airport and the passenger. It addresses parking which sorely needs improvement. I had much fewer questions on what this proposal will do for me than the other two.	While the exterior is bland, the continuous portico is good for the user. This building should set a new standard for exterior appearance, not follow the mid-mo spartan bland of the 60's. The two story building is better land management and may better accommodate future expansion. I think it hints at providing better airport management possibilities and a better passenger boarding/deplaning experience. But it did not explain.	Exterior looks like a local school building. The clerestory and history displays are meant to wow, but, as a user, I will not care about these as much after a while. I have no idea what this design will do to get me to my gate and on my plane.	I want a user-oriented facility. Get me and my luggage to my flight from my transport as dry and warm, and as promptly, as possible, and from my flight arrival back to my transport. I do not want to wear hiking shoes to walk outside the airport and drag my spinner bags over gravel. I don't want to catch a cold waiting for TSA to open or to board my flight. I don't want to wait to deplane as long as it takes now nor wait for the baggage to get the 100 yards from the plane as it takes now. I want to be able to use a restroom stall without being a contortionist. If I check my bags, I don't want to have to drag them across the terminal to get them to TSA. While I'd like a facility that looks to the future and is up lifting, I will probably not notice it after the first few times I fly.
954	1	3	2	The glass	The glass	N/a	Having seating, bathrooms, food, charging stations
955	2	1	3	Large main entrance, large windows	Light and airy feel	COU- branded elements	Amenities after going through security
956	2	1	3	Use of Stephens College Beam and Historical Aviation materials. Light and openness of the plan. Attractive and welcoming exterior.	Light and Openness of Plan Attractive exterior.	Exterior is less attractive and inviting.	An attractive, welcoming and efficient design. Ease of maneuvering through the building. Planned to accommodate additions.
957	3	1	2	One level	Colors	Expansive drop off and pick up covered	One level
958	2	1	3	This historic part of it all. This airport reminds me of a typically airport they way it's designed inside with how the glass doors are laid out to go to the gates. There's lot of open space and history that you could look at to bypass time. The exterior look will still be in style for years to come.	I love the white and modern look to it. It looks very sharp and clean. I do not like the second floor part. I don't see a need to be two levels when COU airport only has a few flights a day. There are a lot of elderly people who fly through COU and it does not seem like the best idea. I would like to see more history incorporated in it. Such as all the colleges in Como and all the history it has to offer	All the seating options inside	Large restroom stalls so your items can fit in the stall with you, comfortable seats while you wait, places to charge your phone, food options especially for the early morning and late flights COU currently offers.
959	3	2	1	Energy saving, flow of traffic	Views	Outside design	Clean!
960	2	1	3	The amount of natural light and glass is very inviting. Makes it look like a pleasant place to fly out of without seeming too industrial.	Good color scheme while balancing with the white. High ceilings are also nice to make the space seem larger.	Pick up/ drop off area is most appropriately sized of the options given COU is not a extremely busy airport. Less empty and/or wasted space.	Inviting space where I don't feel packed in with fellow travelers. Food/beverage options. Clearly marked signage for ticketing, check-in, TSA, etc.
961	2	1	3	The open design.	That it's two stories.	Ground boarding options.	Easy to navigate. Sufficient TSA lines to reduce wait times. Large women's bathrooms-again to reduce wait times.
962	3	2	1	Increased parking	Na	Na	Na

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
963	2	1	3	Looks inviting and modern, not a two story concept with steps	I like Covered drop off zone with three lanes, I do not like the interior stair case	I like the departure and arrival entrances and exits signage and separate, I dislike not all services are in one building.	Easy access to terminal from parking lot, plenty of parking, enclosed access to and from plane, plenty of seating in waiting area.
964	1	3	2	Two levels, seems like a good idea in the covid age to keep more people separated better	Na	Na	Easy to navigate, good walk flow, lots of signage
965	1	3	2	2 story, seems like better flow of passengers	N/A	N/A	Touchless entries, bathrooms, and checkout counters for retailers
966	3	1	2	space from front door to security entrance	size of the covered entry	no	ability to absorb crowds
967	2	1	3	Openness of inside	The front of the building for option 1 is very inviting and pretty. I like the lighter palette	Nothing specifically stood out in the design rendering.	1. Places to use a laptop to work 2. Coffee/snack shop
968	1	3	2	option a	option c	glass	parking
969	1	2	3	Lots of natural light	Less sprawling	Lots of seating, spread across the space	Ease of use, simple to navigate
970	1	2	3	I like the flexibility and two story design.	The approach is very appealing.	The interior design elements are very nice.	Ease of movement. Availability of food and beverage options. Fast processing of baggage. I fly COU at least twice a month. I am looking forward to jetways and potentially larger planes.
971	3	1	2	Like the darker colors in both interior and exterior.	The inside darker colors.	Maybe more glass for natural lighting.	Good flow and signage.
972	2	1	3	Light and airy	Clean lines	Looks like McDonalds	Security line that makes sense. Bathrooms that you can actually fit in.
973	2	3	1	re-use of existing	ability to expand	Liked the high ceiling	Easy to use, convient parking and re-using existing facility
974	2	1	3	Windows and dimensions of building, not just a square box.	More higher windows and lighter exterior colors.	The two other options better incorporate my preferences.	Accessibility
975	1	3	2	It has two stories for possible future expansion!	The ease of travelers knowing destination.	Color scheme	Opportunity for more gates/terminals. I love COU!
976	1	2	3	Open, bright, sleek	Open	Color	Open, bright, clean, efficient
977	2	1	3	Option B - Large covered entrance	Option C - comfort of passengers	All appear to be sterile - I do like the design that incorporates the history of Columbia	Having a coffee shop or some snack bar availability for passengers and restrooms within the gates.
978	2	3	1	Less excavation; shorter ramps to board, open	Less excavation; shorter ramps to board, open	More excavation; longer ramps to board	Identify the best design that fits the landscape; flexibility in types of aircraft to use the terminal; and provide for growth.
979	1	2	3	Longer covered sidewalk to protect in inclement weather. Taller building will hopefully give a feeling of space inside. TSDhe light outside color looks better.	none	none	Short, protected access and egress; feeling of "spaceiness"; places to rest when waiating; easy movement through terminal to planes.
980	1	3	2	Openness	Simple, Economical	Don't care about exterior appearance as much as functionality (open areas; easy of use)	Large interior waiting rooms, more room at security line, more restrooms inside waiting room, more space at ticket counter queue. Social distancing is possible with new design.



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
981	3	1	2	More welcoming and with a nod to the historical	I like the separate departure and arrival gates	The cover running the length of the front of the building	Easy to find gates, smooth internal flow and a place that pays tribute to or features community history and opportunities
982	3	1	2	The pretty pictures don't really show the function/floor plans of the facility. Form follows function, but here.....	Floor and wall coverings should be as long wearing as possible...terrazzo and carpet tile. Again form follows function.	These questions are loaded...not sure how designs are to this point without better involvement.	Easy DEDICATED access/egress from highway. Easy access from terminal to covered Car rental pick up. Welcome Center for Visitor's Bureau. Fluid pathway from main entries through security to gate areas. Possibility for expansion. Terminal wings. Really just look at how Greenville-Spartanburg's entire campus was designed and duplicate it.
983	3	1	2	I like the entrance area, and shorter appearance of the two wings.	I did like the interior design.	Tall boxy appearance looks like wasted space.	Parking facilities.
984	2	1	3	Openness	Lighting	Looks like a Dave & Busters. Very Dark	Space, flow, natural materials, lots of light.
985	1	2	3	Rain canopy for drop offs and pick ups. More glass and steel and less brick. The interior white is nice, similar to other new terminals I've been in recently.	Lots of glass. Open space inside.	Small rain canopies. Too much brick. Too much red. Ceiling too low.	Open, inviting space with room for people. Airiness. Neutral colors. Won't get wet getting in the building.
986	1	2	3	looks fresh and clean while embracing a lower profile-very inviting. The second story blends seamlessly which could be important for further expansions.	I like the exterior sidewalk which could easily be incorporated into my #1 choice. It provides a welcoming look.	I think an homage to Lewis and Clark could be included nicely to the interior of #1. I would like to see extra entries for travelers.	Efficiency. Make the whole travel experience as "easy" as possible for travelers. Clear concise signage is critical.
987	1	3	2	Space inside	Light	Low ceilings	Wide open spaces
988	2	1	3	Mothers room	T v on wall add	Waiting room	Comfortable seats in waiting rooms
989	2	1	3	Not so "squarish" Like the windows at top	Light of building when first walk in	Don't really like the exterior looks	Ability for disabled individuals to get in and out of airport easily.
990	2	1	3	It's more modern and fresher than the other options, especially option C, which is quite ugly.	It's light and airy, more modern than option C.	Everything. It looks like a high school built in 1985.	Easy to access, quick passage through security, plenty of food and beverage options, ample restroom space, a modern, fresh environment that isn't drab and depressing.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
991	3	1	2	Option B: For years we've all known that the airport is the front porch to our "home" that is Columbia and that the impression it makes sets the tone for how people feel about our community. Wish I could see an airside rendering too but with what has been provided the large glassed high entry and lobby area is really impressive and makes a great statement. I think the terminal should reflect central Missouri's colors, history and geography but also what makes it such a great place now. I like the way this design has incorporated the colors and textures it has. I would caution against weighing anything too heavily toward Mizzou (such as playing the fight song when the baggage claim starts---I see visitors roll their eyes)---and I'm a Mizzou guy--just feels "small market" and not professional. Really like this overall terminal design, wish I could see more renderings! The more windows the better.	Not many that I can tell. Way too much brick and not enough glass.	The one thing that "seems" to be different about this design is that it's two-story---with departure areas on the second floor and baggage claim, rental cars, etc. on the ground floor---is that right??? I really like a two-story complex as it presents the airfield in a much more impressive light from the gate departure areas...and when arriving the terminal makes a better impression having that second story. The overall design of A is my least favorite---looks like I'm heading into a high school gym.	Functionality and easy to navigate. Really effective signage. Professional large market presentation regardless of the "size"---critically important that this makes a great impression to those arriving but also to those departing so the street side image is just as important as the airside. Good luck, let's go! This has been needed for so long and don't let anyone use COVID-19 to justify a pause, delay or redesign/smaller facility. Thanks for all the team is doing to push this through to a very successful conclusion. Oh and one other thought---I don't know how much financial contribution Jefferson City is making to this but internal decoration, etc. should acknowledge the presence of JC, our state capitol, state government. It is Columbia's airport but our hope is people from JC, Fulton, Lake of the Ozarks, etc. see as "theirs" too and there's nothing about the design or presentation that would put them off as it being too Columbia-centric. Columbia is a progressive city that leads the region...let's lead by bringing as many "under the tent" as we can. Thank you again.
992	1	3	2	The energy efficiency and room for future expansion	The airport logo colors that are incorporated into accent features.	The gateway and local historical photographs	Functionality, cost-efficiency and intuitiveness
993	2	1	3	Covered jetways and clean large look with high ceilings in the interior. . It gives it a fresh and impressive look.	Large high ceilings and impressive exterior elevation.	Option c looks outdated. I like the idea about having covered walkways to the rental car terminal as well as having a multi lane entry driveway	Easy to navigate with wide hallways. You don't need a lot of amenities, but a good place to grab a cup of coffee or a sandwich is preferable. On a large scale The Dallas love field airport does this well. On a more regional example the Charleston, SC airport as well as the El Paso TX airport do these things well.
994	2	1	3	All the glass	Windows at the top and the light brick	Appears to be an entrance plus a separate exit	modern/contemporary design
995	1	3	2	Light and bright looks more modern than option 3.	Looks more modern than option 3.	Looks like a school building	Ease of use. Shelter from rain and snow upon entering. Jet bridge
996	3	1	2	large glass entryway inside I like the openness, it looks most like an airport	the brick color, the 2 entrances, this is my favorite exterior	nothing, I don't find it attractive	easy entry, less cramped seating areas, more visibility of airfield
997	1	3	2	Shorter runway to plane and future expansion possibilities.	Uncertain.	Uncertain.	Easy access and future expansion possibilities.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
998	1	3	2	Less embodied carbon	Solar orientation	Landscape features. Possible LEED Platinum.	Net zero energy, minimum embodied carbon, rain water collection for toilets and landscape, healthy design principles. Visually open. Easy luggage collection.
999	1	3	2	Solar fins	Acoustical ceiling tiles (in additional to acoustical wall panels in A); covered connectors to auxiliary service such as car rental.	New dedicated walking paths to nearest parking lots.	A quiet and calm experience with no music and where the flow of people does not lead to congested areas. No loud colors.
1000	2	1	3	Large viewing spaces of airfield. 26' ceiling.	None	None	Open layout, views of the outside.
1001	2	1	3	High awning, interior color scheme	Awning along the entire front exterior	I don't like this one at all	Ease of navigation, food options
1002	1	3	2	It makes sense to build up rather than out, plus the materials used are pleasing to the eye and the interior is fresh, clean and well-lit. I also like the shorter jetways that a second story departure area allows.	The carpet and chair designs are wacky but the arrival/departure makes sense and makes us seem like a more metropolitan airport.	I don't really dislike this one, it just looks more like a school than an airport.	Good/efficient traffic flow inside (people) and outside (cars), and built in such a way that future expansion(s) can be accommodated.
1003	2	1	3	The open space of the terminal.	I like the clean interior look.	The COU sign.	Space, easy, intuitive flow, comfortable waiting area.
1004	1	2	3	B is open and doesn't feel as compact as A. The design seems more classic and less likely to need major updates with the times.	A looks clean and fresh, but too compact.	Not much really, it's openness is an asset, but the design and color scheme are not.	Multiple restrooms, snack/drink places, and plenty of space (as much as can be available in a busy airport).
1005	1	2	3	Extra high ceilings and windows for natural light. Longer covered loading zones.	Prominent entry area with option B	Low profile limited covered loading zone	Food, beverage options with some variety and fresh, healthy choices highlighting local businesses not just fast food. A decent sundries, snack, magazine/book store. Highlight MU and local universities, Columbia/Jeff City businesses/arts/culture/products. Ample, comfortable seating areas family friendly with dedicated with areas/activities for children and accessible space for elderly/disabled. Ample bathrooms. Car rental and other transportation options. Visitor information.
1006	2	1	3	Option B is my favorite because it looks warm and inviting	I like the height	I don't like it, it looks like a gas station	Easy access, beverage options, room between seats for privacy while waiting. Close restroom and phone chargers
1007	1	3	2	Looks open and bright	Clear arrivals and departure	Looks like an office block	Good traffic management, a place to wait for pick up when it's raining, energy-efficient with good temperature control, security etc is designed well and quick to go through.
1008	1	3	2	Glass	Change brick color from the current dated bricks	Dated color of brickscon	Contemporary styling and highly efficient that allows maximum functionality
1009	2	1	3	Entrance	Portico	Sterile	Ease of ingress and egress

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1010	1	2	3	I love the clean and light aesthetic, both in and out. I appreciate the use of vertical space for incorporating a second level. The color options are pleasing.	I don't mind some of the interior color choices but I feel the exterior looks choppy and heavy. I would like to see the Stephens beam incorporated into any design choice.	I do not care for this design at all, with the exception of incorporating a second level. The colors are too bold, cold and commercial and I would tire of seeing this very quickly. And please, no "Mizzou" themed spaces!!	A clean and airy use of space that would not feel confining or dark and heavy. We utilize COU frequently and have always appreciated the convenient location and courteous staff. We look forward to these much needed and deserved improvements!
1011	2	1	3	natural lighting	natural lighting	interior color choice	easy in, parking
1012	2	3	1	Interior traffic flow, plan for future growth, additional parking	2nd story departure	2nd story departure	Good human traffic flow, parking (hopefully free like it is now!), plan for future growth.
1013	1	2	3	Exterior design overall I like the best and is why I choose it as my #1 choice.	Light, open, and bright on the inside design and color scheme.	Don't like the color scheme and overall design (specifically the reds and yellow colors)	Efficient traffic flow of people through all items of going to the airport: drop off/pick-up, check in, baggage drop-off/pick-up, and terminal space to be comfortable while waiting for flight to take off.
1014	1	2	3	Having two levels	The brick and gray metal facade	The interior colors	Comfortable boarding area with food options
1015	1	2	3	Two story	Exterior design	Nothing	Easy to get around and pleasing design
1016	1	2	3	Two floors and small foot print. Bright feel to the exterior. No floor plans make judging the inside impractical	I like the large bumped out entry. The canopy is too high to practically keep out weather	Lower canopy for the entrance/exit. I do like the separate entrances and exists.	Quick access in and out
1017	2	1	3	The filtering of light gives the terminal a clean and happy feel. The modern feel. The 21st Century. Moving ahead.	It is too plain looking. Too much like the old architecture.	Too manufacturing building look. No personality.	Restaurant. Excellent flow in moving people through. Nice restrooms. Clean, happy colors.
1018	1	3	2	IT showed elevators to second level for boarding. Having a covered jetbridge is important.	The glass on the front makes it look more open	Difficult to judge from the rendering	Covered jetbridge, available seating, more lines for TSA
1019	2	1	3	The elevation with multiple levels	The covered drop area and height of the building	The interior colors	Comfort, charging, coffee
1020	1	2	3	The strength of the design. The design looks polished, it's beautiful.	The high glass windows	Nothing	Polished, clean look.
1021	1	2	3	2 levels	Not sure	No	Two levels are good
1022	3	1	2	I really like the brick mixed with glass in Option B. I also think it looks more modern while not being too dated.	I like the entrances to the front of the building and the large COU logo.	I like the large overhang on option A. But there's too much glass. In the event of a tornado or wind event that thing will not hold up and not be a good place of refuge in an area that is surrounded by nowhere else to shelter.	I want there to be better thought of current and possible required security and a better idea of flow. Also, a better way to get on and off the plane would be great to avoid going through adverse weather to board. The current building seems to be heavily focused on the front of the building and it is obvious that the modern requirements have been wedged in. If the baggage carousel could not be a trailer that'd be great. This is the first thing people see when they come to our city and I'd like them to have a good experience from the start.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1023	2	1	3	clerestory glazing and the carpet design - has a contemporary long lasting look - would have liked a bit brighter carpet but design is gud	Bright interior gives it open look	Don't like that coz it's going to look old soon	Ease of entry - bright tall ceilings - a design that is not just for a few years but lasts long -
1024	1	2	3	out side looks	I dont	n/a	USE
1025	1	2	3	It is clean, modern and easy to determine where to enter (not overwhelming). It also would lend itself for expansion in the future if necessary.	It looks like a bigger city airport although it is not what our community needs. It doesn't trump any of the features in design A for sure.	The comfortable seating throughout is great. The colors are horrific and distracting. If the comfortable seating could be incorporated in A, it would be great. The exterior of C provides nothing desirable.	Open, inviting, spacious and airy, ample seating. The fewer objects, the better. Clean, modern and a reflection of our rich community.
1026	1	2	3	The white seems cleaner and more modern	The entryway is better	Looks like an elementary school	Easy to get through TSA, and a comfortable waiting area once you are through,,with MULTIPLE bathrooms that are well ventilated
1027	1	2	3	Clean, modern look.	I like the wood elements of option b.	I really didn't like any of option c.	Comfort, aesthetic
1028	2	1	3	It looks sleek and modern, with a fantastic glass front.	I really like the clean white interior. It looks most like a modern international airport.	Nothing.	How many gates it has. The more gates the better. Also, I want more than one restroom once I go through security. Food options would be good after I go through security, and I would like plenty of comfortable seating.
1029	2	1	3	Glass , looks modern and open. If the glass design can be incorporated into design B it would be perfect	2 storey option is better for the future.	Futuristic with more parking. Need energy efficient building with future technology incorporated.	Open spacious and more glass. Use Solar and wind energy.
1030	1	2	3	Height, modern design	Height, design, airiness	I do not like the third design, it's not open and airy enough.	Light, amenities like food and drink, clean restrooms, room to walk, well designed chairs.
1031	2	1	3	The openness and the natural light.	I like the outside and I like the inside to a certain degree, but it is a little too stark for me personally.	I like the outside of this one ok, I hated the red on the inside, if there's a non-neutral color that needs to be introduced it should be Mizzou gold!	Easy to get around, open area to pick up luggage since bags usually have to be checked bc of the size of airplanes we have.
1032	3	1	2	It looks modern yet timeless with the brick. The open night ceilings also look nice.	Making sure there are enough canopies from the building to wait outside under if it's raining.	Nothing stands out	Restaurant and bar while you wait inside security.
1033	1	2	3	2nd floor exit to planes, ease of expansion, colors on exterior match surrounding landscape, modern exterior look, modern interior design	Local historical features including artwork and recognition of Stephens college, large lobby or seating areas, quiet rooms and nursing areas	Those colors are not good so don't incorporate those, clearly marked arrivals and departures, extra lanes and parking	Ease of moving around and clear signage, connection to local area with artwork, two levels, large and inviting seating areas, ease of expansion
1034	1	2	3	Simpler entrance, good light (from above), seems easy to approach and navigate, big driving lane	More windows, more brick	Well, it looks like it would require the least amount of money. But it looks like a bunker/hospital.	Easy to navigate, spacious, airy with natural light
1035	1	3	2	Simple & efficient design. Two story model provides smaller footprint and allows for 2nd level boarding	Separate covered doors for departures and arrivals	Windows providing expansive view of the airfield	Efficiency for: arriving and departing passengers, parking. compact footprint and future terminal expansion. Also food service and nearby hotel provider.
1036	2	1	3	The canopy	The driveway up to the terminal	None	Accessibility

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1037	2	1	3	Canopy	Height	Flat face of building	Seating, wifi, jetways, bathrooms beyond security, vending that accepts credit cards, TSA PreCheck
1038	2	1	3	More windows	The higher ceiling w again more windows	None	Accessibility and space inside and places to charge devices under the seats
1039	1	3	2	A	B	C	overhang for drop offs and pick up at curb
1040	3	1	2	It seems very open and roomy!	I like the colors of the second option best	I don't like this design	Have many available outlets, give the feeling that the room/area is larger than it actually is
1041	3	1	2	clean lines and concourse	concourse	boarding upstairs	space and services
1042	1	2	3	Multi-story - making use of vertical space is a great idea when there is little land space to work with., a new more modern look- our current airport building needs to be demolished. Ability to expand. Bigger waiting area with room to work.	local feel on the inside (Stephens College, local culture). Interesting things to read and look at.	Splash of color and artwork on the inside make the wait time in airports more bearable. T	I spend most of my time on the other side of TSA waiting for the plane. In the passenger waiting area..bathroom access (more than one bathroom), access to fresh food (e.g. sandwiches, breakfast items/coffee all the time, comfortable seating with ability to work and power sources), ability to hear announcements without the agents having to scream, ability to watch the planes take off and land. Decent cell phone coverage (AT&T barely works, not sure about the rest). TSA pre-check lanes - ability to get through TSA a quickly as possible. Ability to board the plane without getting rained on. When checking baggage, being able to leave it with the agent rather than walking it down the hallway and leaving it outside a locked door. Fast baggage claim (10 minutes max), without the Mizzou fight song announcing baggage arrival. Ease of walking to and from parking lots without having to walk through loose gravel or water - I'm ok with paying for parking if it makes the parking lots and access to parking better. I shouldn't have to pay for parking for any other reason.
1043	1	3	2	That's its 2 story so it takes up less space.	I don't	I don't	Gates to not have to walk across tarmac to board planes. A restaurant or snack bar and a Starbucks would be ideal.
1044	2	1	3	The elevated glass, and the numerous changes in the symmetry/face of the structure (offsets, setbacks, etc... of the brick/glass front)...versus the others which are plain/boxy.	The glass on the elevation, and the variety of different facades.	nothing	ease of flow/accessibility
1045	1	2	3	Two story for more parking	Worried about parking on this one.	Is there a family room for nursing, etc?	Parking, food and drinks.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1046	1	2	3	2-story design makes sense..	The exterior of B is clearly more appealing than my first choice A (which I made because the 2-story design makes sense to me). The exterior of A would be better with a bumped out, more grand entrance feature.	The extending exterior entry/exits.	I use the current airport regularly, so you would think ease of use would top my list. It does not because the current airport is easy to use even if it does not have comfortable places to wait. The airport is the first thing many see about our community, and it should make a visual impression - we should not go cheap.
1047	1	3	2	2 levels	Open with large, bright windows	None	Restaurants, airline or airport lounge. Quick baggage return.
1048	1	2	3	Views and environmental temperature control	Artifact wall	The overall look	Fits in with the environment.
1049	2	1	3	Inviting	Lighting	Nothing	History lighting and inviting
1050	1	3	2	It has a more airy and light feel that make me think of flight.	I like the covered walkway to the rentals. I do like a touch of the warm feeling this one gives (it is not too sterile). It would be nice to see some warm areas in the design.	Open interior is nice, but too much seems like wasted space.	Easy clear movement from curb, to ticketing, baggage, and gate. I think it is important to think about future needs and growth.
1051	1	2	3	The height of the building and upper windows create an open and expansive space. That is always great if you don't like large crowds of people.	The Memorabilia wall is amazing. Really like this design. The height and openness of A won me over.	The colors were a nice theme. It's a good design. Just too modern for me.	We have an airport building that feels smaller than it is, so building a space that feels larger than it is would be my biggest feature.
1052	1	3	2	2 story, upper access to jetways	Interior color	N/a	Minimizing cross traffic inside the terminal.
1053	2	1	3	I like the expansive brick siding, large covered entry way and large windows.	I like the wide covered protective ceiling to protect people while waiting/walking.	I do like the more traditional look of #3!	A spacious check in area is nice but overlarge area is a waste of space, people don't congregate at the check in area. Everyone is anxious to get to their gate and wait comfortably. thank you!
1054	3	1	2	One level	Multiple entrances	Lots of windows/light	Accessible parking
1055	2	3	1	The main drawback of this design for me is the exterior. It seems out of balance with the 2 large canopies and the smaller covered walkway to the left. Not much covered space for pickup/drop off. I like the exterior openness and separate seating/waiting areas.	I like the color scheme - interior and exterior. I also like the larger canopy that allows covered pickup/drop-off for several vehicles at a time.	The openness with natural light.	Ease of doing business - easy flow in to ticket counters/baggage drops to waiting areas to gates and then back to baggage pickup & exit.
1056	3	2	1	It showed the terminal and was environmental friendly	Only showed the check in dest and those now use kiosks a lot and did show them	Two floors	Comfortable chairs
1057	1	3	2	Long canopy outside, so there is plenty of room for people to space out & stand under shelter (in case of rain) while waiting for their ride to pick them up	N/a	N/a	Convenient bathrooms so they're not too far out of the way. Don't have to walk too far to find one.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1058	2	1	3	Looks most modern and like the high awning	Tall building	Tall building	Space, bathroom where you can take a luggage piece in the stalls Drop of area for cars , efficient area for security, privacy at security, universal precautions for diseases, SPACE, parking
1059	1	2	3	I like the covered canopy of Option A and I like how it uses the current terminal's brick. I also like the concrete flooring. The sculpture on the outside is nice, too!	I like the Gateway in Option B, with it's feeling of openness. The one level design has it's merits, too.	For Option C, I like the covered connectors to the rental car area. I also like the Logo sign attached to the front of the terminal	Good signage, natural lighting, using the current distinctive brick of COU, and plenty of restrooms/water fountains! Maybe add a fountain or sculpture in front.
1060	3	2	1	Split entry	Brick	Flag pole	Classic look, energy efficient
1061	2	1	3	Doesn't look like a school. I like the sidewalk or street lighting features leading to terminal.	Glass features.	Glass exterior.	Contemporary, comfortable seating Cell phone chargers and plenty of power receptacles. Clean , environmentally efficient bathrooms Cafe or restaurant with affordable dining. Big screen TVs for flight schedules. Others turned to local TV channels.
1062	2	1	3	Just get on with building the damn thing!	Just get on with building the damn thing!	Just get on with building the damn thing!	Just get on with building the damn thing!
1063	1	3	2	A	A	A	Loading bridge
1064	1	2	3	Option 1 is sleek and fresh	Option 2 just a little too busy	Just don't like it	Easy access to start
1065	2	1	3	The open layout interior makes it easier to find where you need to go. The tall windows help elevate the design aesthetic.	How bright and clean the interior is.	The arrivals and departures doors clearly signed and visible for quick and easy drop off/ pick up. The red interior and bolted chairs all over the place looks very dated.	Easy to use. Beautiful. A space that is easy to spend time at while I wait to board (food/ book store/ massage chairs/ a lot of charging ports/ bar/ proper bathroom placement and quantities/ nursing rooms/ artwork/ televisions/ comfortable seating areas). A non-awkward gate set up where the lines don't congest seating areas or hallways. A sense of pride in Columbia.
1066	3	1	2	Warmer colors less sterile looking	Nothing	Nothing	Ease of check in, comfortable/adequate seating with power outlets by/under the seats, food/coffee options
1067	3	1	2	bright, open, welcoming	modern and sleek looking	boxy looking	Efficiency
1068	2	1	3	Covered front door. More in tune with current trends. High ceilings and current colors.	Clean, modern design. Simple and elegant.	Nothing. This example is honestly tasteless and cheesy. Is this our airport or a middle school from 1995.	High ceilings. Airy. Natural light. Efficiency. Proper signage. Helpful staff. Good flow
1069	2	1	3	Upgraded but still feels like home	Very modern and can last in style	Looks too much like old airport	Restaurant, Ambiance, Room
1070	3	1	2	Glass front	Arrivals and departures	Seems very plain	Easy drop off. Easy pickup. Sleek design inside. Lots of natural light
1071	2	1	3	b	a	c	convenient
1072	2	1	3	b	b	c	convenience



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1073	2	1	3	Combination of green campus and tall modern glass	Modern glass look, light color, outdoor sign.	Two overhangs, looks large	Efficient security and comfortable waiting area
1074	1	2	3	less grade change, less impact to surrounding areas	curtain wall	meh... awnings?	comfort and efficiency for travelers and ground operations
1075	2	1	3	The glass windows and walkway	It looks most like an airport	It is boring	Openness
1076	1	2	3	Comfortable, modern look	2 levels	Boxey	Clean
1077	3	1	2	Entrance	Exterior envelope	Basic plain design	Update to date clean look and easy to navigate
1078	1	2	3	Covering from Rain. Plenty of space in drive. It is the only design that makes sense. Plenty of natural light with the windows for people waiting.	NOTHING. This design looks like a school building not an airport. Why would a design be submitted with stairs in the walkway? We use rolling luggage these days. This design has no height to the interior space, not enough windows and has a poor esthetic.	NOTHING. This design is an embarrassment to the whole process. I cant imagine large numbers of people gathered under the small coverings trying to keep out of the rain and snow. We live in the midwest after all. It looks like a warehouse and has no redeemable features.	Convenience. Proximity to parking. Ease to retrieve vehicle with baggage. Ability to move vehicle closer to terminal from parking to get loaded. I travel alot out of this airport. It is a very important resource for living here. I tell everyone who works there that it is the best airport I have ever travelled in and out of. We cant loose the good features of this airport. Namely the free parking. If aspects of the current airport go away, then it will be far better to travel the extra distance, still have to pay for parking, and wind up with tickets that are far cheaper and have direct flights. We put up with high priced tickets, and inconvenient layovers because of the convenience COU offers us, and because of the free parking.
1079	3	1	2	The glass center corridor is very inviting and looks modern. while also having the brick to keep it classic.	The interior looks the best to spend hours waiting for a plane in. The hanging fixtures, the warm colors.	The interior looks extremely clean. I like the sculpture on the left and the signage designating the lobby.	Glass. Abundant electrical outlets. Art. Colors.
1080	2	1	3	I love the connection to "place" and history of our region the first design offers. I like the open view of the airfield.	The second floor boarding is very smart. It will reduce the congestion and provide a more efficient space. This one looks sustianable (no design explicitly mentioned sustainability) but seems like lowest impact of materials.	The colors, mostly! Why use red? BLACK AND GOLD, BABY!!	Quick to board (efficient flow of people). Enough sitting/standing space while waiting to board. Of course, interesting stuff to look at to pass the time, like art! Large windows with natural light. Water bottle fill stations.
1081	2	1	3	The amount of natural light allowed into the building, complementing with the color palette used makes the new terminal seem warm and inviting while still allowing the natural light to energize and wake guests!	The homage to Columbia's aviation history.	The historic wall coverings!!	The logistics are important to me. Does the flow of human traffic make sense? Will areas become over-crowded due to ease of access vs. other areas that typically don't see traffic? Incorporating an assembly line mentality will help move people from one station to the next while still maintaining proper flow.
1082	3	1	2	The lighting accents	Darker brick is a better contrast against surroundings	None, the building appears bulky and void of color	Friendly appeal and building that pulls your eye in to the entrance and isnt distracted by other doors/entrances/other building features

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1083	1	2	3	Has second floor to build passenger boarding bridge and not climb the hanging ladder. Like the neutral color.	Spacey one floor may not be very efficiency.	Darker color interior and exterior. Stiff and not cheerful outline exterior.	Do not want to walk outside to climb the hanging ladder to board airplane. Bright and pleasant hall and walkway.
1084	1	2	3	It looks airy and open. The post security side is on the second story with nice views of the tarmac.	It also looks airy and open. If post security was on the second story, this one would probably be my favorite.	I like how they described it and most things about it however, it just looks too boxy and closed in. It looks claustrophobic for a brand new airport.	Amenities. Nice design. Openness.
1085	1	2	3	Option B	Option A	Option C	I would like Option A is very beautiful architecture.
1086	3	1	2	Large area for arriving passengers	Second largest area	Looks dated	Comfortable waiting area
1087	3	1	2	Open area high ceiling. Not red, not white.	A	A	High ceilings. Big windows.
1088	1	2	3	Look more different from what we have now. Convenience.	Modern	Ugly	Convenient and beautiful
1089	2	1	3	Memorabilia wall; two floors	Looks like current building; ability to build on easily	Parking lot	Easy to get from one place to another; actually have a jet bridge.
1090	2	1	3	Color scheme, lighting.	It has a modern feel with the clean color scheme. I also like the idea of an escalator but it does not seem necessary.	Not much. This is my least favorite by a mile.	Efficiency getting in and out, from parking to check in to security and on to the gate.
1091	1	2	3	Two story with jetways to board planes. Much more accessible for all travelers.	Obviously, it is the least expensive.	None.	Accessibility--from parking to boarding planes. That is totally lacking now and most needed for the future.
1092	1	2	3	I think it looks exceptionally clean and open. I love the second story option.	It was a close second, so I enjoy most features about this one as well.	It already looks outdated. The colors, the weird design features - I'm definitely not feeling it.	Adequate bathrooms, some food options (or just one!), a nursing room, and comfy seating. I'm simple.
1093	2	1	3	Has lots of glass and looks the most impressive from the pictures.	The canopy in the front	Grass and landscaping in front.	Easy accessibility from the parking lot and plenty of room for the security area so you're not bunched up against a whole lot of other people in lines.
1094	3	1	2	I like the openness of option B. The overall design is much more interesting. It invites you in where the other two close you out.	The Awnings give it some interest but it is otherwise boring.	Don't like it at all.	Any building needs to invite you in which this does not do.
1095	1	2	3	Modern lines and colors and large windows	Large drop off area, covered	Too much dark brick	Light, special enough to not be crowded
1096	2	1	3	Open natural light lobby	?	Don't like primary colors, do like sensory room/mothers room amenities	Power outlets, safe spaces for toddlers to roam
1097	3	1	2	Interior has nooks for relaxing, instead of vast open exposed expanses. The big covered exterior area is always a welcome airport feature.	Nice color palette.	Entire front exterior is covered.	Sound dampening--loud airports add to tension. Ease of finding where you need to go with Arr/Dep screens throughout, maps of terminal, where to get help.
1098	2	1	3	C	A	B	functionality

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1099	3	2	1	Seating/ waiting spaces	The raised "open" roof in the middle.	The awning outside.	Room to walk in the front door and not be crowded by a line of people waiting for TSA. Room to wait in line for TSA and not be crowded. Spacious seating at the gate.
1100	1	2	3	I like that it's two stories.	I like the look of the front entrance.	Nothing	Easy access to the terminal from the parking lot. Baggage claim needs to be easy to find from all gates.
1101	1	3	2	Glass and sleek look	Sleek	Don't care for this one	Technology, comfort, ease to get from one terminal to another quickly, clean, space
1102	3	1	2	Lots of glass and natural light	Vaulted or sloped roof line. The cantilevered entrances.	The elementary school look.	As the gateway to CoMo having some wow factor is important. A similar look and feel as the Historical Society building in downtown. The openness, grand stairwell and towering glass bringing in natural light.
1103	2	1	3	I like the large windows and tall awning.	The large windows and second tallest awning.	The windows are too small. The awnings are smaller and less grand.	Large windows, grande and modern facade.
1104	2	3	1	The seating	The look and aesthetics	Color scheme	Comfortable seating and free WiFi
1105	2	1	3	Curved driveway, graceful lines	Nothing	Color of brick	Ease of entry doors, feeling of beauty
1106	1	2	3	Covered walkway	Covered waiting area	Up lighting	Convenience for kiss n fly dropoff/pickup. Also luggage drop off.
1107	2	1	3	Openess	Exterior windows on upper level	The roofed entries	Light, easy of flow, jet ways to board
1108	1	3	2	The multistory design seems more in tune with larger airports. That being said, ensuring accessibility comes with that.	The covered drop off zone outside the airport entrance	Separate entrance and exits	Covered jetways, clear signage, ease of use.
1109	1	2	3	Not enough info to make meaningful and thoughtful input. More info should be offered to the public.	Not enough info or time to allow for meaningful input.	Not enough info or time or FAQ to allow for meaningful input.	The virtual open house should provide a lot more detailed information and more time to evaluate that info and provide for FAQ.
1110	2	1	3	1. Glass Enclosures 2. Modern Architecture 3. Large interior spaces	1. Top part of bldg connecting to jet bridges. 2. Amenities for public	None	1. Easy access for check-in at desks 2. Easy TSA /security checks with a 360 deg security chamber. 3. Multiple baggage retrieval areas 4. Plenty of seating for passengers 5. Restaurants and cafes with variety and quick service.
1111	1	3	2	The openness the high windows provide	It looks like a fire station	Looks like a jr high school	Easily move through the space with luggage in tow. Pet relief stations for emotional support animals.
1112	3	2	1	Parking access.	No preference.	No preference.	Accessibility for disabled passengers.
1113	1	2	3	I love the light and the airiness and modern feel of the first building; it also looks the best organized for future expansion	I like the exterior of this design much more... It blends more into the surrounding prairie aesthetic and picks up on rock detailing that integrates better with the region.	The use of and detailing of interior spaces was most intelligible in C, but I don't like the color scheme.	Precheck; Comfort post security screening; actual food and drink; a bar; internet; variety of seating and working areas with plugs

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1114	1	3	2	I love that option A is two story. This will help maximize the use of space and be cheaper to build up than out. I like how modern the design is of option A. It's very modern yet should age well.	I like the timeless brick facade which makes any exterior timeless. It seems the brick facade of option C incorporates the already existing brick that is already at the airport. It this could easily be done with option A.	Probably the fact that it doesn't have as much brick but I realize that cost a lot of money.	Easy to get in and out of in the least amount of time, a place to get a quick drink or food would be nice. I also love plenty of plug ins for devices to charge. Free WiFi is nice to download last minute movies or books.
1115	1	2	3	Natural light, jetbridges	High ceilings, glass atrium	Good parking	Well designed waiting areas at gate, enough space for orderly lines at boarding, decent food venues, clean & abundant bathrooms, dedicated TSA pre check line.
1116	1	2	3	Windows on top. Classy look. White/clean.	Clean lines; entrance.	I don't care for it. It's boxy and brown. Old looking and not attractive	Clean.
1117	1	2	3	Look a modern building with light because of the windows at the top. Also is cover a good part, due to Missouri's winter	I do not like the stairs, because of the suitcases	Does look as new as should be	Easy entrance and exit
1118	1	2	3	Better parking options for the future with A	See above	Love the modern sleek look	Clean, up to date and carry us to the future.
1119	2	3	1	Large atrium	Additional parking	Looks like a highschool	Spacious feel, various vendors and shops
1120	3	1	2	Tall ceilings	Interesting exterior	So white	Beautiful and functional design: Tampa's airport is inspiring
1121	1	3	2	Two stories and the open glass to let light in	Two stories	This is the best looking design. And keeps tradition of current airport. But I wish it was two stories.	Have a restraint for waiting area. Two stories. Look at Alexandria Louisiana airport. Awesome airport similar to Como size
1122	2	1	3	Windows. My favorite airports have tons of natural light. No one likes being stuck in an airport so natural light, plants (check out Portland's airport) make the time more enjoyable.	Again windows	It is not inviting. From the outside it looks like it would be dark and stuffy in the inside.	Natural light, large windows, light/peaceful colors
1123	1	2	3	Cover over pick up and drop off areas. It's continual.	The 'look' and colors. Not too industrial.	Nothing.	Outdoor cover in bad weather. Interior—restroom availability when in the gate area and plenty of seating with mobile device charging stations.
1124	2	3	1	Option C seems the overall most useful design for the terminal with the ability to do both ground and raised boarding, and prevention of cross traffic, and fairly small footprint. Hate the color scheme, needs more light.	I love the light, airy bright color scheme of Option A with the windows and brighter/lighter finishes. Hate all the dark finishes in Option C. Option A was a very close finish with Option C.	The high ceilinged landside architecture.	Ease of entry/exit, the ability to continue to expand over time, and making use of what materials we can from the existing space while remodeling. Flexibility.
1125	2	1	3	I like how light and airy option A is on the inside.	Love the outside of it	Honestly don't like any of it	Feeling of being light and airy. Having coffee shops, stores to shop and restaurants would make a huge difference and make a positive impact and experience.
1126	3	2	1	C	B	A	Good space to relax between flights
1127	1	2	3	Timeless design	Lots of natural light	Can't say	Timeless, bright, open, and functional

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1128	3	2	1	Open space for passengers waiting. Need more details on amenities.	Looks nice	Don't know. Maybe have better survey multiple choice questions to get the differences or allow all 3 to be viewed in same page.	Safety. Maintainable. Energy efficient. Comfortable for passengers. Thank you!
1129	3	2	1	I loved the accents of color in option C, because it's unique to our airport, and it would be the best option, in my opinion	I liked the bright feel, with lots of glass and a lot of touches to maximize the history of our region and state. I also liked the opportunity for art and other things to be added to the space.	I liked the bright and airy feel of the space. I also liked the the minimalistic colors, with different pops of color here and there, but a white main theme	Firstly, comfort. It is important, because some people need to wait for a long time for their flight. Next, it's amenities such as food. Our mall lacks good food options, and I feel like food and snacks are a big part of an airport. I would love to see plenty of options. After that, it's technology. It is never gonna stop advancing and it's very important for our airport to be modern and advanced. Lastly, other entertainment. This includes book stores, newsstands, and so on. Passengers need to have entertainment, and they need to have what they need inside of the airport.
1130	3	1	2	Lots of windows/visibility; clean, modern look Open space and seating area	N/A	N/A	Efficient flow check-in, baggage drop off and security
1131	2	1	3	Abundance of windows and natural lighting	Modern looking and large overhangs when dropping off people	Nothing looks like a warehouse	Nice waiting area and covered drop off area
1132	1	3	2	Height and window to allow light in, lighter exterior, covered entrance	Modern look use of signage, looks like a Columbia architecture	Use of windows	Light, open, protection from elements when awaiting transportation
1133	2	1	3	The drive up, but most important WALK up area to the terminal. Open inside and easy access.	Open and clean	None,	Open access to gates. Easy and safe access from parking areas.
1134	2	1	3	Open natural light	Energy efficiency	Awnings at entrance	Ease of accessibility, environmental friendliness, natural light, parking, concessions/restaurants/amenities
1135	1	2	3	Clean looking and maybe expandable	Not extravagant	More brick	Easy to know where to enter
1136	1	2	3	Boarding in upper level and large glass window	N/A	N/A	Convenient, modern design, large waiting area.
1137	1	2	3	Light and airy design. Modern and expandable for future needs.	Looks like a school and old fashioned.	Make it look more modern. We may be mid Missouri, but we can still have an aesthetically pleasing terminal.	Ease of layout to get to point A to B. A clean and simple design with no frills
1138	1	2	3	Light, airy, open feeling	Like the exterior architecture	N/a	Easy to navigate, eating options/entertainment while waiting for flight
1139	1	3	2	drive up to entrance, no outside steps	large covered entrance rather than the two small covered areas	nothing	easy entrance and exit; close parking; ticketing, check-in and security near entrance. Comfortable seating at gates. Clear, easy to read signage.
1140	1	3	2	Modern exterior and natural lit interior	Vibrate color palette interior	Not much to like here	Ease of mobility, covered drop offs, comfortable seating, dining options
1141	3	1	2	The curved drive up and the stairs connecting the parking area.	It looks more modern than the option A.	He curved drug up for drop off.	Easy to navigate, drop off and park.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1142	2	1	3	Big windows	Tall	Nothing	N/a
1143	1	2	3	I like having 2 stories, to help with crowds as air travel gets busier.	Looks more current than either of the other two options.	Looks like a drive up 90's style Jiffy Lube	Ease of getting through to my gate, comfortable seats, decent place to eat and drink.
1144	1	2	3	2 stories and canopy	nothing	nothing	clean open space
1145	2	1	3	Open, airy and lots of light. Modern and sleek.	same as above.	None. I hate this option. It looks tacky and commercial.	Proper flow of traffic. Spaces to rest, dine and shop.
1146	2	1	3	B	A	C	Convenient
1147	2	1	3	Looks more inviting	Not sure	Not sure easy	Easy entry and easy to get around in
1148	3	2	1	Flow is better and seems easier to add on. We need to keep long term expansion in mind and our dollars.	Flows ok	Seems over kill	Built the most cost effective as possible. Which never happens in CoMo. Liberals love to spend other people's money!
1149	1	3	2	Modern, more inviting and open.	Sleek.	I don't like the second option at all. It looks like the current too much.	Easy access.
1150	2	1	3	Clean. Everlasting	To modern	To plain. Looks like Pizza Hut	Clean / Quick
1151	1	3	2	A	C	B	Easy, safety.
1152	2	1	3	mix of contemporary and existing retro	Very contemporary. But probably not reflective of location and city.	Too dated.	Easy parking and drop off. Food and beverage stand.
1153	2	1	3	Tall canopy	High windows	Not that it looks like a Wendy's	The flight schedule
1154	2	1	3	Glass on front. Roomy interior.	Colorful interior.	Solar panels.	Some elements of local interest.
1155	1	2	3	Bright, light, open and inviting. Height is appealing and lessens footprint.	Exterior walls not in plane provide for some depth/dimension, as well as the high main entry.	Not really anything	Convenient parking close to front door, quick/efficient check in and travel to gate.
1156	1	3	2	Jetway boarding from second floor.	Expansive interior space.	Does seem to take full advantage of a one-floor structure.	Easy in, easy out.
1157	2	1	3	It's elegant and looks spacious.	It's bright and has a cleaner simpler look.	It's dark and looks clumsy inside with the roof looking like a Walmart store.	Easier to get around from parking to ticket counters to security to the gate. DFW is a great model to use.
1158	1	2	3	Most integrated into the surroundings, like good Frank Lloyd Wright's architectural philosophy. It's airy.	Maybe clearer way finding	I like the boldness of the interior but it feels heavy after a while, I think.	Maneuverability: getting from drop off point to gate quickly with minimal fuss—DFW is a great model of this ORD is a terrible model; easy pickup, ride share...basically, make the efficiency of navigation integral to the design.
1159	2	1	3	Warm interior feel and use of local themes	coffee shop and length of exterior overhang to protect against elements	three lanes for drop of and pick up; lots of windows and lighting	Easy access for luggage handling; coffee shop, wifi, plenty of charging ports, spacious restrooms, comfortable seating
1160	3	2	1	Seems favorable to future growth	Covered outside areas	Two story for jet bridge	Accessible Bathroom in diff areas No stairs to board plane but uses jet bridge More tsa room
1161	2	1	3	Option B	Option B	Option B	Option B

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1162	2	1	3	The modern look of the inside and outside.	I think this looks like a big city airport.	I like the exterior here but the inside is too open.	A modern feeling airport that feels unique to Columbia. The Springfield airport has a modern vibe, with local touches.
1163	3	1	2	Spacious!	More sitting spaces	Get rid of the second floor.	Easy access; relaxing
1164	3	1	2	Option B's combination of brick and metal plus the windows in raised section allow natural light into the space. Also like the interior wood ceiling panels.	Option C's prominent and separated entry points offer more of a sense of arrival	The perforated metal solar fins	Sense of arrival - high ceilings with windows offering natural light. Use of materials that can invoke the historic nature of COMO but also incorporates modern flair with use of metal accents and use of natural materials representative of the Mid-Missouri landscape, i.e. natural woods, limestone...
1165	2	1	3	Lots of light	Walkway and more parking	The overlapping roof	Easy access and enough parking for big trucks
1166	3	1	2	Relaxing , spacious	Outside appearance	Exterior color	Relaxing and spacious
1167	2	1	3	Color scheme. Large windows overlooking the airplanes. Attractive exterior	Two story design. Lots of windows	Dark red metal on exterior	Windows and natural light. Connection to the surroundings. Comfortable seating. Resturant, snack bar, or coffee stand. So excited for the new airport
1168	2	3	1	Brick color and multiple entrances. Looks easy to expand.	Large covered outdoor area, but I'd like to see it have a covered drop-off area.	Nothing. It looks like the old Parkade Plaza or a Post Office.	The new terminal needs to function like a large, modern airport. Getting off on the tarmac is embarrassing and why I refuse to tell friends to fly into Columbia directly.
1169	1	3	2	Modern, lots of light, clean	.	.	Color light colors, clean look
1170	1	2	3	I like the mix of materials inside and out. The interior is light and airy--it looks calm, it feels like a modern airport, and it's a stark contrast from the existing dark, dim terminal.	I like the large glass entrance	N/A	Thoughtful, easy flow of passengers through the terminal; light, bright spaces; a design that allows for cohesive expansions that match the existing facility.
1171	1	2	3	Neutral tones opportunity for future expansion	Natural light that pours in	Can't say that I like any of it	Signage that welcoming and visible (especially bathroom signs)
1172	3	2	1	Use of materials in keeping with the original terminal, warmth of interior materials and color	Pop up entry lobby, more windows for daylighting,	Use of perforated metal solar fins, continuous canopy protection of drive up	Impressive first/last impression of Columbia, staying within budget, intuitive wayfinding,
1173	1	3	2	2nd floor boarding	Two floors	Looks like the old terminal	Speed of check in
1174	1	2	3	Glass	Glass	Nothing	Easy boarding, spacious, lots of glass
1175	2	1	3	Open, airy, high ceiling, modern appearance.	Option for a cafe or small store	na	Gate enclosure walkways, updated, modern appearance, small cafe/ store, and more space for boarding areas with comfortable chairs.
1176	3	2	1	Look, space and colors	The entry way with the cover in front	There were none. It looks institutional.	Look and feel welcoming
1177	2	1	3	Compact energy efficient; 2nd floor boarding.	I actually like the exterior of this one	Don't like the exterior or interior look.	Efficient design. Good lighting.
1178	2	1	3	I like the windows and the colors	I like the second store and the floor to ceiling windows	It looks outdated and doesn't let in a lot of light	Clean, modern, sleek

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1179	1	2	3	A	B lighting	C	Accessibility and aesthetic
1180	3	1	2	I just like it	Solid, like it if got hit by a bad storm it would fare better.	I still like it, it's all an improvement to what we currently have!	Functional, efficient, spacious, has some kind of reasonable restaurant or other eating establishment.
1181	2	1	3	I think it looks the most modern and on the inside the open floor plan will make it look more spacious than it actually is.	It has a modern look to it and also has a great design.	It's simple yet efficient and looks more like an airport.	A quick and easy place for a snack and a drink. Also that the numbers of the terminals should be easy to read and follow.
1182	1	2	3	Covered drop off	Looks modern	Covered entrances	Efficient security
1183	2	1	3	Glass foyer.	The shaded front sidewalk.	Um, the logo, maybe? The building is just a boring box.	Easy entrance and exit. Good flow from ticketing to the gates, and from baggage claim to exits.
1184	2	1	3	Large windows will make it bright and welcoming	Brighter design	The brick	Clean, bright and open space
1185	1	2	3	The large windows	Large windows	Too boxy	Lots of open space and easy access kiosks
1186	3	1	2	Option B. Larger glass area facing dropoff/pickup road. Clerestory windows illuminate the interior, making it bright and contemporary.	Option C. Interior plan seems well organized and simple. I prefer this as #2 just because the exterior is more appealing than Option A.	Option A. This interior is comparable to, or better than, option B. I've rated this #3 based on the bland warehouse-like exterior.	Uncluttered traffic plan that makes drop-off simple. Exterior design that reflects well on our city. Comfortable waiting area with pleasant view of the airfield.
1187	1	2	3	The 2 story open areas with a lot of natural light in the interior.	Exterior looks very modern.	Wood/wood look features on interior.	Easy to navigate and inviting environment.
1188	3	1	2	Looks like it will still be beautiful in many years	The semi-covered areas for drop off and pick up	Looks very plain	Easy to move around, not super crowded
1189	3	2	1	Straight forward and looks to minimize cost	airside features	airside feature	Electrical outlets to charge phone, laptops and work if needed. Covered area for smoking outside
1190	1	2	3	A. Front canopy and lots of Windows for natural light.	B. Front canopy, but not much natural light.	C. Ugly. Looks like an abandoned building that has failed completely in refurbishing. Canopies look like drive up fast food restaurant windows. Not a welcoming building.	Open, airy, easy to navigate and efficient space to make the arrival and departure a smooth process. We have had a hideous terminal for ages and it's time for COU to put its best foot forward and make an awesome first impression about our great City! Also, a new terminal must be designed to accommodate expansions and modifications as needs and technology change.
1191	1	3	2	I do not like ANY of these designs---way to modern architecture ! None of them has any charcter. Go with a traditional design of buildings like in Stephens College or original MU buildings ! These designs are ARCHITECTURAL POLLUTION !!!	See my comments on # 2	Sww my comments on # 2	A feeling when you walk in that evokes traditional style, and an early 1920's or 1930's architecture. In other words ---a feeling of a historical airport !!!
1192	2	1	3	Drive through	Windows	Driveway	Easy drop off
1193	2	1	3	The front overhang and the large windows also the shape of the building in general. I also like that it is one story.	The white/lighter colors are nice because it makes the airport feel more open.	None	Single story with good traffic flow and access to things once you go through security.



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1194	1	3	2	A	B	C	Two story to provide for as much parking as possible
1195	2	1	3	Large windows	Awning/roof prior to entrance	I can't see that one very well.	Traffic flow, natural light, good coffee, easy access to outlets
1196	2	1	3	the sidewalk to the terminal	compact design	higher facade	adequate space to wait; multiple entry ways, particularly as it appears that taking a temperature is going to be part of security; spacious restroom facilities throughout
1197	3	2	1	Color on the interior. Clear signage on the outside.	Live the atrium. Would have voted it first except for the Stephens beam. We are a Mizzou town...why feature Stephens College so prominently? Maybe include it in another area.	It's a box.	Open and airy. Adequate space for TSA. A bit of a wow factor...often this is the first impression for visitors.
1198	2	1	3	Clean design open glass look. C looks like a pizza shop or something.	Glasswork at the top	Nothing	Space!
1199	1	2	3	All of it	All of it	Nothing	Nothing everything looks great
1200	1	2	3	I liked the 2nd level to have a better flow of traffic.	I liked the open front/light	The two check in areas looked very close together and look like it has the potential to disrupt traffic/cause confusion being that close.	An organized flow of traffic so you are not caught in "traffic" as you try to navigate through, boarding bridges would be a nice addition so you do not have to walk outside/up and down stairs.
1201	1	2	3	2 levels. Clear directions	?	Outside just doesn't look that appealing	Roomy. Current location seems so crowded
1202	1	2	3	Didn't see interior design. It appears to have the largest covered overhang for the loading and unloading of passengers.	None. The raised area of entry way appears to be a waste of space.	did not see interior design of any options.	My spouse has suffers from joint pain and walking up and down steps is hard on her. Thus gang plank entry to board and disembark the plain is optimal option. Presumably there is an elevator or escalator within the building's design.
1203	1	2	3	Larger outdoor canopy	Clear signs for departure and arrival	I'm not sure	Ease of understanding where to enter/pick up arrivals. Protected waiting area
1204	3	2	1	Modern exterior.	Interior beam from Stephens College.	Looks like a school.	Modern design that doesn't look like a school!!
1205	3	2	1	It is trying to minimize its carbon footprint and it has clear pathways for crowds to follow	The Stephens College thing was nice I guess	Not sure	That it is efficient to get in and out of. It needs to be easy to navigate for anyone who is unfamiliar with the airport. As soon as I step in the entrance door there should be proper and efficient signage to inform me to where I need to be. It is also important to me that the building design is one that opts to minimize its harmful impact on the environment.
1206	3	1	2	the steel and glass contrasted with the brick	The color of the exterior, warm	tall ceiling	tall ceiling making it feel airy, open floor so you can see things from most vantage points, places to sit/stand that allow you to check to get your bearings, access to bathrooms

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1207	2	3	1	Raised gates. Compact building footprint. Passive solar gain.	NA	NA	NA
1208	3	1	2	Bright, lots of natural light. Height is always impressive	I love the idea about incorporating local historical pieces. Our guests can better get to know this history and culture of our home	I HATE the idea of incorporating ideas from surrounding architecture. It's all ugly. Why would you want to copy it??	Covered airbridge to plane, room to grow, ease of parking
1209	2	1	3	I like the height and the large windows. I also really enjoy the color palette the most!!	I like how light and airy the interior is! I'm a fan of the neutral color palette.	I don't really like the third design	Easy accessibility and clear descriptions of the flow. I like airports to be efficient and comfortable too.
1210	2	1	3	It looks the most like a professional, grown-up airport. I really like that big concourse leading to security.	I like the two-story look.	It looks like a middle school.	Having food options air-side is a must. Even if it is just a coffee shop.
1211	1	2	3	Opt A. Is modern but has a classic structure that won't look too dated over time. Looks like an airport, not a gym or a big box store.	Option B uses volumes to break up the facade. If the entrance in opt A were to "break" the box it would look less sterile and more inviting. Less post office and more airport.	Option 2. Nothing about that needs to be kept imho.	Open. Un cluttered. Light.
1212	1	3	2	seems that access to enter the terminal is easier	the glass but access is not efficient	looks dull	easy access
1213	1	2	3	Layout and 2nd level gates	Glass	Looks too closed/ dark with little light	Space in gate area and in hallways
1214	2	1	3	The open design and bright, central courtyard are what I love most about this design.	The regional brick and translucent canopy are nice touches.	I can't believe I'm required to answer this because Option C is a terribly uninspiring design. If I have to find one positive, it's the aviation display.	A welcoming, unhurried space with plentiful natural light is a must. The exterior needs to be welcoming, also, and shouldn't look like a generic server farm (which is what I see in Option C).
1215	1	2	3	Compact footprint. Solar flares on the west side. Historical wall. Bright interior.	Tall awning in the front. Exterior brick color seems very similar. Thoughtful touches, such as a pet area.	Can't think of anything. Didn't care for dark exterior, or interior design theme.	Safety. Way finding. Pleasant waiting areas. Lactation room. Food options. Sufficient bathrooms. WiFi. Charging stations. It must be attractive inside and out as it will be the first impression of mid-Missouri for many travelers!
1216	1	3	2	Lighter, 2 floors, longer covered drop off area.	Just don't like.	Not enough windows.	Light, bright, windows, longer covered drop off area.
1217	1	2	3	2 story	Openness	Nothing	Accessible
1218	2	1	3	I love the windows and the modern look.	Higher ceilings	I am not really a fan of the third one at all.	It's nice to walk into a modern looking, clean, new airport.
1219	1	3	2	Two story smaller footprint	Enter plane from second story	The color mix	Inviting interior, restroom access, covered access to plane
1220	1	2	3	A'S INTERIOR	all inclusive rooms	the interior of B.	Comfortable seating while waiting to board
1221	2	3	1	Adequate drop off lanes and interior traffic flow.	The canopy covering the drop off area is very desirable.	The use of extensive natural light.	Easy to navigate, comfortable waiting areas and close parking.
1222	1	2	3	Full length drop off canopy, light open interior lobbies.	Building accent lighting	Clearly delineated arrival and departure entrances	Convenient drop-off and pick-up lane(s), natural light and easy wayfinding.
1223	2	1	3	Natural light ... And style	Still a lot of light from what I see	The outside green planting on the building	Good coffee when coming early or even later for flight. Plenty of restrooms behind security

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1224	2	1	3	Raised central roof section makes the terminal more visually appealing from the outside, plus adds more light and space to the interior	I do like boarding from a second story, but the two 2-story concepts are not as visually appealing.	I like the solar shades/deflectors	Simplicity, efficiency, comfort, links to local culture and natural features
1225	2	1	3	The natural light	The natural light	Lack of natural light	Comfort
1226	2	1	3	Like the open glass	Exterior glass	Ceilings	Ergonomic layout
1227	1	2	3	The awning	The entrance	None	Easy acces driving up. Easy parking
1228	2	1	3	Uniqueness	Multistory	Na	Beauty, uniqueness, ease of use and flow
1229	1	3	2	Two stories, jetbridges, small footprint, ease of expansion	Same as above	One story. Looks like current terminal.	Spacious, clear delineation of space, room for expansion of check-in counters, added gates, security lines, and also room for the unknown (medical screening area, etc.). Free and easy parking, easy access, comfortable seating, and accommodation for tech needs of awaiting passengers.
1230	2	1	3	I'd like the glass pictures in option 1	I'd like the overhangs for the entry and exit up option two	Don't love it.	Comfortable seating, nice restrooms, snack and drink options
1231	1	2	3	I love the 2 story design of Terminal A and the ability to expand in the future. Great choice of upscale looking interior finishes.	Glass entry to terminal. Choice of glass restroom tiles.	Hate the red interior!!! Looks like a trailer	Streamline TSA check-in, Good food, Technology, Planning for the future.....ability to receive larger planes if needed. Build an airport to serve our community and attract business development.
1232	1	2	3	Windows around upper bldg. entrance into the terminal is is welcoming	Maybe the windows to the entrance, instead of white concrete wall	Nonr	Once in the airport, I prefer instead of institutional seating a bit more creativity in the waiting area
1233	2	1	3	Windows	Rain shelter	Blue sky?	Coffee shop
1234	2	1	3	Glass front open design, but must use heavily tinted panes on the west side of the building. Landscaping opportunities evident in the design.	The extended overhead weather shield along the front of the building.	The extended overhead weather shield indicated in option 2.	Open design inside and visibility of the aircraft ramp area.
1235	3	1	2	Plenty of glass	A	A	A
1236	2	1	3	natural light is desired.	sidewalks	No natural light. Terrible. Looks like a prison	natural light, plenty of accessible and safe bathrooms, plenty of places for handicapped and walking impaired to get services they need, a decent coffeeshop with food and preferably with a wine and local beer list for the afterhours.
1237	2	1	3	I like the blues and greens of interior for option b. I also think the exterior is more unique and less cookie cutter airport like option a.	I like the brightness.	The exterior of option c is too closed off looking. I don't like the red/purple color scheme.	Clean bright open spaces.
1238	1	2	3	Clean lines	Modern	Plain	Ease of use, clean, and secure
1239	3	2	1	Color scheme and materials	Open spaces	High ceilings	Open common areas and uncrowded walk areas

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1240	1	2	3	Covered drop off area.	Interesting front with different levels	Front is too flat, no covered drip off area	Covered drop off, easy entry, enough restrooms, multiple food outlets, places to sit and plug in.
1241	2	1	3	It looks great on both exterior and interior perspective and color. The exterior light and canopy look beautiful.	look clean.	it looks dirty from the rendering and too dark... looks like a warehouse to me.	easy way findings, clean and nice and comfortable toilet, convenience and good food concession.
1242	3	2	1	A strong airport color scheme that goes from an outdoor logo into interior decoration.	I am a big fan of the blue speckled tiling option, as well as the carpet.	I like how bright the exterior brick and metal choices are.	Clearly labeled EVERYTHING to keep lines moving, good coffee, accessibility for families.
1243	2	3	1	It looks more updated on the exterior than the other designs.	I like 2 stories.	I like the natural light and windows.	Convenience and cost of travel.
1244	2	1	3	The high ceilings & natural light.	I like the height of a two story building.	The awnings on the front of the building are ugly. The interior design colors also look outdated.	A pleasing exterior & interior design that will not look dated in 10 years. Attractive overhead covering on the exterior of the building. Natural light.
1245	3	2	1	Seating was more optimal, seemed to be a single level also	Again seemed to be a single level	The stairs/escalator aspect even with elevators it's more pain than it's worth	Simple layout, ease of access, parking proximity, central area for food and such
1246	1	2	3	I like the white - clean feel. Lots of natural light	I like the cafe chairs (if there will be enough that people get a chance to use them) and the self check in stations.	I didn't like this design one bit. It looks like so many other airports that I've been in that are tired and boring. Dublin Airport... Birmingham (BHX).. London Stanstead, Luton etc.	Space. Especially if there's a long line to check in. It needs space for those travelers not to be in the way of people looking for check in. Think Chicago or London Heathrow ... So busy and not enough space. it's hard to know who's in line and where the line starts and ends! Heathrow Terminal 5 (the newest one) is so better designed. Also a sizeable cafe area as a place to wait for your guest or to grab a coffee before boarding.
1247	3	2	1	The landside, airside and terminal features sound amazing.	The color scheme and large windows are my favorite things of this design.	Nothing honestly. This design is bland and doesn't feel like it brings COU up to date with other airports.	Big windows to watch planes, good signage, water fountains/nice bathrooms, somewhere to grab a quick bite or coffee for long layovers or delays
1248	3	2	1	Depart arrive separate Windows	Curving drive Good sidewalks	Plenty of green space surrounding	Everything clearly marked One level
1249	3	2	1	cleaner design, arrivals and departures are clearly marked with attractive fronts.	Circle drive, however, it needs to be wider	The green area on the side of the building	Easy access, arrival and departures are clearly marked. As much as possible, everything on the same level.
1250	2	1	3	Option B has a warm, welcome home vibe which contrasted from the colder option C.	Option A is a close second to Option B, in that the interior looks clean and modern. However, the outside architecture has more attractive curb appeal and looks like like a large shipping container.	Option C looks cold, boxy, and would be outdated the moment it opens. This would make COU seem like a destination to the past, rather than the future.	Smooth flow through security. Clean, comfortable waiting areas. An abundance of natural light. Amble opportunities for food options after security. Free or reduced parking options (this is one of the many reasons why I fly out of COU compared to STL or MCI).
1251	2	1	3	fjdkal	fjdkal	fdkla	fjka

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1252	3	1	2	The most aesthetically pleasing design - not as "blocky" as the other two options. Also the large open space within.	The more prominent COU logo signage from #2	Not much of this design energizes me.	Accessibility, feeling of roominess, sense of place in celebrating the local area with decorative and historical pieces.
1253	1	3	2	Appealing	Nothing	Nothing	Clean, bright, roomy, easy to navigate, comfortable seating in waiting areas, meal and bev options
1254	1	2	3	Colors	Celestial viewing	Incorporated local stuff	Ease of use, short lines, comfortable seating
1255	2	1	3	I like the color scheme of Option 2 but could incorporate more Mizzou.	I like the interior design more for Option 1 in regards to layout.	The color scheme is far too Missouri State/Chiefs. The red and yellow are hideous.	They absolutely must fix the parking lot. The sidewalks are impossible to roll luggage and the lighting in the far lots is dangerously unsafe. We also need more room in each of the stalls in the bathrooms in the lobby. You can't even turn around in there. We also need more seating in the gate area with additional outlets and more bathrooms. Also, the waiting area for when you exit the screening are but are waiting for your bags to come off of the belt is far too small. The layout of TSA (even after the redesign) makes zero sense. Same with the rental car area.
1256	1	2	3	Upstairs, lots of light/windows & rally clean looking	Covered exterior sidewalk, lots of windows	Nothing	Bright, light & easily accessible
1257	1	3	2	Fresh and clean and the upstairs is a nice touch. Very open	The colorful geometric inclusion of COU branded color scheme is nice and it is very clean and interesting	The windows are nice but they don't quite makeup for the nice layouts in the other options	Easy to navigate ticketing and baggage claim
1258	2	3	1	I liked the idea of a raised holdroom that provides better views of aircraft	Compact design/footprint	The Gateway	Some of the features of an airport terminal that are most important to me: better/faster security, gates that are compatible with larger aircraft; plane spotting areas for non-travelers; expanded food choices
1259	1	2	3	It has a clean look and crisp open lines.	Different color brick. Gives the depth and a rich look to the building.	Well lit	Clear direction with needed flight info readily available. Nice snack options in case if delays. Lots of clean bathrooms. Lots of outlets for charging devices.
1260	1	2	3	The awning that extends the length of the building. The fact that it is 2 story, more efficient, less cost.	It is an attractive looking building with a more open looking format.	Not much	Restrooms, drinking fountains, available after passing TSA. Ability to see planes coming and going without having to go through TSA - for families. Weather protection for drop off and pick up of passengers. Cost savings in long run while also providing for expansion if need be.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1261	3	1	2	Many windows	Bold COU Logo	Greater area covered/Landscaping a little more defined	Waiting area for flight needs to be spacious, well put together and with appropriate tech. Would be nice to market the Columbia area and businesses within the terminal
1262	1	2	3	Second story boarding gates, very open design	Nursing and mother's room, aviation beam	Parking increase, multiple entrances	Clear signage, accessibility and additional considerations for all types of travelers. Lots of charging stations.
1263	2	1	3	open floor plan, many windows, modern look	outside appearance looks nice	nothing	open floor plain, easy to get around, plenty of seating and restrooms
1264	1	3	2	2 floors	Airy feel inside	Interesting front portico	Reduce walking to get to gate, reduce walking to get to terminal by improving parking availability. Separate TSA pre line. Comfortable seating.
1265	2	1	3	I like how the road was organized. The open glass looks great as well. It's a very beautiful design!	The road was organized nicely.	Not really like it, since it looks pretty much the same to the current terminal.	Fancy! I love the terminal of ORD and STL when I travel, it's bright, and give me a feeling of openness, while MCI looks a bit narrow and dark, which is something not preferred.
1266	1	2	3	Contemporary design	Open floor plan	NA	Efficiency, accessibility, and comfort.
1267	2	1	3	Lots of natural light and look of the building.	Lots of natural light	less light and the dark colors used.	space inside, plenty of access to bathrooms, and adequate parking.
1268	2	1	3	Upstairs food court, more available covered, curbside loading.	Open look and extended outdoor cover.	Don't really care for it.	Ease of pick up and drop off with shelter, food court would be nice and covered walk to airplanes
1269	2	1	3	Windows, welcoming	Windows and light	Looks like a prison	Looks welcoming, easy to navigate, friendly staff
1270	2	1	3	Windows, light.	large canopy covering.	Don't like the exterior brick at all.	Ease of entry/exit.
1271	3	1	2	High glass entrance e	Awnings	Nothing	Y'all open ceilings and open concept.
1272	2	1	3	The inside of Option B seems warm and inviting. It is aesthetically pleasing and also appears to have plenty of room for those waiting for arriving passenger. Seems very open and easy to navigate. Also seems unique without being too over-the-top.	I like the overhang protecting people arriving and those waiting to be picked up from any weather. Outside seems too boxy. Looks modern and I like the sustainability focus.	Very boxy, limited overhangs. Arrival and departure door seem too close together which will likely create traffic congestion. Inside design is very bold - I can imagine it will looked dated in 10 years (at least more quickly than the other designs).	Jet bridges. Dining options inside the airport. Easy to navigate. Comfortable seating at the gate with plenty of options for power supply close by. Good signage. Baggage claim away from departures to limit congestion. Places to stand outside that are covered from the rain/sun. Plenty of parking spaces.
1273	2	1	3	One story	Block shaped	Separated entry points	Multiple entry and exit points
1274	3	1	2	Nursing room and quiet room.	Ability for larger aircraft	No interruption to existing terminal during construction	Restrooms, automatic water bottle filling machines post security, compact design for less walking
1275	1	2	3	Higher ceiling. More windows/natural light.	Some higher ceiling. Some extra natural light.	Not too much to like. Same airport with a bit of a facelift.	Good coffee. Good seating. Unique personality. Restrooms.
1276	1	3	2	Height of building Tallest is best	Brick	Looks cheap and temporary	Ease of getting onto ramps to get on planes

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1277	3	1	2	Option B interior is modern yet warm, exterior of Option B has more appeal and is less rectangular	Option C interior brings the colors of the COU airport into full effect... might be a bit too much though.	Option B interior is open and very bright	Smooth passage from entrance, through security and into the seating area to wait for my plane as I don't typically check a bag and have an e-ticket on my phone. Option B seems to have made that connection!
1278	3	2	1	Bright and open, smaller environmental footprint	lots of glass = lots of natural light	historical features	light
1279	1	2	3	It's bright with durable surfaces.	High ceilings and also bright.	Mizzou memorabilia	Convenience
1280	2	3	1	Glass makes more light	Brown and neutral colors	Do not like red color	Open glass lighting
1281	1	2	3	I am a huge fan on the upstairs portion	I like the historical element to it and the seating.	Not much of a fan on the 3rd one	Restrooms, food, space for check in and check out, bathrooms, charging stations, seats with plug ins for chargers, maybe stores?
1282	3	1	2	I like the use of covered and conditioned jet bridges as well as the mother's rooms. It looks like it will flow well with the existing terminal.	I like a lot of the same things about it as the first. It sounds really functional and thought out for the long term. I don't like the use of branding - the coloring makes it look cheap and cartoon like.	I really like the sleek modern design and windows for light. It was hard to tell what the design actually encompasses - the description of features was lack luster.	Covered jet bridges, availability for larger aircraft, private spaces/family restrooms, additional parking to keep up with the growing number of customers
1283	2	1	3	Entry way/lobby, incorporation of local history; inclusion of a nursing room for mothers.	Broad covered area on the front	I don't like anything about my least favored design	Easy to access and move around, intuitive wayfinding.
1284	3	1	2	Windows and natural light.	Brick Facade	Boxy design	Space
1285	2	1	3	Modern and big entrance, which is both aesthetically pleasing and practical	i like the shade area, which could protect from sun and rain	Shade areas	Adequate amount of seating, charging stations, and bathrooms
1286	2	1	3	I liked the fact it was one level. Modern design and I preferred the finishings.	I liked the design excerpt for two levels. Unless there is an elevator. Finishings were ok but I liked my first choice better.	The one I liked least was D. I thought the outside was dark and the interior color scheme was ugly in my opinion.	Accessibility, clean, modern and spacious. I don't want to be backed in like sardines in the boarding area.
1287	1	2	3	covered entry, room for expansion on each side, light from above.	lighted walkway	none	ease of use for families, disabled and elderly. Access to restrooms, chairs to wait for planes, and boarding and deboarding during nasty weather under a roof would be a nice change!! love columbia though...dont change too much or it will be another kci nightmare!!!!
1288	2	1	3	The windows and the walkway up. I also think it has a good flow for traffic	The lighter brick and covered walk way	The dark drab brick and lack of natural light	Good seating, good flow of traffic of cars and people, enough room to stand in line without blocking flow, good coffee!!!! easy security lines with separate PreCheck lines
1289	2	3	1	Coffee	Bathrooms	None	Quick luggage on carousel
1290	1	2	3	Windows	Interior height	The pops of color inside	Bright and open
1291	1	3	2	The skylights.	~\_(\ツ)\_/~	~\_(\ツ)\_/~	Nicer bathrooms.
1292	2	1	3	Size. Space inside allows for less crowding.	More Natural light	Modern seating, cafe style areas	Quiet, uncrowded, modern

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1293	1	2	3	A two story- reminds me of another regional airport I've been in. The two level situation worked well. Only difference in that option is that you came into the second level to check in and went down to the gates. More windows the better. The natural light coming in is way better and that is why option a and B are better than C.	Windows - and open concept.	Option C still seems small town. too few windows and feels claustrophobic.	Open - large. Lots of space. High ceilings. That is why I believe option a is the best.
1294	2	1	3	Windows.	Open and spacious.	I don't like that it Looks like a library	Modern and functional
1295	1	3	2	The rendering of Option A appears to have the most modern appearance while providing a compact footprint and ease of both visual integration with existing facilities and future expansion capability.	Ample indoor seating area on unsecured side of the terminal for those waiting for departures and/or arrivals.	The nod to the region's aviation history is a very nice feature.	Reduced crowding before and after the flights. The current layout forces too many people into confined holding areas, walkways, and baggage claim areas. There is enough of that on the plane.
1296	1	2	3	Elevated gates and clean looking check in feature	Expansive entryway with community presentation space	Its a very unappealing looking building. It has no real character and at least one of the renderings looks like it has low ceilings.	Layout/Ease of access on the interior
1297	2	1	3	Good mix of glass and brick	The long overhang spanning the length of the building	The overhangs above the entryways	Open, modern, good natural light
1298	3	1	2	The Gateway area.	Separated entry points	Looks like a school	You want to hear, I travel a lot and Columbia Missouri has a really nice airport. Easy to get in and out of.
1299	2	1	3	The open airy feel and great natural light. I prefer this canopy design.	The second story boarding	None	Accessibility, interior traffic flow, well placed and comfortable seating, a coffee and beverage shop with light fare, modern and minimal contact bathrooms
1300	2	3	1	2 level design & the bright & colorful interior.	The brighter exterior.	I liked the exterior of this design the best but it lacked the 2 level interior that I believe is most important.	Ease of use, comfort, bright welcoming environment.



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1301	2	1	3	There is insufficient information in order to make an informed decision	There is insufficient information in order to make an informed decision	There is insufficient information in order to make an informed decision	Frequent travelers are less interested in how it looks, more interested in its function and efficiency. High running costs means high airport fees, which means higher ticket price... poor layout means increased frustration and a disenchanted passenger. (Which for COU means they will go to STL or MCO) Up until COVID I spent most weekends transiting through airports; both domestic and international. What matters to me is quick and efficient arrival to check in to Security to gate/transit lounge. Sufficient seating for all passengers, amenities and above all, easy of airport arrival and departure. I would pay for covered parking, but many would not. At the very least, pickup, drop off, and walkway to parking rows should be covered. :) Thank you
1302	1	3	2	Will allow the most light with most exterior lighting being indirect, smaller footprint is better for future growth of the airport.	High FAA AIP eligibility to offset sponsor financial share. Having 3 drop-off lanes. Using Solar and maximizing efficiency.	1. Too many windows, that will cause glare issues (costly shades/reflective glazing), and be unbearable for employees and customers. 2. With the high ceiling that increases the utility costs. 3. Appears to be a direct airflow from the Building Entryway, through the TSA Checkpoint, and then out the gates to the aircraft; causing a wind tunnel effect. Making it difficult to keep at a moderate temperature, without blowing trash all around the building, the tarmac [causing Foreign Object Damage (FOD)], and the entryway/parking area(s)	1. ADA Compatibility (was not talked about in any of the proposals). 2. Incorporate the Stevens College Aviation Department beam into the winning design. 3. Easy in/out, and future growth potential. 4. High FAA AIP eligibility to offset sponsor financial share.
1303	1	2	3	The modern look of the facility and the two story design for easier loading and unloading of planes from jetways	Open airy feel	Reminds me of the old outdated terminal	Built for the future not the present. Convenience, covered drop off, single security check point.
1304	2	1	3	I like that it's not just a square and the variety in the roofline	I like all the windows and I like the overhang over the drop off lanes	Nothing stands out	I like it when they feel more open and there's a lot of natural light
1305	2	1	3	Most appealing architecturally.	Second most appealing architecturally.	Least appealing architecturally.	Easy to navigate.
1306	3	2	1	The ability of option c to grow with the needs	Option c and option b have modern inviting interiors.	How uninteresting Option A's interior is.	Easy of use, variety of the aircraft that the airport can accommodate.
1307	3	1	2	It actually seems like a bigger terminal. The other designs are lazy facelifts	I like the overhangs over the entrance	clean lines	Columba needs a bigger terminal. The waiting areas beyond TSA are embarrassing for city our size
1308	1	3	2	Bright open space inside Expansive covered pedestrian area	Exit covered walkway	separated walkway from vehicle lanes	Open space and convenient amenities in the terminal

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1309	1	2	3	Modern	Looks inviting	Looks like the other terminals	Quality
1310	2	1	3	Not enough information to render an informed assessment. As a ranking was required and I was precluded from not being able to rank each a 3 I would only say that none are that innovative or aesthetically noteworthy.	Same comment as question 2	Same answer as the previous two questions	There is so little detail provided that assessing any option from a functional and operational basis is not possible. Issue such as curb length, queuing depths at key processing points, peaking characteristics, incorporation of common use passenger processing technology versus traditional handling of passengers, are these ground loaded gates or second level. You shoe two options that mostly ignore the west facing orientation of the terminal and the prevailing weather fronts that make it very uncomfortable to stand out in elements. There are numerous items that are not depicted or discussed that are not even mentioned. I worked as an aviation planning consultant for over 35 years and find the lack of information very disappointing. Frankly, I believe all three are lacking. I would love to look at whatever supporting documentation the provides the basis for space allocations, fleet mix of aircraft, passenger demands, landside, airside and terminal facility requirements. The first concept shows a ticket counter configuration pretty much straight out of the 1970's.
1311	1	2	3	2 stories	Unique	Overdone	convenience
1312	3	1	2	Looks nice	Looks ok	Boring	TSA precheck security line
1313	1	2	3	Modern look	Open glass look	The pick up and drop off are	Open concept with room to walk and wait
1314	1	2	3	Love the light filled interior, light colors feels expansive and second floor embarkation with shorter jet bridges	Like the historic airline memorabilia	Like the signage and the additional parking spaces	Great way finding, not too spread out, comfortable with good dining and beverage options, quiet private spaces if you need to work or have to wait for longer periods of time.
1315	1	3	2	I actually like the initial look of one, but think it will look outdated more quickly. Option 2 is more timeless and I think will age more gracefully.	I like the earthiness of option 2. I think one is sleek and modern, and I like some of the neutral tones, but think they will show age more quickly.	It looks like a big box retail store. Nothing exciting or inspirational about it.	Easy to keep clean everywhere. Pick materials in bathrooms that stand up to cleaning without getting dingy. Room for seating with places to tuck carryon luggage. Good water with bottle refill stations. Plenty of charging stations. Use environmentally friendly materials where possible.
1316	1	2	3	2 stories	Na	Na	More airlines, parking
1317	1	2	3	Like option a with glass	Entrance looks more appealing	a pathway to the terminal that is well lit	light
1318	1	3	2	Regional brick outside facade	Regional brick exterior facade preferred.	Regional brick exterior facade preferred.	Food and drink options to the usual machines.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1319	1	2	3	The simplicity for a small airport.	The simplicity for a small airport.	Nothing	Space, seating, information, being comfortable.
1320	2	3	1	Separate arrival and departure doors	Seems very light and airy	Only 1 story, like 2nd story option.	Large check in area. Comfortable waiting area. Free parking. Enclosed jetways. Availability of food and snacks.
1321	3	2	1	dual entrance / exit; use of existing space; amenities; obvious experience with design of airports, which should lead to longterm success of project.	Overall exterior appearance is excellent. I especially like the natural light entering from the top of the exterior walls.	Light in the lobby/waiting area of interior. Reduced walking required with second floor & escalator.	Convenience & efficiency of interior spaces & exterior parking.
1322	2	3	1	B	B	B	B
1323	2	1	3	Modern, mostly solid, but lots of light at the entrance and therefore looks inviting.	Next closest to first.	To closed up and dark and outdated.	Modern, accessible, visible, well lit.
1324	1	2	3	Bright, welcoming, clean, easy to navigate inside for access to airline counters, wide 3 lane driveway	Just ok, doesn't seem to have the interior accessibility as A. I also think there could be traffic issues because the driveway is narrower.	I don't care for the interior or exterior colors. Looks like a school.	I want to know right where to go and not have to look around to find someone to ask.
1325	1	3	2	Doesn't look like a giant warehouse	Contemporary	Lots of windows letting light in	Makes sense where you go, somewhere comfortable to wait after going through security with access to decent food and drink—coffee.
1326	3	1	2	It resembles the current space, feels less like a school.	I like the alcove areas and the building signage.	Nothing.	Ease of arrival/departure, ability to drop off passengers, well lighted.
1327	2	1	3	It is aesthetically pleasing. It has the American Flag front and center. An overall professional look.	Honestly, it feels like a hospital.	The overhangs look horrible.	Conveniently located. An interior and exterior that flows.
1328	2	3	1	I love the 2 story option and modern design	The 2 stories and windows for viewing	Not a 2 story which you can have a shorter gateway	Modern look with technology capabilities/charging stations. Food and drink options and clean lines with windows to let in more light.
1329	1	3	2	2 levels	none	none	ease to move around in.
1330	2	3	1	Clear and separated arrival and departures points. Looks like it has the potential to be more energy and environmentally friendly (solar panels on that sloped roof? Or passive heating?)	I'm hesitant because of the height, seems too much of the money would go into unused space. I do love the long canopy along the front, seems it would protect from sun and weather. I also like the lighter-colored materials, the building looks light and modern.	Looks like a high school, and not a new one. I do like that it's primarily one story, more of the funds can go into quality and amenities. And all the glass allows for a lot of natural light.	In no particular order: 1) Accessibility, our current airport has some real challenges if you are mobility-impaired. 2) A sensible flow, so I'm not walking from one end of the building to the other, and people are moving effectively during busy times, not clogging the hallway. 3) A building that considers future needs, that has a lower environmental impact, such as incorporating alternative energy sources, using native plants indoors to improve air quality, etc.
1331	2	1	3	Earth tones and lighting.	Height	Looks unappealing and too dark	Larger security screening area and more eating options
1332	2	1	3	The lighting	the overhead	n/a	ease of traffic flow, places to drop off and pick up
1333	2	1	3	No second floor is ideal to the elderly, and most likely to fly out of COU.	seemingly spacious, but overall bland.	bland, all the way around. No distinct design.	A design that stands out

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1334	1	2	3	I love the inviting colors and fresh clean look. I love the covered walk way into the airport also.	Stair well going down into the parking lot, with garden views.	I don't really like much about the third option so no advice!	Space for waiting with a few options for dining!!
1335	3	1	2	lots of natural light	long covered drop off area	Separate arrival/departure areas on entry	Welcoming atmosphere with ease of navigating to public areas
1336	1	2	3	I think this building design looks sleek and clean.	The abundance of windows.	I don't see any. I think this building looks like a Soviet Bloc era building. Ugly.	Ease of access into and out of. Lots of bathrooms easy to get to. Comfortable chairs to wait in.
1337	2	1	3	Option b. It's open more modern	I don't really like it	The symbol	Open, wide , Space.", modern look
1338	2	1	3	It incorporates Missouri natural features and history.	Seems fresh and inviting.	I like if it does not appear so blocky.	Ease of access and parking.
1339	1	2	3	Tall and windows	Entryway	Tall building	Open spaces and waiting. Plenty of electrical outlets for charging devices
1340	3	1	2	Lots of Windows - Lots of Light from above	Awnings are need	High Windows	Ease of Ingress and Egress - Waiting Spaces for meeting passengers when they arrive
1341	1	2	3	covered outside walkway entire length of building two-story lots of natural light	covered outside walkway	n/a	charging stations in passenger waiting areas food sources multiple and easy access bathrooms
1342	3	2	1	Option C has the slanted roof. See this in many airports. Also has the overhang over driveway to keep you dry in bad weather. Like the dark color brick. A richer look to the facility.	Option B has more windows which allows more light.	I like the full length apron over the driveway to allow more passengers to get in and out of their cars during bad weather.	Easy to drop off people in bad weather.
1343	2	1	3	I like the expansive airside windows. I would also like to see large historical art.	Driveway awning.	Large artwork	Capacity for growth. Nature-themed coloring. High quality finishing & materials - highly durable. Lots of chargers and comfortable seating with views of airstrip and runway. Lots of local art and historical pictures.
1344	1	3	2	The longer covered area in the front	Nothing	Nothing	Ease of drop off to check in.
1345	2	1	3	I like the idea of a more open feeling of seeing the outside. The entrance looks inviting.	The skylight glass features.	Needs a bit more color or textures.	Easy flow from one area to the next ; i.e. from the ticket counter/baggage area to security screening, terminal.
1346	2	3	1	Seems like the simplest one.	the wood on the walls	light and airy	Actually, I probably really like them in the opposite order I've given; I just chose what looked like the least expensive. Keeping free parking is the most important thing to me; second is having a decent bathroom in the waiting area. All else is icing on the cake.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1347	1	2	3	I very much like the open space of the interior with all the light. I also support the use of solar panels and the renewable energy. I think it's important to think about how the airport might be used in the Future as well!	I think the exterior of this design is very pleasing. It seems very inviting to the visitor. Perhaps it is how the walkways and drive up are designed. But I very much like the historic displays that will be incorporated into the interior space and it could be used in Design A	There is nothing that I like about design C. It is outdated and feels like an old school house.	Using renewable energy in the architecture allowing for the building to create a smaller carbon footprint. I also think we need to ensure that parking is available for all visitors.
1348	2	1	3	Building design	Lighting	Height	Spacious
1349	1	2	3	two story with easy boarding access.	Stephens College Air etc.	two entrances	Ease of access, parking ease, boarding easy rather than walking outside to board, room to await flights.
1350	1	2	3	Exposed Brick and Canopy	Exterior Lighting	Brick Color	Free flowing layout and easy access.
1351	1	2	3	It's has a bright interior and exterior. Also a more modern design.	I like the tall glass entrance area.	Nothing	Having access to food and beverages not in a vending machine and loading the plane on a jet bridge not on the tarmac.
1352	2	1	3	Facade volumes that are expressed upon approach at entry and overall interior design implementation of the material palette	Brightness of the interior and natural light	Accent colors and materiality choices	Drop off/Pick up, interior circulation, travel time to and from gate, visibility of signage and a sense of direction, and incorporation of amenities if flight happens to be delayed
1353	3	1	2	It looks more up to date	I would like to see a side walk like in my 1st choice	Color of the brick	Easy drop off and pick up. Also easy access to my airport terminal.
1354	2	1	3	Lots of glass and natural light.	Long overhead over the patio	The logo on the building	Plenty of room for security line. I always felt crowded when entering the TSA line because it was right by the front door. I did not like having to take my luggage to a different window after checking in. Better walk on and walk off ramp Signage that tells you where to go. Designated area for kiosk check in
1355	2	1	3	I liked the design scheme and how they wanted to incorporate Missouri's history into it.	The exterior was very attractive, I'd say more attractive than my first option's exterior.	I like that there was more color incorporated in the design. I also like that they incorporated some cool light fixtures and had a lot of different types of seating.	Room for mother's who are nursing, enough seating around gates, clear signage, a place to get food
1356	3	1	2	I like the outside of B because it looks unique to most airports and I like that about it and it also looks bigger.	I like the outside of it but I don't like the way they have the way where you leave one way and enter another	I don't like it it looks weird in my opinion	They connect to the plane and you don't have to walk outside if it was super cold. They have places to sit. Sometimes they have food and when you get into the plane they greet you and welcome you.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1357	1	2	3	High ceiling and lots of light from all the Windows ! Love this design ! Makes the city of Columbia very impressive !!!	Lots of beautiful landscaping is very important and outdoor modern lighting is a must !!!!	The COU EMBLEM OR COLUMBIA REGIONAL SIGN MUST BE ON THE FRONT OF BUILDING ALL LIT UP AND MUST LOOK VERY MODERN ! LETS LET COLUMBIA SHINE AND BE IMPRESSIVE TO NEW COMERS TO THE CITY ! ALSO , CREATE A SIGN THAT SAYS WELCOME TO COLUMBIA ALL LIT UP IN THE INSIDE OF AIRPORT AS WELL !❤️	ESCALATORS PLEASE !!!! NO STAIRS !!!! ALSO , A GREAT FULL SERVICE RESTAURANT FOR BREAKFAST LUNCH DINNER !!!!!
1358	3	2	1	Option C would likely be most cost effective in future maintenance. with the full brick construction it will be easier to secure if needed.	the open air look of the entrance. Option B is the most eye appealing however this would be a nightmare to maintain or to repair after a severe storm. Add the full length canopy from option A to option C.	the full building length canopy.	ease of navigation through security and to the gates.
1359	2	1	3	Overall look	Choice of material	N/a	N/a
1360	1	2	3	2 story	Nice design	N/a	Being able to add on later.
1361	2	1	3	Elegant materials and appropriate scale/ massing for the campus	Canopy	Brick Color	Wayfinding!
1362	1	2	3	I like the two story look of option A. Would seem to make boarding easier and more separation between the loading of the planes and the baggage areas.	Nothing.	Nothing.	The are two main features. Most important is being able to board a plane via jet bridge and not have to walk outside in the weather to board. Second, more room for security screening, waiting for your plane and more room in the baggage area.
1363	1	3	2	Passive solar, economical operation and construction, clean and bright. I also really like that it is presented with an eye to future expansion: Columbia often builds the things we need NOW instead of considering what we might need down the line.	It's not that different from A on a functional level but the colors and materials make it feel more like a middle school, which I don't like. So the function is the thing I like here (especially the nursing room)	I love how open and bright it feels but I also think that it's more roof to maintain, and that all those windows would lead to hvac challenges	Convenient parking, clear signage, good traffic flow within the building, comfortable seating spaces with outlets
1364	1	2	3	Continuous canopy	Broken up roof structure. Middle portion extends higher than the surrounding area	Centralized Signage/Flagpole display.	quick access to drop off/pick-up, ease of navigation through building via wayfinding, POWER (outlets everywhere) if layovers are common.
1365	2	1	3	I like how it is not boxy like 2-3	I like the color combination but not the boxy style	It just does not look like an airport	Grand entrance, nice continuing canopy, and easy accessible security checkin
1366	2	1	3	Stone or brick for the exterior. Blues, grays and neutral colors on the inside.	I like the way the interior flows on my second choice.	The brick veneer	Ease of access and a home feel.
1367	2	1	3	The open-air feel in the main entrance/security area.	I liked the color selections in Option A.	Nothing.	Open, easy to read signs, and clean crisp soothing color designs with an abundance of restrooms and water fountains.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1368	2	3	1	The covered front area. Waiting for a ride in the rain/snow and being able to see very far both ways. You can see people pulling in off on the distance and prepare your bags and be outside without struggling to see who is pulling up next.	The separation of departures and arrivals being at different sides keeps flow of traffic and contamination down with infected arrivals staying away from departing potentially healthy patrons.	One main entrance/exit. I think we should keep the glow in one direction to ensure social distancing is accommodated. We dont want people walking face to face next to each other in passing.	Ample outside waiting areas and loud speakers outside to hear when your flight is arriving or departing so we dont have to wait inside weather permitting
1369	3	1	2	Glass entryway accentuated by bricks which reminds me of Columbia, Tiger Hotel etc.	I like the brick facade.	I like how tall it seems and the natural light it allows for.	Comfortable seats, food and beverage options and WiFi.
1370	3	1	2	Glass paneling around entrance of building	the ceiling in main hallways with accent drops	Building looks less inviting	flow from check in through security and exit from being deplaned to baggage claim
1371	1	2	3	spaciousand airy.looks like a bigger city airport	dont remember.didnt know i was going to be tested	na	na
1372	3	1	2	Looks like more natural light comes inside the building	Color, inviting.	not sure pretty col looking.	Food and Beverage,
1373	2	1	3	Open center	front walkway cover	signage	some distinction, and frankly none of these are. From a practical view, flow is the most important feature
1374	1	2	3	Windows across top and long sidewalk cover/roof to wait under for rides if raining.	Overhang cover is second largest	None	Waiting for ride area and ease of entrance.
1375	3	2	1	Seperate arrivals/ departures	Windows at top of interior	Windows at top of interior	Least cost
1376	3	1	2	Better interior design feel and good curb appeal for exterior	The arrival and departure areas if future traffic will warrant this separation for exterior. Interior design is nice, too, but not a fan of the color choice	Not a fan	Safe and easy access from parking lots to terminal. Covered walkway near entrances on exterior makes for better pickup/drop off in inclement weather. Good exterior lighting for safety.
1377	1	3	2	Color and the textures of surfaces.	Like modern and contemporary design.	Do not like the outside curb appeal.	Ease of access to gates, parking and baggage drop and claim.
1378	2	1	3	None of these.	None.	None.	Size. Both these options are extremely small.
1379	2	1	3	.	.	.	.
1380	1	3	2	It's modern and clean.	The clear markings for the entrance.	Too open.	Wide aisles, lots of space to spread out, plenty of chargers, food/beverage service.
1381	2	1	3	Good natural light and natural materials inside, glass facade promotes continuity between inside and outside.	Bright interior, higher boarding gates to allow future flexibility.	Clearly labeled, intuitive paths throughout (e.g., arrivals, departures, etc.). Beyond this, the design feels like an office supply store. It's too dark and closed off (possibly with the exception of the holding area, which seems more bright and open).	Clean, bright, open, easy to navigate. Availability of multigender restrooms, water bottle filling stations, natural materials.
1382	1	2	3	Openness and two floors	Openness	Looks like a Wendy's restaurant and colors are too dark	Easy of security check in and boarding
1383	1	3	2	Two levels Creativity	Flooring	Colors of interior features	Convenient check in Good planning

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1384	3	1	2	Conditioned boarding bridges to aircraft provides a good first and last impression of Columbia for those arriving/departing. Exterior is mainly brick for long term durability with low maintenance. Large, open foyer with clerestory windows will keep design from feeling dated.	Separated entry points, additional parking, raised gates for larger aircraft mix. This last point is critical, new terminal must be able to support larger aircraft for long term growth.	ability to incorporate terminal into possible future expansion.	Free parking close to terminal. Ease of use of security (being able to pass through quickly). ability to accommodate larger aircraft as demand grows. Good first/last impression for visitors to Columbia arriving to do business.
1385	1	3	2	Two level design and blends well with other existing buildings. Interior finishes seem more timeless.	Compact, two level design. Some signage blends well with current logos/ads.	Like the ties to local aviation history. Could take some of these aspects and incorporate into any of the designs.	Flexibility for future expansion, two level compact design for least environmental impact, blends with current structures, and short jetways for easier loading and accessibility.
1386	1	2	3	The windows on top.	The large canopy at entrance.	Nothing, it is too boring.	easy accessibility.
1387	1	3	2	2 floors, outside look, windows, lighter color, Aesthetic, color scheme	Quiet Rooms, More seating, Nursing Mothers Room	Sensory Rooms, Service Animal Rooms, Seating, More Parking	Easily accessible & lots of parking. Sensory rooms, nursing mothers rooms, service dog rooms, and handicap/hard of hearing accessibility are all very important. Should Have a good look like Option A, but should be inclusive to all. Also, lots of comfy seating at the gates, and coffee shops or food options.
1388	1	3	2	I like that is has a smaller foot print and more room for expansion	More drop off lanes	A separate door for arrivals and departures	Easy movement of passengers
1389	1	2	3	Windows at the top, large covered area	lots of windows	none	lots of bathrooms, fountains to refill water bottles, lots of seating
1390	1	2	3	Separation of baggage claim from the rest	Looks nice	Boring	Security separating of arrivals and departures
1391	2	1	3	Favorite attractive building/exterior, protected entry, open ceiling, aviation memorabilia, airfield views, ease in locating intended destinations.	2-story, durable exterior materials, site positin for flexible allowable growth, energy efficiency.	Specific drop-off lanes covered and protected, all inclusive personal amenities.	Safety, Customer assistance/easily identifiable people to ask questions of, easy to follow directions, airfield views, aviation memorabilia.
1392	3	1	2	Glass	Brick with logo	Color of brick, go darker	Aesthetic and functionality
1393	2	1	3	Cover	Cover	Size	Spacious ease of flow and clean
1394	1	3	2	option a	1	2	convenience
1395	3	2	1	Separate arrival and departure areas	the look of the entrance way but all that glass lends itself to more maintenance.	Not sure	Plan for growth, added gates and carriers. These renderings to speak to that at all.
1396	1	2	3	canopy and interior wall tile	Large windows at entrance	interior glass walls	Secure parking. Easy access into terminal with clear directional signs.
1397	1	2	3	continuous canopy / covering along the entry / drop-off area. open clerestory windows above for natural light for the interior.	glazing at the entrance / drop-off area for easy visibility into the facility	none.	clear marking of the the entry drop-off with protection from elements. interior with views to exterior and natural light. ease of navigation with clear wayfinding from parking to entry to gate.
1398	3	2	1	Interior: Unique. Arrangement of gates/lobby.	Interior: Ceiling elevation/light.	#1: Generic exterior/interior including decor.	Comfortable gate area.
1399	1	2	3	Two story plan and it looks the most sleek and modern	Multi layer design not one straight box like number one	Nothing	Spacious, easy to move through without help, bright and happy envionment



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1400	1	2	3	A	B	C	Easy access to the entrance
1401	3	1	2	Windows	The awning	Just a boring design	Easily navigated
1402	1	3	2	This one seems more practical with the extended weather friendly awning.	Stairs is bad idea!	It doesn't have the stairs, like option 2. Who wants to deal with stairs and luggage!	Easy loading and unloading of luggage and patrons. No long wait.
1403	1	2	3	more inviting easier to pull up to.	lighted sidewalk	not as inviting to pull up to	easy access
1404	3	1	2	High windows,	Color	Single overhang	Ease of parking and access
1405	2	1	3	Lots of glass for natural lighting.	The drive.	The grass.	Parking.
1406	1	3	2	The windows	The lighting	The color of the brick	Inviting with lots of natural light
1407	2	1	3	Long floor plan, glass top.	Light and bright	Too traditional with the dark brick	Glass and large windows!
1408	1	2	3	The lightness of the finishes. The more covered exterior for those coming and going.	It's more open inside.	It's more open inside.	Ease of finding where you need to go, covered exterior, openness.
1409	1	2	3	Open and modern, with lights of light and high ceilings.	***the lit, concrete sidewalk from the parking lot***	Having all 3 flags.	Convenience and ease-of-use.
1410	2	1	3	I like the clerstory windows of my first place selection, as well as the inviting nature of the overhang that invites you inside. Brick is fine--other finishing options would work, too.	I like the larger appearance (more mass) of my second choice selection. I like the light, airy nature of the design and the option pergola-style driveway cover; I don't like that it might be a steel building for all sections that are not brick, and I hope we don't do a steel building on any part of the project.	My third selection looks a lot like a department store or a shopette--it's also rather imposing, to me, in its dark tones and bland rooflines.	Easy parking; easy entrance; clear signage; clean and modern bathrooms; efficient baggage handling; accessibility; built to last; some food service options, even if it's just higher quality vending.
1411	1	2	3	Large windows and small footprint	Windows and color theme	Efficiency	please include a mothers lactation room in the designs
1412	1	2	3	Large covered drop off area. Two story interior with lots of natural lighting.	The exterior approach is beautiful. Seating areas are needed in the public side of TSA in the check in and baggage claim area.	I like the fact that it has a small footprint and allows for further expansion.	Simplicity. Enough seating capacity to accommodate all passengers. Some food and drink available on both sides of TSA . Not having to walk a long way to get to TSA or baggage claim. Not having to go outside to board.
1413	3	2	1	Clear entrances, bold design, big COU on the side of the building.	The nice walk-up and the huge overhang where people can stand under.	Gap in the overhang. Bridge the overhang like the 2nd or bottom ones for standing room from weather.	Easy to get in & out, drop off/pick-up easy. Clear & large signage.
1414	1	2	3	Lite open concept	NA	N A	Bright and open
1415	3	1	2	More light (glass).	More light (glass). Don't like the old brick.	The interior color scheme of design C.	Lots of light. Ability to use real gates. Covered areas - get very wet at times getting in and out of airport terminal.
1416	2	1	3	More interesting architecture, not a basic rectangular building.	Looks like my second choice has a second level for office space and the additional square footage may be good,	This one looks like the original McDonalds Golden Arches.	Customer service check in area, restrooms.
1417	1	2	3	Butterfly Canopy	Glass and 'X' Bracing	Nothing	Clear indications where to go.
1418	3	2	1	c	b	a	waiting area and parking

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1419	1	2	3	Long drive way with ample room for pick up drop off in front of the terminal with an extend canopy. Option A interior is very bright and modern, giving the nice visual of a larger airport.	the best part of option B is the large central area before security. It give the area a sense of "arrival+departure" a good first foot forward for those arriving, and welcoming home. This large atrium would go well with Option A interior (if there could be a hybrid)	This option looks like it past it prime 20 years ago. It looks like a industrial park warehouse, repurposed. The colors are already dated, and the design is too simple and looks cheap.	Airports terminal should give a scene of space. Large areas of natural light that give way for ease of people movement to and from the gate area. It should feel like you've arrived somewhere, and reflect on the city the space is used for. Option A has the look of what the airport should look like, (long drive for passenger pick up, great interior use of natural light and design. Option B looks like a school on the outside, but the atrium in the center looks like a good gathering place. to me that would be the combination of those two would be the best
1420	1	2	3	I love the look and feel of the design of Option A. The two-story has a smaller footprint, great architectural features with the metal panels and fins, and will have great curb appeal.	The lighting on the building and raised entry. Lights on the stairs are nice too.	The history pictures in the entry take away from the modern look of the building. It would be better to have new pictures, local art, or pictures around Columbia to represent the area. Not old black and white photos. The artifacts really take away from the building.	Great passenger experience, ability to grow and expand, showcase facility to for businesses and MU, quick and easy flights, able to lure additional carriers and destinations.
1421	3	1	2	This question requires an answer.	This question requires an answer.	This question requires an answer.	This question requires an answer.
1422	2	1	3	Brick and second level loading.	Second level loading	Too many windows	Speedy security
1423	2	3	1	Expanded drive access with 3 lanes	Accent lighting	Landside glass	Elimination of escalator/elevator because they are expensive to maintain. Overall should use our money effectively to get the most terminal possible for limited funds
1424	2	1	3	It looks more open and inviting	More windows	None	A resurant, larger waiting areas.
1425	2	1	3	Looked to be open and inviting	Clean elegant	It's just ugly like a cheep high school	Clean inviting easy to navigate
1426	1	3	2	The bright white looks neat and welcoming!	seems to plan for long term growth, has options for larger and smaller aircraft, increased parking space	?	cost/value for the community, energy efficient, sustainable materials, ease for passengers (I love the smallness, few gates, the free parking, the smaller number of passengers at Columbia!), aesthetic beauty
1427	1	3	2	Windows	Modern	Congested	Safety, ease of access
1428	2	1	3	Glass atrium	Walk-way covering	Darker colors (I.e. black above the windows)	Easy exit and pick up location
1429	1	2	3	It looks modern, clean and is two story	Modern	It looks old.	Mothers room for lactating and breastfeeding moms. Family restrooms with ample changing tables.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1430	1	2	3	Option A has a more clean look to the exterior and the interior is more productive type of setting. The colors used gave it a better flow and atmosphere type feeling. This terminal will allow growth as the city of Columbia grows. The terminal is more energy efficient.	Option B I liked the Gateway Area as you come into the airport terminal and the Memorabilia Wall.	All Inclusive Personal Amenities and the 3 Drop Off Lanes where the only things that I liked on Option C. Did not really care for any of the features on option C, was to plain looking	Drop off and Pick up area, Personal Amenities, The waiting area, and Baggage Claim area
1431	2	1	3	Option A: I love all the natural light and spaciousness, and the materials look more modern. The Lewis and Clark inspired designs are excellent.	Option B: Natural light and spaciousness, the ticket area looks nice.	The extra parking is nice. But I do think the Yellow, Purple and Red color combination and designs make the inside look cheesy, dated, and a bit cheap.	Aesthetically pleasing, comfortable, easy to navigate.
1432	2	1	3	Interior - The neutral colors that let the building fade, but will allow for artwork and local flair. Exterior - Its open, Its inviting, it seems like a transition between the car and the aircraft.	Interior - Big Open space make it have a bigger presence than it actually has. Exterior - The Variance of materials, while still keeping it simple.	Interior - I like the openness Exterior - I like the Canopies	A design that isn't dated and is timeless. The ease for expansion, both interior and exterior. The ability to adapt to unpredictable changes.
1433	1	2	3	canopy, with the windows at the roof line	The open storefront design, and interior colors	The signage on the building	To be able to get through quickly
1434	1	3	2	Interior spacious in Option A - second level to access gateholds.	Color - not materiality.	open connection to outside (roof scape)	Sense of Place, Ease of Use, variety of spaces, efficient processing.
1435	1	3	2	The windows.	N/A	N/A	Modern, outlets, seating, cleanliness.
1436	1	2	3	Open, and airy. Feels tech friendly and progressive.	Seems sturdy and solid.	Basic	Love Option A - this gives a tech forward, fresh outlook.
1437	1	2	3	Long lasting, preserve space for outward growth A mother's room	Mothers room and local Lewis and Clark ozarks theme	Mothers room, multiple lanes coming in for growth later	Long lasting, low maintenance materials. Built to be fiscally responsible long term. Leave room for growth. Proper lactation rooms for staff and fliers
1438	1	2	3	I like the three lane drive up area and the windows for sunlight in the building.	I like the amount of windows.	I don't really like the third choice	Good lighting and easy access which is why the windows and the 3 lane drive way make sense.
1439	1	2	3	cleaner..looks better and more refreshing	not as attractive	totally not inviting	easy access, easy everything
1440	1	3	2	Natural lighting	None	None	Accessible and open floor plan
1441	2	1	3	The shape of the building and the windows on the front	Na	Na	Please be sure to include a space for Mothers who are breastfeeding and pumping in your design
1442	2	1	3	The bright openness of the lobby really stands out.	I like the higher windows that allow natural light into the lobby.	Seemed to closed off from the other designs. Didn't feel like the design "fit". Too small of an airport to have designated arrival and departure entrance/exit.	Open welcome concept, a and b were both inviting and open while c seemed closed off.
1443	1	2	3	I very much like the front canopy and natural light that will fill the terminal through the upper windows in option A. Option A has an inviting feel.	The sidewalk in option B is nice.	I do not see any features of option C I like.	The natural light inside the building, the front façade, and entrance canopy.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1444	3	1	2	It looks cleaner and not so 'blocky'. The high-ceiling in the atrium provides a feeling of space and openness.	The very large and clear marking of departures and arrivals. Appreciate overhead cover where passengers get in and out of cars - it should cover the ENTIRE front loading and unloading area. Snow, rain and summer sun are all things we have in abundance that make the airport experience less enjoyable.	Solar fins.	Traveler comfort is key - so lots of seating that is not too close together. Covered corridors between the terminal, the rental car area and all of the parking areas. Enough space for TSA so that travelers have enough room to collect their belongings after screening without tripping over each other. Ideally, some type of restaurant on both sides of security would be very helpful. Restrooms on BOTH sides of security that are not so cramped would be very nice. It would also be good to ensure that the agents who would be facing the lobby windows do not have trouble with sun in their eyes at certain times of the day.
1445	1	3	2	in incorporates a second floor so people don't have to go outside to get into a plane.	The outside is too dark	add a second floor so people don't go outside to a plane	Not walking outside for a plane.
1446	2	1	3	Cover from outside elements while waiting for pickup	Bridge to walk on plane	Color combinations	Access to rental car booth & pickup of car on site.
1447	3	1	2	airy, modern, and attractive exterior	the differentiation between departure/arrival areas visually	marquis/sign	The environment being appealing and not claustrophobic like the current terminal is. Adequate space and restrooms, etc.
1448	3	2	1	Having separate entrances/exits for arrivals and departures will help facilitate movement of people and vehicles	Variable roof lines	Possibly loading passengers from a higher level	The efficient flow of people and vehicles is far more important than exterior design. Suggest looking at Traverse City, Mich terminal as great design for small market airport.
1449	2	1	3	The brick and glass combo and high ceiling	The awning	All the white is nice	Spacious with enough room for travellers
1450	3	1	2	please include a mothers lactation room in the designs	please include a mothers lactation room in the designs	please include a mothers lactation room in the designs	please include a mothers lactation room in the designs
1451	2	1	3	Openness . One floor - no stairs	Second floor to easier access to plane	Too modern looking, will be dated in future	Mothers breastfeeding room
1452	1	2	3	First of all the A is a better quality photo it looks better bright, modern and simple	None	None	Modern visually appealing and easily accessible, secure.,well lighted bright . And food and coffee makes people happy too
1453	2	1	3	I like the over all transparency of Option B with the structure and windows. I like the wood within Option B, which give it that natural feel.	Exterior looks like an elementary school. I love the lighter feel of this interior the most.	Love the canopies, but didn't care for the mix of interior finishes, which didn't work with the natural light.	Convenient driveway for drop of and pick up that is covered.
1454	2	1	3	Option B: I like the window elements on the exterior, and the large open space on the interior. Finishes are light and brighten up the space	Option A: exterior design is a little underwhelming on this option, but I like the overall design of the interior. Finishes are bright, and signage is clear.	Option C: canopies on exterior. Light fixtures in interior are very cool	Large open areas so things are easily visible. Signage must be very clear.
1455	1	2	3	The ability to expand.	Covered roof over the entrance.	Nothing	Ease of getting in and out quickly. Ability to expand.
1456	3	1	2	Beautiful structure. Modern and classic at the same time.	Overhang entrance is nice	N/A	Walkable, great food and beverage options, good bathrooms for luggage

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1457	1	2	3	All of the glass and the lighter exterior colors.	The glass.	The darker color scheme.	Bright interiors seem to make me happier.
1458	3	2	1	Modern appearance. Attractive colors	High rise. Lots of windows	No complaints	Attractive appearance. Welcoming appearance. Comfortable interior
1459	2	1	3	The sleek look of option B.	The windows	Building looks institutional	Inviting, easy to navigate, works into the natural surroundings
1460	1	2	3	canopy	glass	nonglass	open
1461	1	2	3	Canopy	Cast stone	Looks boxey	Parking
1462	2	1	3	The glass walls	lighter coloring than option C	none	Easy in and out. Space to move around. Good parking.
1463	2	1	3	Option B- open and clean views , looks current	More driving lanes	overhang for bad weather	accessibility, safety, good signs , good flow to where you need to go
1464	2	1	3	space, outside appearance	easy access	Drop offs would be easy but don't like the outside appearance	Parking outside safety, check in easy, baggage claim
1465	1	3	2	Second floor option, clean exterior, appears to have covered entrance	Lettering on the building.	Darker exterior	The second floor, more open-concept, amenities
1466	1	3	2	The looks	Not sure	The green space	Accessibility, looks
1467	1	2	3	Continued window wall at the top of the building for increased natural light.	Increased natural light into the building.	Nothing stands out in the least favored option that could be incorporated into Option A	Function, flow, ease of movement, and natural light.
1468	2	1	3	It is more open and modern than the other two options	My second choice needs to lose the metal fins and get more attractive brick - matching ugly brick on another building is a bad idea. I don't favor a two story design.	I think my third choice is very ugly and wouldn't borrow anything from that design.	Tall ceilings, open spaces, lots of natural light, a clean modern design, comfortable waiting area with an abundance of power sources.
1469	1	2	3	It looks timeless, yet modern.	The use of additional windows to let in more light.	N/A	Convenience, clean, classy, and practical.
1470	3	2	1	None	None	None	Don't spend the money.
1471	1	2	3	It is bright and inviting, inside and out.	The exterior lighting is appealing.	I prefer the logo being on the actual building more so than off to the side on a sign.	Well lit and easily accessible.
1472	1	2	3	Bright white, clean lines, lots of natural light.	Open floor plan, neutral colors, natural lighting.	The dark colors make the terminal look a bit dated and not very inviting.	Clean, easy to navigate, natural sunlight, clean lines throughout.
1473	1	2	3	I LOVE the light color scheme and natural lighting features provided by the two story layout with windows.	I like the spread out layout of the second option but prefer the colors of option A.	I don't like much about this one. Feels outdated before being built.	(1) Easy drop off and pick up, (2) easy to navigate layout once inside and (3) due to unavoidable delays the interior needs to be peaceful and relaxing with nice amenities that are easy to access and area to walk and stretch to keep us calm and entertained while spending time there.
1474	1	2	3	jetway boarding	Atrium design	modern open seating	Free parking (seriously, I'm going elsewhere without free parking because this airport can't keep its flights from being cancelled in the winter) Minimal cancellations Three airlines

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1475	1	2	3	Exterior brick to match adjacent buildings and openness of interior layout	Clerestory	None	Open flow and easy to follow directional signage
1476	1	2	3	Lots of natural light and more modern/look.	Like the darker accents to offset the interior white	nothing	Natural light
1477	1	2	3	Two story, light-filled space	Quiet zones	Parking increase	Open space, entertainment, food options, available parking
1478	1	3	2	Full canopy across the landside. Two separate doorways for arrivals and departures. (I assume that's a door at the far left end for arrivals although it did not state that in the write-up and it's too small for me to see in the picture.) Appears to be bright and airy. I think that I prefer the 2nd level boarding area as opposed to the ground level. I like the compact-ness of it and the ability to add on at a later date. (i.e. Use less space now so we will have it for the future.)	I liked the idea that the walkway to the rental cars (and other possible services) was a covered walkway. I thought that the ground-boarding option seemed like it would be important to have. Separate arrival and departure doorways.	The nighttime illumination.	For this airport, a covered, climate/weather controlled jetbridge! In general: Intuitive to navigate. Well-marked, clear to understand, signage. Clear pathways; limited overlap. Open space so travelers are not crowded. Easy to maneuver vehicle drop-off/pick-up lanes. Covered drop-off/pick-up lanes. Conveniently located restrooms.
1479	1	3	2	Open airy look	Extended drive up canopy	Nothing. I like everything	Easy access
1480	1	2	3	Cleaner Look	It has more natural light than Option C	Not enough natural lighting.	Natural lighting in a airport. Do not want to already feel cooped up before I even get on a flight.
1481	1	2	3	Two stories	Glass atrium	Outdated	Jetway boarding, free parking
1482	3	1	2	Glass viewing areas so can watch the air traffic. Bricks more solid for walls in case of storms. Last one looks too institutional. There should be some inspiring look like overhangs etc.	I like the deeper color of red bricks.	I don't like the idea of steps on the outside sidewalk. Besides ADA standards many or most people have luggage with wheels for ease & convenience.	Ease, convenience, streamlined efficient layout. Enough restrooms and parking. What about a parking garage?
1483	1	2	3	I like the 2nd story option	Don't like	Don't like	Convenience
1484	1	3	2	The clean, overall sleek feel.	The interesting Portico.	The lighting, but I assume all will have dramatic, modern lighting. This rendering was just done at night.	Easily navigated / signage.
1485	1	2	3	Openness to light	Na	Na	Light
1486	1	2	3	roomy and very up to date on the outside	glass front and lighted walkways	outside lighting	up to date look
1487	3	1	2	More of a modern look with tall windows	The looks seems welcoming as well as modern	looks old and out of date	Welcoming, open concept, and modern
1488	1	2	3	larger, light airy looking....I like all the white/gray colors	I do like to overhang/awning	too dark....to flat looking....doesn't look inviting	easy to move around, understandable flow of traffic inside and out
1489	1	2	3	The lay out looks nicer. Looks less confusing. Better drive up options	NA	NA	Easy access to everything.
1490	3	2	1	Modern design throughout.	Glass front entryway.	Looks outdated and boring.	Ease of access, modern design, covered access to planes. Wi-fi access and charging stations for phones, I-pads and computers. Comfortable seating areas, clean restrooms.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1491	1	2	3	Entrance	Colors	Option C is too dark	Light calming colors
1492	1	3	2	Exterior canopy	Exterior lighting	Exterior lighting	Spacious and open
1493	1	2	3	TWO LEVELS	OPEN ENTRYWAY	DONT CARE FOR THIS DESIGN	GO IN DROP BAGAGE AND THEN UP TO THE TERMINAL DONT FEEL LIKE YOU HAVE TO GO FROM ONE END TO THE OTHER.
1494	2	1	3	The large atrium design on option B is more inviting and unique, making a bigger impact on out of town guests.	Covered exterior walkway	Not sure	Parking, comfortable waiting area at each terminal, clear directions on where to go and how to navigate the terminal.
1495	1	2	3	.	.	.	.
1496	1	3	2	Curtain wall.	Awnings.	Brick coloring	Being open, multi-storied for room for shops and restaurants
1497	1	2	3	Sky bridges	Compact design for easy future expansion.	No sky bridges	Separate areas for multiple gates with sky bridges. No mention of cafe which most passengers desire. My daughter worked at airport and these with better baggage handling were top priorities. Also, current workers at airport should be surveyed what is their top priority. The choice should be based on functionality and not just looks.
1498	2	1	3	Brighter and not so enclosed.	Brighter.	Looks like a dark box	Waiting areas restrooms efficient security food/drink area.
1499	2	1	3	Option A, Large, front facade with many windows. Gets away from the big rectangular building.	Option A looks like a post office. Not a good look.	Option C. Dislike the bright colors accents inside. Looks too much like the public library. Not a good look 20 years later.	Convenient restrooms, quick pass through and free parking. Couldn't any of these use solar panels on the roof?
1500	1	3	2	Don't care	Don't care	Don't care	Jet bridge
1501	2	1	3	lots of light in the entry	longer covered walkway	nothing	flow, lighting, no trendy colors like purple...it gets outdated
1502	2	1	3	High ceiling in central area	long covered area for pickup/dropoff	not sure	Covered jetbridges larger waiting areas with USB charging stations multiple baggage pickup areas
1503	2	1	3	Design and outline!!	Outline	None	Parking!! Drop off and Pick up
1504	1	2	3	The openness and modern design.	How it matches the rest of the airport already	It's just pretty outdated in appearance so nothing.	Food offerings, cleanliness, and modern facilities
1505	1	2	3	energy efficiency, smaller footprint, the interior design	brick color on the exterior; nursing rooms, quiet rooms, and pet relief areas	the image of Farout Field or some representation of the University of Missouri for recruits to see when they arrive	accessibility clean bathrooms with space for bags and coats (and strong coat hangers that don't break) wi-fi service
1506	1	2	3	Love that it is clean and modern looking, but not over the top. Think a simple design like that will stay in style for years.	I like the windows in option B. One of the things that drives me crazy about the Columbia airport is that there is nothing to look at. You just go sit in a box with other people for potentially hours if you have a layover.	I don't like the use of colors. By the time we get another airport remodel, you may decide to rebrand COU. I wouldn't dedicate myself to using the colors of the brand as design elements	Windows, and more space. It is very crowded currently.
1507	3	1	2	Openness, sunlight	x	x	convenience, efficiency

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1508	1	3	2	Lots of natural light	More natural light	Some natural light	I travel a lot. The biggest difference between the feel of airports is how confining they feel. You spend your flights locked in a metal tube - you want your airport experience to feel spacious and full of light.
1509	2	1	3	looks modern	lots of windows for sunlight to get in	Too much brick, too little windows, looks to similar to our current model	quick security checkpoint, restaurant behind gate, clean and spacious waiting areas with enough capacity for each flight in the respective gate, easy parking access
1510	2	1	3	Easy access to plane, bright two story interior.	The high ceilings. The four	Nothing. It has no architectural characteristics that feature the Mid America. Would not be easy for expansion in the future.	Accessibility for all, free parking, easy transportation to either Jefferson City or Columbia. Easy access to charge devices.
1511	2	1	3	Least "boxy" of the three	Clean brick appearance	No American flag	Ease/efficiency of check in and security
1512	2	1	3	I prefer the open interior layout and windows to allow for natural light.	Windows for natural light	N/A	Open with a lot of natural light, organized
1513	1	3	2	Look	Safety	Windows concerns . Safety glass ?	Accessibility, security & flow
1514	1	2	3	Natural light	Closer parking	Looks like the current dumpy airport;	natural light and cleanliness; outlets
1515	1	2	3	It's bright and ipen	I like the color design	?	Ease of entry
1516	1	3	2	Long cover over sidewalk	Lots of Windows	Dated designs	Easy to get in and out
1517	1	3	2	Most airports have 2 levels where most of your operations are carried out in the lower level, which makes sense. Also, the over all footprint is smaller which allows room for growth.	Just appeals to me more than option B	nothing	Access and functionality.
1518	1	3	2	The size and height of the building is most appealing to me.	I think the covered area of the side walk is very nice	I don't like option b as it doesn't have enough curb that is covered to keep you out of the weather	Size and comfortable
1519	1	2	3	Second floor boarding and deplaning	Entry way	Nothing. See below	I am not concerned so much with the exterior of the terminal. It's just important to have jet bridge connection to the planes and ease of boarding for people with disabilities.
1520	2	1	3	Natural light and openness. Pedestrian-friendly walkway to parking lot.	covered drop off area, big skylights	clearly labeled pickup area	Comfortable departure gate/lounge area where it wouldn't suck to be stuck for an extra couple of hours.
1521	1	2	3	access to daylight, welcoming. curbside canopy for drop-offs and pickups	open front hall	awkward approach - disjointed. dark. looks like a gym not an airport.	easy access. clear layout from arrival at the site all the way to the gate. bright. not institutional.
1522	1	2	3	I just like the appearance much better than the other two	I do like the windows	I do not the the interior designs and colors at all	Easy on the passengers, economical and sturdy
1523	1	3	2	A	B	C	Accessibility. The airport should have a seamless layout that isn't confusing for visitors.



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1524	1	2	3	I like the clean modern look of A.	I like the second floor entry, which allows for larger planes.	The features in C have a cheap feel.	Clean, open, more space!
1525	1	3	2	Functional	Hard to say	I don't know	Convenience
1526	3	1	2	the ease of dropoff, steps from the parking lot making it easier to access the building - still looks small but a better look	The branding, the overhangs , the wide parking lot	the labeling of ticket lobby, the three way lane, very simple	ease of dropoff/pickup, lighting, modern
1527	1	2	3	Lighter color looks better	Open	Too much brick	Inviting
1528	1	3	2	The brick exterior and the boarding of planes from the second floor.	I like the brick exterior and boarding from a second floor.	I like some of the material choices better than the other 2.	PLENTRY of room for people to sit while they wait for boarding - particularly if there are delays (which often occur). Internet connectivity. Plenty of space for TSA checking so people are not on top of each other. Wide walkways from the parking lot to the terminal.
1529	2	1	3	Glass walls	Awning	N/A	It not looking like the current terminal. It's a depressing sight.
1530	3	1	2	I like the overall look better and the inclusion of a memorabilia wall in the interior	I like the bright colors, and that the Arrivals and Departures doors are easily distinguished.	It's clean and open.	Visual interest and and easy to navigate.
1531	2	1	3	Modern, airy design. Lots of windows, but warm feel as well	Big windows	Big windows	Spacious, easy to navigate, seating areas, lots of windows. Modern design, but a warm feel.
1532	2	3	1	Separate Arrival and departing entries	Jet Bridge	Too Much Glass on the front	Jet Bridge
1533	1	2	3	Second story	Open feel	None	Ease of access to boarding and unboarding
1534	1	2	3	overhang	overhang	overhang	accessibility
1535	1	2	3	2 stories	Dont like anything but a	Dont like anything but a	I want terminal a
1536	3	1	2	More angles adding interest to a plain brick building	Simple	Brick and white	Columbia needs a better area when waiting for flight after security. If you need to go to the bathroom to bad.
1537	2	1	3	The glass windows	brick	box design looks Cheap and plain	Food court, visuals. Have lots of open windows. Also power outlets to charge devices while waiting
1538	1	3	2	Second story with covered jetways to board and deplane.	None, really.	None, really.	Glass, windows, open feeling, covered jetways, restaurant and bar behind security.
1539	1	3	2	Large windows	Large windows	Dark	Good flow and space
1540	2	1	3	Exterior lighting	Large canopy covering	Small canopies covering entrances	Restaurants and speedy security check in
1541	2	1	3	Entrance openness with clerestory windows	It isn't option C	Nothing. Looks like a big brick box	Ease of moving through. You need to address the pick up transportation problem in the current airport. When a plane arrives there is a very long line of people waiting to pick up flyers. Then when people start departing the terminal there is a rush to the front and mass confusion and road blockage.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1542	2	3	1	Raised boarding area to oversee the field as well as accommodate larger planes as flights grow	Small footprint to allow for future expansion.	N/a	Comfort while waiting, access to power and WiFi while waiting, ample dining options
1543	3	1	2	Lots of natural lighting; covered drive up access; pleasing exterior design.	Signage on the building	High windows; more covered drive up access.	Spacious and efficient; beautiful, inside and out (display local art inside, and promote our incredible community)
1544	2	1	3	Grander entrance. Light/airy entrance and feel.	Windows on southern end.	Double-overhang. Logo on the building.	Grand scale, obvious and accessible drop-off and pick-up. Signage and instructions are important. Observation area would be nice.
1545	2	1	3	More streamlined and appealing to the eye	Good question, option A is okay, but just that, it's too blocky looking.	Nothing. Option C is just unappealing.	Does it do what I need it to do? Pretty much is what matters to me.
1546	3	2	1	Departures and Arrivals are clearly demarcated in the rendering	Larger curbside passenger area, option C has a lot of potential curbside dropoff/pickup area dedicated to landscaping	The large awning for curbside passengers	1) Efficient security with multiple regular lanes and/or truly dedicated TSA precheck lane during periods of high passenger volume 2) Enough seating space beyond security for all ticketed passengers 3) Enough restroom capacity beyond security for all ticketed passengers 4) Larger restroom stalls before security to accommodate for luggage and a person sitting
1547	2	1	3	Both	Exterior is lighter	Nothing	Easy access. Room to move around.
1548	2	1	3	I love the tie in to Missouri history and 1 level and the quiet and nursing mother areas.	Brick that matches surrounding buildings.	Nothing	Easy and intuitive to use! One level is nice.
1549	1	3	2	B	Glass	Nothing	Entry way
1550	2	1	3	It's chic	I don't really like it at all	I don't really like it at all	Adequate parking, good flow from ticket counter, to security, to gate
1551	2	1	3	The futuristic looking design It stands out much more than the others. Also the brightness of it	Looks like a school design	Looks soooooo boring and dated	Ease of use, attractiveness, utility, and uniqueness
1552	2	3	1	more parking!	Energy efficient	Covered passenger drop off	Dropping off a passenger so I don't have to walk through the rain. Plenty of parking. More security stations
1553	2	1	3	Large Open Areas	Looks Box Like	Looks Dark	Open areas
1554	3	1	2	Big glass atrium.	None	Not enough glass.	Plenty of room for different lines to stand in.
1555	2	1	3	Lots of large windows for a bright interior!	The longer canopy.	None	Space and ease of use.
1556	2	1	3	Could be the lighting. The dynamic shapes and look.	Looks like an economical solution.	It is not as welcoming.	Expansion in the future.
1557	3	1	2	The open look of the windowed front face. It will allow a LOT of natural light into the terminal.	N/A	N/A	Water bottle filling stations!! Drinking fountains do not cut it when filling bottles. Enough capacity at security to not cause bottlenecks. Plenty of food choices inside of security. Seating with USB power ports for charging devices while waiting.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1558	1	2	3	I like the glass which will allow light and make the terminal seem larger.	The canopy is very large and would great for customers.	This is very basic and includes no design element.	I feel that customer service must remain a top priority. The current airport considers its passengers a top priority. One time I had an employee help change my tire. You will never see this at another airport. That is what must continue in the new airport.
1559	3	1	2	exterior #1 (B) but would be better if there is canopy like #1 for drop off or pick up interior #1 (B) one floor, no escalator and natural light	exterior & interior # 2 (C) like description when they say low cost, using most what we have and remodeling	#3 (A) exterior like the canopy to protect for the weather interior did not like at all, not welcoming, have stairs, will be noisy	small so we do not have waste time walking around. Easy to pull out to drop or pick up someone. Have public transit available, so we do not need to drive.
1560	2	1	3	Modern look. Looks less like a high school than the other two.	The color scheme, more light colors	The overhang.	Ease of navigation once inside.
1561	2	1	3	glass	n/a	nothing	natural light
1562	3	1	2	The varied shapes on the indoor spaces - not one giant rectangle or square - feels less like a warehouse and more like an intentional design.	Nothing in particular	Nothing in particular	Enough space to keep security not cramped or spilling over to other areas of the terminal. Comfortable waiting areas for flights with adequate electrical outlets.
1563	3	1	2	Attractive, interesting exterior. Open and bright interior.	I like the open concourse.	The high ceilings and windows.	Easy access, lots of space in holding areas. Convenient parking.
1564	1	2	3	More clean lines with white helps the space look more modernized. The interior is what made this option 1 for me. More windows for natural light in the space.	The entrance is more inviting because of the windows and natural light.	This exterior looks like what it is now and more like a high school. The interior has too much color and will become dated very quickly.	Natural light and open space. Muted and clean colors.
1565	2	1	3	The brick and stone.	The glass.	The awnings.	Food options. Lounging space. WiFi. Cozy. Should feel like your entering a space that is pleasant and calm. The stress of travel is so much these days. This is really an opportunity to change that perception.
1566	2	1	3	lots of glass, open feel.	Lots of natural light, covered drop off area	very closed off	lots of light, windows, open feel
1567	1	2	3	The clean lines and honest materials result in a modern contemporary design befitting a local civic airport terminal. The large dropoff area and open inviting space on the entire make for a pleasant experience.	The large entry atrium.	None.	Intuitive layout and wayfinding, warm yet open space, ease of drop-off and pick-up.
1568	1	3	2	A appears to have far superior space all the way around in addition to expansion opportunities In The future.	The look.	Nonez	Terminal to plane direct access- no outside stairs etc.
1569	2	1	3	spaciousness, visually pleasing and incorporates the local topography	energy efficient heating and cooling, compact footprint	increased parking	Restaurants, restrooms and shops in the secure areas and the ability to get around without having to walk a long way.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1570	1	2	3	An extended area for passenger drop off and pickup with protection from rain/show.	Lower vertical profile.	Very dark and industrial looking.	Ease of entry/exit and fast passage through TSA.
1571	1	2	3	2 stories for option A	The overhang	I don't really like the look of option 3	Good parking, professional access, streamlined strategy
1572	1	2	3	Clean colors, bright welcoming	Lots of light	I don't like a lot of color wverywhere	Spacious. Easy to move luggage, seating with chargers and outlets
1573	3	1	2	Windows, lots of light	Looks more modern	Too blocky/doesn't seem as functional	Sunlight
1574	1	3	2	Windows. Two story building allowing boarding and future expansion	Clear indications of Arrivals and Departures. Building tones.	Nothing stands out.	Efficient and cost effective construction. Allows for future growth. Allows for mixed aircraft types. Efficient operations for quick entry and exit/baggage claim.
1575	1	2	3	use of motorized solar fins	potential for exterior lighting	nothing	I want to know what airport I am in, easily navigatable, intuitive, "airy" spaces, choice of seating options in the gate hold, bigger restroom stalls, views to the airfield operations from a second level
1576	1	2	3	Not seeing interior features... They all look too corporate (i guess the point). Why not have a competition and get the best design through a fair process?	That's not how design should work. Are you basically telling architects, "hey, enough ideas out of you, just copy what the other proposal is doing" You suck!	Looks like a developer created this in sketch up - i'd say the whole thing is a waste of money - who's going to fly anymore anyway?	Originality and integrity of architecture.
1577	2	3	1	Inclusion of drop off lanes, ease of determining arrivals/departures especially for pick ups. The interior looks to have ample seating options.	I like the covered awning in the front. The second story design is also ok.	I don't like the atrium like feeling of option A. I'd rather have a more spacious area for the waiting area/gate area than in the area before airport screenings. I don't like having the ticket area pushed into the corner.	Comfortable and plentiful seating at gate areas as well as baggage claim/waiting for pick ups. Food options and areas to charge electronics.
1578	2	1	3	The glass entryway, and the high ceilings inside.	The canopy	The large exterior windows	Ease of accessibility and open interior space
1579	3	1	2	The exterior entrance and warmth of the interior	nothing	Absolutely nothing, it feels sterile, like a hospital or medical facility. Option A is not welcoming at all.	Bright, open, warm
1580	3	2	1	C	B	A	Opinion C is the best. The others look like horrible 1960s buildings
1581	2	1	3	I love all the windows. I like the transparency and feel in this day in age, it's better for security to have an airport have more visibility.	I like the light colors, it gives it a modern and sophisticated look.	I like the COU sign on the side of the building. Honestly, I don't like anything else about this rendering.	Security and easy drop off/pick-up for customers.
1582	2	1	3	The overhang appears to be a part of the building and not an afterthought.	The appearance of lots of light.	Nothing	East of use
1583	3	2	1	Classic lines and the awning isn't overpowering.	High atrium	Nothing	Visual appeal and convenience.
1584	2	3	1	I like the defined interior space, the small footprint, and the open space.	I like the second floor for community space.	I love the exterior look; however, this design provided the least amount of information on the interior.	Easy access to drop off and and pick up, appropriate amounts of space for waiting, amenities such as restrooms, food, etc.
1585	3	1	2	like outside appearance and open space inside.	Like open space inside. outside ok.	inside seems cramped. like outside very much.	open space inside.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1586	1	2	3	It looks like a real airport that people will enjoy going to.	The glass and awning.	It looks exactly like what is there now. Like a school and a small town airport.	Proper security for quick screening, adequate coffee and snack availability at a cafe. Proper luggage pick up.
1587	2	1	3	The outside has a nice modern look and will still fit with the surrounding buildings/area without being over the top. It looks to be very open inside with recognition of the history	I like the two story concept which would make easier flow of people.	I like the separate entrances for arrivals and departures	Open air so people can move without getting overly congested together. Jet bridges. Food and drink service.
1588	2	3	1	Brick color. Other two are a bit too mid century modern	Glass along top of building to allow natural light in	the roof canopy coming off the second story roofline added a touch of grandeur	Flow is easy and allows for uncontested flows. Amenities such as restrooms, restaurants and comfortable seating areas with TVs and charging stations
1589	1	3	2	Clean light design. More windows. Larger. Covered drive up parking drop off & pick up in front. Larger with Two floors. With likely Better foot Traffic flow inside- no crossing or congestion. Area for restaurant with entrance from arrivals and departure areas. Appears to be better able to expand later if needed.	Covered exit walk way.	Warm colors. More traditional appearance.	Easy drop off & pick up areas. More open appearance. Bright & light with windows. Less foot traffic congestion inside.
1590	2	1	3	Lots of glass, good light entry, and expansive views of the outdoors.	n/a	n/a	External appearance of airport
1591	1	3	2	The airline checkin right there as you walk in	I like the small footprint idea	None	Ease of entry. Not,too much walking. It's hard to rank these without seeing the entire floorplans.
1592	2	1	3	ABSOLUTELY the WORST designs I've ever seen! Looks like a post office, elementary school and a warehouse with loading docks!! PLEASE go back and research airports across the US and start over from scratch--and don't use the existing shell of a building, redesign the entire structure! Otherwise, why even bother at all???!?	none	none	should be an aesthetically pleasing design, a little more updated than these
1593	2	3	1	Well thought functional proposal which provided the most information. Separate entrance and exit is a good idea.	The two story plan has some advantages.	Can't say. Very vague and superficial information.	Efficient ticket & security processing and movement of people. Comfortable waiting area. Clean restrooms. Good restaurant. Free or nominal cost long term parking but charge more for short term parking. Better ground transportation options. P.S. It would have been helpful if you had provided floor plans to facilitate evaluation of the proposals.
1594	2	1	3	The glass/brightens and breaks up the brick and breaks up the brick and makes it not look so boxy. Love the interior design elements being part of the area and bright. Flow seems better too.	Again I like the windows and using more than just brick but I do think it looks boxy. A second level is fine but what is the purpose and are there escalators. Seems like waste of space.	Nothing on exterior It's a dark big blah brick box. Not enough design features. like it does now. Interior looks like Kansas City airport which is not good.	Uplifting places to sit and wait. Nice bathrooms and places to eat after going through security which is why KC airport is bad.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1595	3	1	2	I like the glass front and the open, bright, and spacious interior.	Second floor access, although not sure what this would contain... food/coffee options perhaps?	N/A	Open and spacious. Ability to see the outside environment. Restaurant and food options.
1596	1	2	3	Two story design.	Nothing.	Darker brick facade.	Ability to expand with ease as needed, fluid traffic pattern.
1597	3	2	1	Easy access	Most economical	Comfortable seating, nursing rooms	Ease of access: free parking, quick entry/exit, comfortable waiting area, access to healthy food and drink options
1598	3	2	1	I like that it's two floors. And I like the distinctiveness of the doorways of the terminal. I like that on the air side you're a little higher up.	I like the openness and the use of windows in my second favored option. It seems like it would be a bright terminal, which I don't see in my most favored or least favored option.	I like the canopy, and boarding the planes from the second level.	It needs to be easy to get through the TSA screening area without a hassle. An airport of Columbia's size should be able to get everyone through security easily almost all the time. A new airport should be built with this in mind.
1599	2	3	1	The brick and cover	The open and brick	Seems outdated	Easy access and free parking
1600	3	1	2	Defined entrance	Drop off location	Airside restrooms	Clear wayfinding signage
1601	1	2	3	Option B has more contrast which helps it's architectural appeal.	Still has more appeal than C	It's just a big box.	Good amenities for food and drink. Clean. Good bathrooms and well planned and designed.
1602	2	1	3	the glass facade, the interior color palate, and textile choices. The brick gives it a midwestern feel that none of the other options have.	The glass/natural light and signage.	Not much. It looks like a Pepsi warehouse with all of the signage and the colors will not be comforting for stressed travelers.	Light!!!! The interior of the building is really important in the experience of traveling and I think you want to provide a comforting environment.
1603	3	1	2	The windows	Windows	Awning	Ease of access.
1604	1	2	3	Awnings	Nothing	Again nothing	Handicap Accessible
1605	2	1	3	High Open Ceiling in the lobby entrance with lots of windows.	I like the exterior finishes.	I do not like this one.	Open space to sit and move around while waiting for your flight. Restaurants would be a plus.
1606	3	2	1	Good overall look on the exterior. Design of the overhangs.	Stairs from Parking Lot	Overhang covering entrances	Access to facility
1607	3	2	1	The expansiveness of it. The wing-like features on the front.	The Gateway. It was really difficult to decide between B & C.	I love the interior of Option A but it just looks like an old 50s building on the exterior.	Dramatic and memorable to travelers who are not from here. Ease of use. Comfort and views.
1608	1	2	3	2 story which allows for more parking.	More welcoming overhang.	More windows? Less stale-looking design.	Parking
1609	1	3	2	Second story boarding	The overall warm aesthetics inside	The look of the exterior with the canopy coverage	NOT having to board outside in the elements and a space to shop/ browse
1610	1	2	3	Looks open and bright	The taller breezeway at the entrance	Nothing	Seating and open space—not feeling too crowded
1611	1	2	3	Finishes, open concept	High, open interior. Light finishes	Feels too dark	Open spaces for amount of travelers.
1612	1	2	3	1 seems very light, bright, and inviting.	It looks like C is very open - good feature	The color scheme is nice	Comfortable seating spaces and amenities - but still small enough to get through quickly.
1613	1	2	3	Two stories, brick, metal panel	The high canopy	Different brick colors	Open area, flow, experience

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1614	1	2	3	stop wasting taxpayer on stupid things like the airport	the airport is never going to be feasible regardless of how much money the City pours into the bottomless pit	use taxpayer money on things we need; like streets with no potholes	City constantly states lower revenues and difficulty operating with the financial resources available so why waste it on a terminal? keep the runway operable for FedEx UPS and USPS.
1615	1	3	2	The finishes seem more timeless and wouldn't become outdated as soon in Option A as they would in Options C or B.	There was a freshness and joy to the design of Option C.	The amount of natural light.	Wayfinding.
1616	2	1	3	Maximizes light and visual exposure from outside to inside and vice versa.	Best entry for persons who are physically challenged.	Dull, heavy exterior	Security Check is not far from gate; Security checks are designed to facilitate process Quick access to baggage claim area after deplaning. Gates with comfortable seating (allowing someone to sleep if necessary) and full accommodation for electronic devices by many users Nearby access of refreshment area to gates Good spacing between rows of seats at gates Easy access to terminal from parking area.
1617	1	2	3	I like the windows and the height of the building.	The large overhang.	The height of the building.	Space. Space to move around without constantly bumping into people.
1618	2	1	3	All of the light	Light	too much dark brick	ease, plenty of space, food/drink options
1619	1	2	3	I like the fact that it's compact, but will allow for easy expansion in the future, and lower costs now.	This is by far the prettiest building, inside and out. I would love to see it be flexible for expansion.	it's just not visually appealing, inside or out. The red....eh.	efficiency of travel and aesthetically pleasing.
1620	2	3	1	Matches current brand aesthetic. 2 story boarding for expansion. Seems like the cheapest option with room for future expansion which may be important given current economic climate.	2 story, looked bigger and more modern design. Aesthetic of brand was missing.	Cooler exterior look	Amenities ie. restaurant, ease of entry and exit, efficient TSA, aesthetic that matches the area it is in. Charlet has rocking chairs and trees in its main lobby, Miami has a miami heat store in the airport, KC has a BBQ restaurant in one of its terminal. Obviously those are much larger airports but the point is something that is unique to Columbia not just Missouri in general. Rock bridge Park, the Pinacles, Mizzou, local restaurants like Flatbranch. Things like that, something that quintessentially says, "Welcome to Columbia Missouri" that doesn't literally say welcome to Columbia Missouri. Obviously a sign like that is in every airport on the planet, but as the saying goes "show it don't say it".

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1621	2	1	3	Expansive and open entry way is attractive and easy to navigate	Two story design allows for expansion to larger planes using gateways.	The solid brick look is plain and dark. The individual entry points stick out and actually end up. Being more confusing for a small airport.	It was very difficult to vote on these options because the functional descriptions took back seat to architectural and efficiency. The new design needs to allow for easy flow of travelers through all the stages of air travel. It should be intuitive and open. It should include amenities that travelers expect, like coffee shops.
1622	3	1	2	This is the most inviting and pleasing to the eye. I love how they have also incorporated design from Missouri and our history.	Actually, this was a very close second option to option B. From the limited information it sounds like this may be the most economical option and has planned best for the future. I do like the covered areas from drop off. I think Option B has this too but really couldn't tell.	None, this looks very stark, with no relatable references to anything local. It looks like it could exist in any city.	Adjacent ticket to luggage drop off area. I love the fact that parking is easy at COU today. When I get home, it sure is nice to walk out and easily access my vehicle.
1623	1	2	3	The exterior canopy design as well as the interior finishes	Bold entrance	Wings of the canopy over the entrances	Wayfinding - Clean lines - Access to outlets - Comfortable chairs
1624	1	3	2	The second story of option A. Boarding aircraft from a second story seems to make most sense from passenger experience, a shorter (covered?) jetway, and perhaps better for airport workers.	The high FAA AIP eligibility	conditioned boarding bridges	Plenty of room to sit, comfortably, while waiting. Covered arrival and departure areas for vehicles. Open, and airy feel with clean/minimal design.
1625	2	1	3	The windows in front	The awning out front	The COU on the front	spacious, wifi, comfortable seating with electrical outlets, food/drink options
1626	2	1	3	The look is modern and sleek	The second story	Large curbside for pickups, dropoffs.	An airport should have several gates, restaurants, bars, natural light, walk areas, large baggage claims, etc. Basically an airport that can handle half a million people, not one like we have now that's designed for a town of like 3,000 people.
1627	3	2	1	It is very clearly marked entrance and exit doors. The building looks welcoming.	big windows	the overhang but want it to be solid	ease of access to parking and navigating the building
1628	1	2	3	I like that Option A has the most "presence" of the options -- mainly due to the full second level. The natural light is wonderful. I also appreciate the use of "alternate" materials -- I don't understand why there is brick as the primary exterior design element in all three proposals.	Love the central atrium, both for the natural light and the welcoming presence it evokes. The historical elements are awesome as well and play to the welcoming aspect.	I suppose the splash of color? There's not much I like about it. It essentially looks like the existing terminal, which makes zero sense to me.	An airport terminal should obviously first be fully functional before any design aesthetic is put into place. In that vein, I think a second floor at the gates is essential to allow for fully covered jet bridges. NONE of the three options are particularly exciting. They all look like they were design-contest entries from 50 years ago. Where's the creativity and innovation?
1629	3	1	2	The view from inside the terminal and the inviting feeling.	I like the logo and the two carports.	I do not like this one at all.	Easily accessible from the vehicle to the terminal. Simple and inviting, with some history. Clean and organized. I would like to see the police and fire rescue near the terminal. This will create transparency while allowing quick response from them.



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1630	2	3	1	I like that it is thinking to the future. Have seen too many construction projects that are outdated for needs immediately when they are completed.	Like the energy efficiency.	Customer comfort always nice.	Ease in parking and getting around the terminal. Adequate restroom facilities.
1631	1	2	3	Variety of exterior materials. Bright clean interior spaces.	I like the incorporation of the Stephens College "aviation" sign in the interior.	None.	Easy parking, open interior areas, concession area, televisions, wi-fi, charging stations
1632	2	1	3	I like the awning and entrance to B.	no comment.	No comment.	Ease of movement and access to gates and baggage claim.
1633	3	2	1	I like the retro feel of option C	I like the open look	It's a more modern look, which doesn't fit the area. However the light and brightness could be incorporated.	Cleanliness, efficient
1634	2	1	3	open floor plan - open space and natural light with large windows	covered entrance	Modern look and monochromatic color options	Ease of access - food selection - large bathrooms and family bathrooms - priority lanes for security checkin - CLEAR and TSA Pre - on time flights And competent staffing
1635	1	2	3	The minimalism and clean design and coloring	The large amount of windows	the big open terminal	Nice seating, art to look at, clearly labeled signs of where to go
1636	1	2	3	A	B	C	Most energy efficient and overall building and operating cost. Need to stay economical and within budget to maintain constant usage and not go downhill in just a few years.
1637	2	1	3	Looks nice	Looks nice	Looks ugly	capacity to grow
1638	1	2	3	Have we looked at Springfield MO's airport? awesome, why not replicate that?	which designs are more cost effective and logistically better for on-boarding/off-boarding plains?	the one that is most expensive and/or least operationally feasible.	make sure it is expandable, focus on airports/communities we want to be like(see Springfield MO). Don't do something to just get by.
1639	1	2	3	I like the split levels and it seems like there is room to expand the airport in the future	Option B is my favorite exterior, it is the most welcoming.	I think Option C is ugly. I do not like the colors, or the exterior. It does not represent Columbia well. It looks outdated already.	Ease of getting around, I want things to be easy to find.
1640	1	2	3	The 2nd story and all the windows, also the colors are classic and will last longer than bold colors.	The exterior is very appealing	The interior choice of colors is terrible. That looks dated already. Use more industrial colors.	More space, parking, interior, check-in, also make sure more type of food or drink options.
1641	1	2	3	Bright	Looks cool	Red color	Comfortable seating and easy security access
1642	2	1	3	Love the glass.	Again, I like the glass effects.	None	None
1643	2	1	3	Light and lots of windows. Being able to easily see where I need to go.	The big overhang out front leaves plenty of room to stand outside rain or shine.	The fact that there is free parking in the parking lot.	Easy access, lots of windows, bathrooms, outside overhang, and no crazy color schemes.
1644	2	1	3	Lots of 2-story windows on the front. Open and airy	Long canopy along the curb to allow passengers to get out of the weather while entering the building.	Interior colors are nice	Access to food, beverage and restrooms once you pass security. More than one security gate assess point. Lines are often too long through one gate.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1645	2	1	3	I love the natural light.	Covered loading/u loading area.	It looks more like a beverage bottling company headquarters than an airport terminal.	I like when dining options are easily available inside the gate area. Unlike KCI. Restrooms with a table in the toilet area and coat hanger to hang you carryon or shoulder bag at urinal. Placing a bag in the floor in a restroom is disgusting.
1646	2	1	3	Glass look - natural lighting	Spread out vs tall and compact	Option C - don't like the all brick. Looks too much of the same right now.	- fast TSA Checkpoints - clean bathrooms - on time departures and arrivals - carry on baggage on terminal and don't have to wait inside for it.
1647	3	1	2	Lots of high ceilings with floor to ceiling windows with great visibility.	Separate arrivals/departures entrances	The overhang in front of the building	Comfortability and calming designs. People spend many hours sometimes stressful in airports, the focus should be on comfortable seating and ease of flow through terminal, with open floor plans and high ceilings with walls of windows to comfort the passengers.
1648	3	2	1	Love the logo and color palate inside and out. Designated entries for arrivals, departures is smart. Interior is very eye appealing.	Unsure.	Like the large, extended exterior walkway roof. Provides much more protection from the weather.	Space to accommodate crowd. Good signage to tell me where to go. Comfortable seating in waiting areas. No stairs. Cafe or vending machines for beverages and food. Clean and accessible restrooms.
1649	1	3	2	Love the compact, two story-design - leaves more of the site for green space and natural features.	Prefer the dark color brick and the wall sign	N/A	Easy access to amenities - parking, restrooms and spacious waiting areas
1650	2	1	3	The modernity of the outside. It's exciting and makes a statement compared the other options.	I like the white, cleanness appearance of the interior in this design.	While the colors are COU's colors, implementing them in all the aspect of the design makes it look immediately dated.	Modernity, cleanliness, ease to use, spaciousness and security.
1651	3	1	2	I Love how SPACIOUS that it is! Entering into an airport the first thing I need is SPACE to double check that I have everything I need (from the car) and also get my groundings in where I need to go. I LOVE how this option gives room for all sorts of people coming and going to have SPACE to move through (and into!) the airport. Coming and going you really need space, especially in a busy airport. Also it just looks grand and awesome! Also I really like the green-blue color pallet. Anything to bring nature indoors is a GIFT. Love the Stephens College historical representation too!	I like the Wall photos (historical photos) on this one. Would be great to see Stephens College, Univ of Missouri, and even Columbia College ... historical (pre 1930s) photos here, as well as the Capital in Jefferson City! Historical Mid-Missouri representation (lets show the nation how awesome Mid-Missouri is and has been!) for a national Mid-Missouri Airport. Also love the use of plants. Please bring nature into the airport, it creates a calming environment, and traveling is super stressful.	I like clean clear glass usage, escalators in addition to stairs, and the use of Green pallet. Also love the use of outdoor Art Sculpture. Even better if it is commissioned from local artists!	SPACE. I need space, it's one thing that really separates great airports from horrible ones. Give us space, space to move, space to get oriented, space when walking into the airport (!).. all of it. Also in thinking of the world moving forward (post COVID) space is extra useful for health issues! Do not block walkways with kiosks, do not crowd the enterance, give us SPACE.
1652	2	3	1	solid to withstand weather events	not sure	not sure	solid construction; easy drop off; smooth security transition with enough room; free parking for this airport

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1653	1	2	3	Long awning	Wood and brick interior	Did not like the bright colors. Felt like it was designed for kids, like a playground or preschool.	Clear signage and obviously flow to know where to go, large open halls for ease of moving through crowds and lines
1654	1	2	3	The light colored openness	Nothing really. Too dark	Not much.	Ease of moving about from entrance to boarding.
1655	3	1	2	street appeal	na	color	ease of navigation fir everone
1656	1	2	3	It's larger and has an upstairs, more natural lighting	It looks very modern	It looks almost the exact same as it does now. Too much brick	Somewhere to relax and a place to grab food between flights or when flights are delayed.
1657	3	2	1	Clean and simple	I like the overhang that goes over the doors	This one doesn't look like an airport to me and something about it looks out-dated	clear, easy and quick path to each point I need to make inside the airport
1658	3	2	1	Easy to navigate	Windows for lighting and openness	Simple drop off and pick up area	Easy to navigate, open concept, spacious, friendly atmosphere
1659	3	1	2	I like the warm finishings of B and the windows. I like the use of the historic Stephens beam, but make sure it is clear why that is there. Should also give a nod to Mizzou and Columbia College so all 3 are represented.	I like the COU logo on the outside of the building on Option C and I like the clear top awning of option C.	If you choose A please make it a little less industrial and boxy.	Windows, space for those waiting, restaurants
1660	1	2	3	Seems most practical and clean	Seems very clean	Very simple	Clean cut features and modern
1661	2	1	3	Very classic and aesthetically pleasing.	Efficient clean look.	Incorporate some landscape features small flower garden, water feature (fountain or pond). Some small shrubs.	Safe secure parking. Easy fast check in process.
1662	3	2	1	Increased parking	passenger comfort	energy efficient	flights to more locations
1663	3	1	2	Awning/roof structure	COU Logo and awnings	N/A	Looks cool and has fun stuff to do while waiting for a flight
1664	1	3	2	More open	Simple	All butchered up	Nice open floor plan simple cost less having all the butchering of it up
1665	3	1	2	Glass front showing an open interior	Separate arrivals and departures entrances, and the COU logo	Mix of brick and white giving a brighter feel	Good flow, ample seating, accessibility for those with disabilities
1666	1	2	3	I like the exterior look of B best, but the exterior look of A is a close second. I prefer the interior two-story approach of A and that's why it goes to my number 1 choice.	Option B is certainly the most "striking" design, but I think that the interior of option A is more functional.	Honestly -- and it may just be the drawing -- but the entrances to option c look like mini Butler buildings.	The two-story approach seems to me to emulate larger airports. I think that it's more secure when the folks that are outgoing are separated by those incoming by floors. I would think that it's more floor space within the same footprint.
1667	3	2	1	Minimal remodeling	Aesthetically pleasing	Kinda ugly	Practicality, comfortable waiting areas, quiet spaces, views out over operations
1668	1	2	3	More light and colors are more welcoming	Interior design theme	None	Clear directions and signage, new mothers' rooms, views of airfield
1669	2	3	1	The environmental protection aspects. Option C reminds me of what is already there. I like the three lanes of drop off. I like the amenities.	Option A is also has green issues. Updating the airport is only as good as the energy and resource it saves.	Solar.	Waiting room seating and multiple bathrooms.
1670	2	1	3	The glass expanse of the front view that shows the natural light of the area.	The brick and glass look	Not enough glass to showcase the natural light.	Ease of the in and out dropping off or picking up a passenger at the airport.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1671	3	1	2	B	A	C	Looks
1672	2	1	3	Bridge to plane	Holding area	N/A	Larger waiting area and bridge to plane are an absolute must which are already incorporated into these designs.
1673	2	1	3	The overall appearance.	Overall design	Looks too dark and forboding.	More space, Clean and comfortable
1674	2	1	3	I like option 2.. The colors and all windows the front of the building	all windows front	Windows	Windows and colors — Option 2 is perfect
1675	3	1	2	red brick	2 drop off points	it's not bad. just not my favorite.	food and large waiting area.
1676	1	2	3	Lots of windows & natural light.	Tall center atrium thing looks cool.	Looks sturdy & I like the logo on the building.	Safety from threats - people, weather, etc. Sensible layout for entry/exit, waiting, baggage, drop offs, etc.
1677	2	3	1	the larger holding rooms	all the glasswork. it makes the airport feel more modern and open giving the airport a newer feel versus the other two.	looks too much like the arc.	the ability for expansion in the future while maximizing the use of bigger planes.
1678	1	3	2	Like: Lots of light, glass. Dislike: Steps, not escalators to 2d floor? Lug carryon luggage up stairs?	Dislike the exterior, but love the interior (not enough visual in #1 to get a full sense of #1 interior).	Seems to be a 1-story. Climb steps to board planes? Board planes outdoors in the rain, snow?	covered jetways to board, disembark planes. No climbing stairs.
1679	2	1	3	Option B- The high roof at the font entry connects the ground with sky. It is an attractive and welcoming entryway and would serve as a prominent, distinguishing feature that would be instantly recognizable as COU. The interior of the large main commons area with high ceilings and high windows serves as a grand gallery showing off the airport's rich history. This design seems more mature and easier to navigate with line of site across the hole building.	Option A- The two story design idea is an interesting one with the benefits of reducing the grade of the terminal drive, shortening the passenger boarding bridges and leaving more of the site open. But the look and feel of the design seem out of place for COU. This design does not give off the grand/ welcoming entry that Option B does. Also, a two story terminal adds a whole new level complexing for construction. ADA seems to be a concern along with safety with the need for multiple stairs/escalators/elevators as well as the required space these items take up in the terminal. Code requirements for a building of this type going from single story to two story play a factor as well. The structure of a single story building is far simpler than a two story building. It is interesting the think about the cost verse benefit implications of going from one story to two stories. Maybe the concept of raising the airside of the terminal to shorten boarding bridges could be incorporated into to the Option B design utilizing ramps, instead of stairs/escalators/elevators.	Option C- There is a very clear separation of the entry verse exit doors of the terminal in this design. Option B seems to have one large entryway for both entry and exit. It seems like there are pros and cons of separating verse combining the entry/exit. Maybe some studies can be done on Option B to determine if separating the entry/exit would improve the design or not.	A large open space with line of site to ticketing, security screening, baggage pickup, restrooms, exterior drop off/pick up lane, such as with option B, would put visitors at ease knowing where everything important is as soon as they walk in the door. Safety is also very important in a terminal, a large open space on a single level provides a feeling of security knowing you can see and be seen throughout the terminal.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1680	3	2	1	Less glass, better footprint. Also important for extreme weather conditions. Expandable as we need to encourage more airlines for Columbia's continued growth, including business.	Aesthetically very nice appearance. Extensive glass is a concern both extreme weather wise and environmental wise.	It's a combination of 1 and 2.	To me the most important concern is for expandability so Columbia can obtain more airlines. This will help citizens and businesses while, hopefully, providing lower fares.
1681	2	1	3	The building isn't just a box. It has interest in the massing.	The subdued interior finishes	None	Ease of getting to the terminal and ease of getting around once inside.
1682	1	2	3	Energy Efficiency, modern contemporary look	Looks like a typical airport terminal	None	Good flow patterns for foot traffic
1683	1	2	3	Most sleek and modern looking.	Entry into building looks cool.	None. Looks outdated and colors are also outdated.	Easy to drop off and pick up people. Cleanliness. Safety.
1684	2	1	3	Seems to be more open and inviting	Much as the first one, open feeling	Seems chopped up	Ease to get through
1685	2	1	3	Covered entrance.	Also covered entry.	Nothing, too industrial looking.	Roomy waiting areas. Cafe, snack bar. Roomy baggage claim.
1686	1	3	2	I like how the whole pick-up/drop-off area is covered outside.	I like the color scheme and design, seems more unique.	The tall ceiling in the front looks nice	Easily accessible, easy navigation, and comfortable seating arrangements
1687	1	2	3	The light colored brick, is refreshing and inviting, I like the full run of the canopy this can allow for quick pick up/drop off in any weather. Interior flow should do well this design reminds me of a terminal in Midland Texas, the flow is good, since there is only the lobby area shown on these rendering and not what happens on the other side of security it is hard to give a full analysis but if the design continues to be similar to the MAF terminal then it would remain my top pick, it flows well bottleneck at security should be minimized and there should be ample space in that area if something occurs that causes a deplaning and sending everyone back through.	It's OK, I am not a fan of the focused center point access, I can see congestion and people in my way when trying to get in and to the gate, again since there are no renderings show gate areas, it is hard to assess flow and where security will be - I suggest this be given priority in layout, for example a beautiful terminal in College Station Texas but their security line up was horrible in the beginning I think they had to make modifications to accommodate. I am a fan of two layer ticketing, baggage claim rental cars on lower level. Security, gates, food and beverage, vending, office pods, ample passenger seating on the upper level. Also I cannot see any type of canopy or overhang in front for drop off and pick up.	it is dark and gloom looking, NOT what we need in Columbia to welcome and say good bye to visitors. I do not like the small separate overhangs this will cause congestion. Also people need to be able to walk the length of the terminal outside, to stretch legs, talk on phone, get out of my ear while you talk on your call...	Appearance - be light and inviting, be easy access, from drop off to gate, and easy out from gate to pick up. Baggage claim needs to be spacious, horse shoe belts seem to work well in smaller terminals, then quick and easy access to car rental area and or parking. Waiting at the gate - plenty of seating to be able to spread out while waiting for flight, strong free wifi, overhead TVs with news playing low, preferably not CNN... good quality sound system so announcements can be heard clearly, throughout waiting area and into restrooms, classy, tasteful digital signage throughout Food and Beverage - I know this is hard but some light fare snacks and coffee bar and beverages like water, not just Pepsi products, share with Coke... make sure this is behind security, so not limited. College Station has/had a nice snack counter. Ample outlets for charging and using laptops. the charging areas, seating around a counter or hub with ability to see out is good, not just up against a wall. Similar to STL and DFW. at the gates. Easy access restrooms on both sides of security, on gate side should not have doors so when wheeling luggage around
1688	2	1	3	Lots of windows	Canopy over the entrance	The COU logo on the building	Easy in and out, ability to buy water and snacks in the terminal.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1689	1	3	2	The light exterior and windows looks clean and modern. Really stands out.	The window awnings.	The color red in the interior. It is a color that emotionally raises panic, not great for an airport.	Clear signage Easy to get around especially when busy Ample area for good-byes
1690	2	1	3	Modern	2nd floor	Q	Easy navigation, quick security checkpoints,
1691	1	2	3	I like the balance between the windows letting light in and bricks.	I like the modern look. It kind of looks like a high school building though.	I like how it includes three flags rather than just the American flag.	Modern look, moderate sunlight (but not too much), easy access from parking lot and clear signage
1692	2	1	3	Interior colors and use of space. Expansive, open air feel. Makes a small building feel bigger. Outside design best for both pedestrian and people who need to park and walk closer in.	Interior and exterior colors are great and interior space is well designed. I like the link to the Lewis and Clark history.	For design B. Putting a huge banner promoting Stephens college is not welcomed. All three Columbia colleges should be equally represented somewhere on a wall, but not as designed. The colors for design A are boring, bland, and uninteresting. The building design is the same - boring, bland, and functional. The separate arrival and departure access areas is an interesting concept, but should be placed at opposite ends of the building, not close together. I can see huge traffic issues with the current design of them being so close together.	Free parking. Also need ground parking lot transport circulating for everyone. Your lots are already getting pretty far away and it's a crummy long walk in a thunderstorm or a snowstorm. A variety hubs to fly to. We really need Charlotte. And we really need Delta back since we are in the SEC. As for the building, #1 is by far the least appealing. One more thing. Your entrance sign on the highway is facing the WRONG WAY. You can't see it in the dark or bad weather. It needs to face east and west, not north and south - like every other sign on the planet! I've driven by the entrance road several times. Some small airport designs I really like - Champaign, IL; Lexington, KY.
1693	2	1	3	NA	NA	NA	NA
1694	3	2	1	Growth for 20 years, minimal remodel	High ceilings, lots of light and views out of windows	Full length covered canopy for drop-off, pick-up	Convenience, expansion possibilities and amenities.
1695	1	2	3	I like the tall entry way. Since it is somewhat square, future growth would be easier to expand.	Still like the tall ceilings.	I like the "Arrivals" and "Departure" separation so it is easier to find your way.	These drawings do not include what airside passengers will see. The landslide is important, but the airside is what travelers care about. What creature comforts will there be? I like to be able to "look down" on the aircraft outside, so an upstairs approach to the boarding ramps is desirable. How many gates will there be?
1696	2	1	3	Stephens College Beam & masonry materials	High ceiling	Not sure	Aesthetic design
1697	3	2	1	C, unique	B- open and a lot of glass	A - looks like every other airport	larger gate areas for waiting and gathering luggage plus larger stalls in the bathroom
1698	2	3	1	I like the entrance overhangs	Windows	Looks costly	A reasonable cost
1699	3	1	2	Large windows into the lobby.	Separate arrival and departure entrances.	Second level.	Ease of entrance and view of surroundings.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1700	1	3	2	Simplicity and efficiency	Designated arrival and departure access points make navigating the building easier.	Fewer visually interesting details.	Traffic flow, both vehicular traffic outside the airport and human traffic inside the airport. Roomy waiting areas and efficient non-congested queuing areas for ticketing, baggage check, security and boarding.
1701	1	2	3	Greater separation of arrival exit from departure entrance. More helpful to traffic flow.	Nothing	Nothing Looks like you're arriving to a hotel/motel.	Accessibility; traffic flow, both autos and people. Important to not only build for now but also for the future expansion.
1702	2	1	3	The glass clearstory and high ceilings in the main entry.	Second story jetway connections.	Bright interior accent colors.	Comfortable seating with power access, food court, and news shops inside the secured area.
1703	1	2	3	Liked the bright interior and flow.	Cool design and entrance.	Liked the efficiency of construction.	traffic flow and comfortable interior. While not really that important, i loved the look of my 2nd choice.
1704	2	3	1	It easy to find entrance, the overhangs provide protection from the weather. A bright interior .	b	b	The design that allows for future expansion,
1705	3	1	2	Open glass interior/ exterior. The color scheme interior.	Exterior coverage for incoming and outgoing patrons.	Glass around the top to feel more inviting.	Easy access to departure and arrival.
1706	1	2	3	I like the lighter look to it with the stone, metal, and windows. Upstairs boarding area also seems like a good idea. Nice design. Hope there will be a lot of nice seating and an area to buys snacks beyond the security.	Combo of bricks and windows look nice. Good design. I like the feature over the front entrance.	I didn't really like this design.	Efficiency. Windows. Good seating and plenty of it. Good bathrooms. Snacks for purchase.
1707	1	3	2	1 is cleaner and brighter. The 2 stories open it up for growth	While I like the brightness of 1 I do like the color story of 3	Good window lighting	Easy, accessible, option for future growth
1708	1	2	3	Lighter brighter.	Nothing	Nothing	Maximize energy efficiency. Make it LEED. Carbon -ve with renewable energy powering the facility. Solar PV and domestic hot water.
1709	1	2	3	The brighter exterior is more pleasing to my eye. The expansive covered arrival area is more practical. The upper windows will provide great natural light during the day as well as pleasing lighted appearance at night	The building looks more institutional and the large amount of glass will provide great natural light but heating and air conditioning would be higher. The interior seems less efficient for use of space	The exterior is very plain, boxey, with a lot of dark brick. The interior is somewhat plain also	Open and well lighted, and not to noisy. The flow for passengers should be easy to understand and efficient for navigating with luggage and children
1710	2	1	3	Stop pouring money into an airport that will NEVER have enough travel to sustain itself	Stop pouring money into an airport that will NEVER have enough travel to sustain itself	Stop pouring money into an airport that will NEVER have enough travel to sustain itself	Stop pouring money into an airport that will NEVER have enough travel to sustain itself
1711	3	1	2	The lighting	none	none	easy access
1712	3	1	2	High roof line, wall of glass	Open seating areas, defined doors for Arrivals and Departures.	not sure	Open interior, natural light, availability of future expansion options.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1713	1	2	3	It has adequate curbside weather protection. Best interior that seems spacious and warm with comfortable seating.	Best curbside weather protection. Interior seems somewhat cold with all the white. Ticket counters appear to be similar to the present lobby could be problematic for future growth.	Poorest curbside protection. Inside was uninspiring at first glance. With more passenger traffic it looks like this option would become easily congested.	Good flow and ease of movement with people toting kids and baggage. Do not invest a lot of money into amenities such as restaurants and gift shops etc. because this is a "get in and get out" airport. I love the present airport, but I understand that with growth something else will be needed.
1714	1	2	3	long canopy for curbside drop offs if its raining. Very modern appearance inside and out.	.	.	.
1715	2	1	3	The glass	Glass again	Open it up	Windows, open space,
1716	1	3	2	Natural light and second floor boarding	Second floor boarding	The openness	Ease of getting from the parking lot to the planes and back and a comfortable boarding area with workspaces and good wifi.
1717	2	1	3	I like the expanse of glass to let light in during mornings because the terminal faces SE and is really dark inside in the AM. I also like the solid canopy to block the weather during drop-off / pick-up.	I like the light colors of the interior, and if the second story provided a lounge or waiting area that oversaw the taxiway, that would be very enjoyable.	I don't like the the large looming dark facade with a singular canopy for pick-up/drop off.	VIEWING AREA - It is extremely important for the pleasure of the passengers to be able to see the taxiway while waiting for their flight. FLAT PARKING - The uphill trek to the current airport starts the interaction with every flight in a negative way. Build retaining walls to provide level parking and stairs/ramp from one level to another so it isn't a constant battle with rolling luggage.
1718	3	1	2	Exterior is most inviting of the three. Clear central focus with raised glass area. Looks like a REAL airport not just a small city slight improvement over the old COU airport. Details of the interior, unfortunately are not complete in the photos. Does appear to have nice large open space. I especially OBJECT to the large signage declaring "Stephens College Aviation Department". Yes, Stephens College is here in Columbia, but it is definitely NOT the only or main attraction!!!! Would be great to include background info. about all the colleges and university in the area - BUT NOT A GREAT BIG DOMINANT SIGN for only one!!!!	The INTERIOR is what makes this one my second choice. Nice use of color, nice open spaces, only one that actually shows the waiting area seating. The exterior just looks like a box with tacky tacky metal addition for the entry area.	Exterior: Just looks like a box with an added uninteresting canopy. Very uninspired. Is Ticket Lobby the only needed signage outside? Interior is better than current airport building, but also seems very ordinary not much better. Appears to have little visual design or color inspiration.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Welcoming image as approached.</li> <li>• Clear design or signage so one knows where to enter from outside and also inside.</li> <li>• Interior and exterior design that are welcoming and clean.</li> <li>• Interior that is inviting and comfortable - and preferably not just "ordinary" design.</li> <li>• Overall design that is representative of all the education, higher learning that takes place in Columbia and the area and shows a clear welcome to the midwest - ie better than just any "ordinary" box or smaller town but not exaggeration or over selling.</li> </ul>
1719	3	2	1	clear arrival and departure	open entry	looks like a box store	easy entry and exit. If the circle drive is still in place, then the arrival should proceed the departure.



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1720	1	2	3	Hard to judge as lighting and angles are different and there is no comparison of key elements. From the sketches, A looks best to me but it is rendered in daylight with more detail than the others--not really a helpful comparison.	As a frequent flier, I am not as concerned with the exterior appearance as the internal functionality. Do all three have second-level boarding? Do they accommodate the same parking footprint? Is there consideration of airport lounges? Unknown.	Option C looks like a Casey's just a bit.	Parking, ease of check-in, best TSA experience possible, seating in the gate areas that recognizes that travellers take up two seats, have bags, need charging and leave trash. I am less concerned with brock color.
1721	2	1	3	open and airy, clean, modern	more compact, looks like it may save on utilities	the awning over entrances	light, bright with lots of windows, easy to clean surfaces
1722	1	3	2	Two stories for second story jet bridge access to plane.	Design aesthetics, especially the large great room/atrium.	The aesthetics seemed dark and unfriendly; disliked how the building felt "chopped up"	Ease of access from parking lot to ticketing, quick flow through security into waiting area, ease of access to board plane
1723	1	2	3	A;is light and open.Doesn't deal "closed in".	Open frontal area.	C;deals closed in.	Need to have a snack bar,restaurant.Hard to drink soda out of the vending at 6 Am.Fresh food/snacks,a great thing to have!
1724	1	2	3	While others may look better, number 1 seems more secure and does not allow the hot late afternoon sun in as much as number 2. Would like to have seen the back side of the terminal and how it shows arriving and departing passengers.	I like the looks of number2 the best but don't like the amount of glass present on the West side. Again, would like to have seen what the back side looks like and how it accepts passengers.	This the least attractive building of the three. Looks like a hanger. A rear view may have assisted more in my decision.	Roominess and the way it receives passengers both arrival and departing. While the parking lot is not the terminal, I hope they plan for the future when building a proper size parking lot.
1725	1	2	3	The building itself is simple yet elegant	I like the storefront entrance, however, the braced framing looks tacky	Potentially the fencing, not much of anything really.	open concept, clear signage, bright, welcoming interior, simple clean aesthetic.
1726	1	2	3	2 story construction, plenty of natural light, simple layout for ease of navigation, exterior brick matching surrounding buildings, practical and respectful use of land/building space.	Interior color scheme is more favored for my 2nd "option b", which is something that could be easily altered for my favorite "option a". I think the colors would work well in both day and night times, creating a more relaxing experience for those waiting a longer duration.	I like the exterior of my favorite "option a", no changes.	Ease of navigation, quick restroom location, employees located near all hallways/ intersections in order to get access to help quickly when needed. Option A appears to offer most of these qualities.
1727	2	1	3	I like the all the windows and the seating on the interior.	I like how bright it is on the exterior with all the white. I also like the artwork on the left of the building.	I like that Arrivals and departures are clearly marked.	Entrances are clearly marked, lots of natural sunlight, feels comfortable and stylist on the inside, has an awning across the whole entrance so if it is raining people can get under cover as quickly as possible or don't have to stand in the rain waiting for their ride to pick them up.
1728	2	1	3	Modern design	2nd most modern. Light exterior.	Slanted back. Barn like structure.	Accessibility. Modern feel.
1729	1	2	3	Solar fins and the ease of travel within the building during the check in / check out process.	Historical features.	More modern look and feel.	Ease of use and functionality for the traveler.
1730	2	1	3	Tall open area inside and doesn't look like a reconfigured warehouse.	Canopy out front being wide and the inside looks easy to direct people where to go.	Out front canopies and the supersized photos	Easy to figure out where to go and not too cramped. Shouldn't feel like you're being funneled to a cattle trailer.
1731	1	3	2	Building structure is different than what we now have	Seating	Not creative too much like we already have	Designed for convenience Built for today designed for future.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1732	2	1	3	glass, openness	canopies	Nothing	traffic flow inside the terminal and intuitive signage.
1733	3	1	2	open interior	na	a second floor is not needed and causes issues that are not needed in a terminal this size	ample space while in lines. efficiency. room for growth. plenty of electrical outlets. ability to see from secure to nonsecure area.
1734	1	3	2	The 2 story feel of Option A	More curtain wall and natural light	Not really much. It's very similar to the existing Terminal.	Natural lighting and brick veneer
1735	1	2	3	I like the overhang. It will allow people to stand outside in inclement weather which could be needed post COVID-19.	Windows. We need natural light.	Too much brick on 3.	Light, space, speed.
1736	1	2	3	Modern design. Very aesthetically pleasing to the eye.	N/A	N/A	Efficiency
1737	1	2	3	Two story	Natural lighting	Nothing	Cost
1738	1	2	3	Open feel, natural light. Clean look & design, will be less congestion with security and baggage claim on bottom floor and departing flights on 2nd floor.	natural light	covered entryway from weather	easy flow, clear path/directions, no congestion.
1739	1	3	2	The canopy and glass front makes the building look more modern and not look like a school.	The Logo on the building.	The logo is not very large or easy to see.	Easy to get around.
1740	1	2	3	Functionality of square footage and second story with potential for future expansion.	Smooth flow of traffic through interior.	Nothing stands out	Ease of access, adequate space, flow of foot traffic, important areas (TSA baggage check office) easy to find but secure.
1741	2	3	1	Clear arrival and departure areas on the exterior, Creative use of light fixtures and raised holding area for the interior features.	The vertical fins are a nice feature	The clerestory would be a nice feature	Ease of use (accessibility, flow of floor plan), energy efficiency, long term lifecycle.
1742	1	2	3	Covered front entrance for better drop in and pickup of passengers. Better passenger flow inside terminal.	Covered front entrance. Passenger flow inside terminal fair.	No coverage outside. Fair passenger flow inside.	Easy to enter and exit. Jetways to enter and exit plane. Easy way to claim baggage.
1743	1	2	3	Lots of light. Simplistic.	The outdoor lighting and extra grass space.	The departure drive up.	Simplistic and easy to maneuver and function
1744	1	3	2	airyness, visual appeal of the building.	lighting	none	covered walk way
1745	3	2	1	colors	larger awning at entrance	Awning across the entire front	space for check in, TSA adequate waiting/gate areas food
1746	1	3	2	Seems to be 2 story	Open concept, high ceilings, daylight	Na	Amenities, ease of drop off and pick up
1747	2	3	1	Actually, the exterior is my least favorite but it is described as allowing more parking which is desperately needed.	I really like this option as it looks like it provides plenty of light with the windows on the second level.	It looks much like the current terminal.	Paved parking! I have damaged so much luggage by dragging it over huge rocks.
1748	1	3	2	Second level boarding to allow for shorter skyways. Solar options also are a nice feature.	Options for raised level boarding or ground level boarding.	This design is my least favorable regarding the structural beauty of the building.	Easy boarding access, attractive design, natural light within the structure.
1749	1	2	3	2 story	Everything	None	2 levels

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1750	2	3	1	Compact building footprint equates to less construction, area to maintain, energy consumption; minimizes carbon footprint	Upper level boarding	The history that is in the design	Comfortable seating in the waiting areas
1751	3	2	1	interior view and ease of layout exterior more parking but visually B is better in some ways also presentation bullets is better layout to read	exterior is visually pleasing	exterior looks too clinical interior looks confusing to travelers	ease of resources, efficiency and staff capabilities
1752	3	2	1	The overhangs to protect passengers from weather when dropping off.	Open views of the tarmac.	The overly high ceilings that are unnecessary.	Ease of access to enter and exit. For example: You get off the plane, you can easily find the baggage claim and then easily exit to your vehicle.
1753	1	3	2	Windows Canopy	Brick	Signage	Ease of use
1754	2	3	1	Let me begin by stating that none of these designs offer any kind of creativity. Can you say "shoe box?" What happened to the rendering used to sell the bed tax ballot proposal? That was a cool design. So, for me, option C is the least offensive "shoe box." I like the brick color. It's a much bolder look than the other two designs.	This design is the closest to the design offered to sell the bed tax. Not awful, but uninspiring.	This design looks like an elementary school from the 60's.	This is the gateway to our city. A first impression. Our current terminal is obviously dated, but it has character. These three designs are dull. I would like to see a building that says Columbia is an interesting place. That we value education, culture and aesthetics. Checkout the Duluth or Gibraltar airport terminal designs. I realize we have budget restraints, but maybe we can do something a little more creative. Just one persons opinion.
1755	1	2	3	ext trellis option 1	not crazy about all brick face on option 2	option 3 roof outriggers	easy drop off welcoming entrance
1756	1	3	2	I like the modern business look. The cove from the weather if it's raining you have more cover to get under	Don't care for the look	Doesn't have a second story	The entrance. The transition from drop off to the door and ease of entering building. I also like the two story design. With lots of windows to see out
1757	1	2	3	Streamlined interior design entry canopy	More brick	Exterior signage	flow through facility
1758	1	2	3	Its bright and feels more open, and just "looks" like an airport terminal	Nothing	Nothing	Easy in and easy out. Plenty of room for drop offs and keep traffic flowing as well. Terminal should be open and airy feeling with lots of natural light.
1759	1	2	3	Option A. It is very light and bright. The lighter color choices give a feeling of vibrancy.	Option B, I like the feature of exterior stair.	Option C, I do like some of the pops of color.	Signage/way-finding is very important to me. I want to be able to clearly understand where I am to go.
1760	3	2	1	Option C has Arrival and Departure positions, it just looks Airport (yes I just made that word up)	Option B is aesthetically appealing. It looks a bit like Mid America Airport.	Option A looks like a post office and nothing about it says "Airport" to me.	Clearly labeled differences, ability to have distance between customers, Starbucks.
1761	1	3	2	The modern design and capabilities for expansion. Also the full sized awning for protection for passengers loading and unloading	Separate loading gates	Slope of the architecture	The flow of traffic will make the whole experience much more enjoyable
1762	1	2	3	Glass at top of building	Front lobby	Layout of building	Security

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1763	3	2	1	Color, looks normal	Airy	Looks like a school	Clear directions and clear gate markings, ability to hear the projections
1764	2	1	3	Multi level option	Overhang in the front and all of the light from the glass work	Too boxy looking	Light, space, accessibility
1765	2	1	3	Entrance at the center.	Windows above to allow for sunlight.	Don't think it would allow for easy expansion	Ease of access from parking lots. Plenty of restrooms after a person goes through security.
1766	1	2	3	Compact and attractive design resulting in smaller building footprint. Light-colored exterior surfaces to reduce A/C costs in hot months. Gates on upper level to keep boarding bridges shorter. Open, expansive feel of lower level.	None	Separate entrances/exits for departures/arrivals.	Ample parking on-site - not too distant from terminal. Availability of food and beverages. Lack of crowding.
1767	1	2	3	The white exterior with large windows is very appealing as well as the matching all white interior. It's a clean modern look.	The larger covering of the exterior.	N/a	Easily accessible, open feel with easy to follow signage to find the different areas is the airport, open windows.
1768	2	1	3	The more welcoming and accommodating facade and interior, the interesting historical features documenting the unique story proud heritage of the area making wait time more a more memorable experience.	The aspect of allowing for future growth.	A little pop of color here and there.	Ease of access, comfort during wait times, readily recognizable instructions on where to go.
1769	1	2	3	Option A. Plenty of pick up/drop off space outside with a covered awning. Having plenty of space promotes expansion. Also like the second story of the inside rendering. Makes foot traffic seem to flow easier	I like all the windows incorporated into the second option	The departure and arrival terminals are impossible to confuse. But small	Energy efficient. Useful space not just open space. Good views. Flowing for foot traffic
1770	1	2	3	I like the long terminal drop-off in option A	Option B's entrance glass and facade is appealing to the eyes	The lobby area is nice in option C	Easy to navigate
1771	1	2	3	2 story structure, more compact / efficient building flow for the passenger experience, ease of expansion for future.	Nothing in particular.	Nothing in particular.	Ease of passenger flow through building, consistency with airport passenger flow and layout with the majority of other domestic airports of similar size, and passenger experience.
1772	3	1	2	All the space to move around	separate "arrival" and "departure" areas	two levels	space/room to move around, easy lay out
1773	1	3	2	Long canopy for protection from weather. 2 story option is nice providing better views while waiting on boarding.	I like more masonry than metal panels. Brick is lasting and classic.	Interior wood finishes.	Good flow. I want to be able to get straight to ticketing/check in and not fight cross traffic with other passengers who are picking up bags/arriving.
1774	2	1	3	I like that it looks more "open"	Clean lines	Signage	Ease of check in and waiting area
1775	1	2	3	Openness	Covered pickup/dropoff area	Nice clouds	Welcome atmosphere, adequate area for passengers
1776	1	3	2	Easy curbside drop off.	The airport logo on the building	Clean lines and simple.	Having a good flow.
1777	1	2	3	Light and bright	Drop off lanes	Looks dated	Ease of getting through. Lots and lots of outlets. Dining options. Fast baggage claim.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1778	1	3	2	brightness, natural light i like the gray interior color. lots of canopy for coverage	two doors that are marked clearly	crubside drop off	easy to get thru big signs
1779	3	1	2	Option B More open feel, not as boxy as the other options btw Option A has a 1960's bus stop feel	Option C has eye catching inlays in the brick without being to overwhelming. (not a fan of the big cantilevers on the steel entry overhangs though.	On option A the functionality of the steel canopy over the sidewalk would be nice for those rainy day dropoffs.	Ease of entry and ease of luggage pickup
1780	2	1	3	I like option b as the interior color scheme is much calmer than option c. Travel is stressful and interior design can help calm a traveler.	Option a as I appreciate the openness of the floorplan.	Option c just looks like an office building and nothing inspiring	I love the convenience of the airport as is. I would love to still be able to arrive 45 minutes before my flight with plenty of time to spare
1781	3	1	2	Interior?	Interior?	Can't tell from the pictures	The inviting look of the entrance
1782	1	3	2	Simple exterior design. Logical flow of first floor with check-in and baggage claim. Security and boarding areas on second floor which makes terminal less cluttered.	Space for seating near check in areas. Arrival and Departure areas clearly identified.	Links to history of aviation in Columbia.	Nearby parking. Easy to get in and out of terminal. More seating, restrooms, food inside security.
1783	1	2	3	Open to light	None	None	None
1784	2	1	3	More open/inviting	Just like it better than my #3	Love the logo on the front of the building!	Good flow from the point of walking in to boarding, plus comfort for the belly and the bottom. 😊
1785	1	2	3	Lots of windows for light, feeling of openness, and views into nature.	The color scheme perhaps	I do not like it at all.	Windows and open space
1786	2	1	3	Don't know anything about the interior	Don't know anything about the interior	Don't know anything about the interior.	What kind of survey is this? Choosing a building solely on its exterior? What about functionality? Interior? How would anyone be able to make a decision on such little information?
1787	2	3	1	More energy efficient design, with room for growth. Able to accommodate larger aircraft.	Energy efficient design, easier boarding.	Nice design that looks like it will allow a lot of natural light into the building.	It needs to have enough room to handle passenger traffic with room for growth, if needed.
1788	1	2	3	Entry Canopy and Window Fins 2 story concept Colors	Brick	Signage	Passenger flow inside the facility
1789	1	3	2	Security easy to get thru	Easy to plane not go out siee	Having to go outside to plane	Easy in out lots of parking
1790	3	2	1	Don't like the wide open unused space	Looks more like a post office than an airport	Too much glass	Flight information
1791	3	1	2	More glass. Looks less like a school building or a minimum security prison.	Don't mind the muddy MO brick color.	The long covered outside walkway for rain/snow protection.	Light, airy and decent food options.
1792	2	1	3	Weather protection at vehicle drop off. Glass exterior is inviting	Weather protected vehicle drop off. Energy efficiency	Limited drop off weather protection	Bathroom facilities and vending food service on both sides of security screening point.
1793	1	3	2	Simple modern twist on the current design.	The glass entry way is inviting and modern.	Looks too much like the current terminal. Dark and not very inviting.	Restaurant on the inside to accommodate guests when large hubs have delays.
1794	2	1	3	classic, open design	2nd floor boarding seems to make sense	clearly marked arrival / departure	spacious, easy to traverse

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1795	2	1	3	This design looks the most timeless and visually appealing. I like the light interior, but still maintaining usage of colors. I'm curious what the gate area looks like.	I really like the two story idea. It seems like a logical organization that after ticketing you get people up and out of the way vs. all congregating in a common lobby area. The simplicity of this building seems like it would be more expandable in the future.	Like the attention to passenger flow and separating departure and arrivals	Intuitive to navigate with a timeless look.
1796	1	2	3	Clean lines, bright and catches the eye	Big front windows	N/a	That it won't look like an extension of my grandpa's garage.
1797	1	3	2	I like the modern look of option A with the metal paneling and the solar shades on the outside. I also think the flow of Option A will result in smoother traffic flow through the building.	I like the multiple flag poles in option C	Option B looks good only because of the lighting on the rendering. It looks like a lot of brick.	Traffic flow is easily the most important aspect of an airport. The easier and faster it is to go through the process the more enjoyable it is to use the building.
1798	1	2	3	Light & open	More space	Too small & compact	Weather proof access to all flights. Rapid TSA lines - enough to accommodate crowds. Free parking
1799	1	2	3	The light bright interior	Big windows	I don't know	Good seating, food and drink options, fast TSA
1800	2	1	3	Windows and light	Two story	Too much brick	Plenty of space, room for future growth and expansion
1801	1	2	3	It looks simple- like it would save the tax payers money	I love the clerestory with the wood ceiling. The whole "feel" of it is way more warm and inviting ( which also might mean a lot more expensive?)	It just looks boxy, plain, and boring	Comfort, friendly calming feel, charging stations
1802	2	1	3	Openess and light allowed inside	Less brick and more light colored building material. Outside covering on approaches to entrance	None. Too dark, too low	Openess, outside covering of approaches and exits to the terminal
1803	1	2	3	Canopy and large drop off and pick up area	More brick	Building signage	Flow through the inside of the building. Easy access. Exterior look isn't as important as interior design
1804	1	2	3	Solid design windows at the top	The covered area	I like nothing	Easy access, ease of getting through it
1805	1	3	2	The high ceiling center of the building.	The glass sided second floor.	Frankly, I think all three options are rather plain but understand that we are working with a budget.	Covered jetways. Plenty of space in the waiting/boarding area and the baggage claim areas.
1806	1	2	3	All of them.	.	.	.
1807	2	1	3	Exterior: large, open entryway; Interior: Stephens College beam	Exterior: height with clerestory windows; Interior: open, bright, light	nothing	Feeling of openness, good foot traffic flow, adequate room for security lines so people standing in line don't create choke points in hallways
1808	2	1	3	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a
1809	1	2	3	Bright	Awning	Plain	Ease of use and free parking
1810	2	1	3	Natural light inside the building	More spread out space instead of vertical wasted space	Too compact	Space to spread out while waiting.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1811	1	3	2	I like the compact footprint of option A.	Option C isn't as compact as A but I do like that it may be more flexible with both upper and lower plane access.	Option B seems more sprawling.	I don't see parking addressed anywhere. One thing I love about COU is the free parking. With the expansion will that continue? Is a parking garage within the scope of work?
1812	2	1	3	Stephens college tribute.	Color	None	Space and openness
1813	3	2	1	c	B	A	Parking, and ease of arrival and departure access
1814	1	2	3	The clearstory	The brick - glass mix	None.	Passenger flow
1815	3	1	2	Large windows	Darkness of the exterior/window treatment	Lots of windows	Easy to navigate
1816	3	2	1	more "future" orientation...including expanded parking	the "open" central area	nothing... it's just another boring box.	lots of seating, long term parking, and immediate visibility of flight listings
1817	1	2	3	Long drop off/pick up area with covered awning. High windows to allow light in while still having protective walls.	Windows for light. Covered entrance/exit areas.	Don't like this design because of very little covered outside area, and entrances have appearance of choke points, and little drop off/pick up area.	Roomy, bright interior. Long drop off/pick up area for vehicles with covered area for passengers. Designed for potential future expansion.
1818	1	2	3	Being two story	Do not like it	Do not like it	Easy access
1819	2	1	3	Glass	Not the 3rd choice	It was ugly	Parking, food/bar
1820	2	1	3	The colors and open concept is more modern and appealing. It's also looka more soothing.	Nothing	The colors of option C make it look like a restaurant and not an airport. It's too loud.	Accessibility, flow of traffic and wayfinding.
1821	1	2	3	I like the glass for lots of natural light	The design of the front entry	Nothing really	Bright natural light, wide halls, clear signage
1822	3	1	2	I like the main entry and the look of the front of Option B	I do like the second story option of option c.	The full awning for the arrival and dropoff.	Spacious, modern and clean.
1823	3	1	2	Open feel, glass.	Interior color selection	Light and bright interior	Functionality, services, ease of use,
1824	1	3	2	compact design with little grading and energy efficiency plus a long departure/arrival area outside.	compact design with ability to add on easily.	Lots of glass on the exterior for natural light.	1. Ease of land side for departure and arrival drop off and pickup. 2. energy efficiency 3. Passenger entry and exit to planes.
1825	1	2	3	covered area in front	more glass	none	clear and easy flow from checking in to boarding and from arrival to backage claim
1826	1	3	2	It has a classic airport terminal look, but modern	The large COU logo on the terminal	The design looks like a grade school	Ease of access, traffic flow
1827	1	2	3	I like that it's a clean design. I love the gray colors and use to stone.	I like how open it is. I like use of wood.	Color scheme, design. Really do not like it.	Seating, outlets to charge things, food/drink options, bathrooms.
1828	2	1	3	I love the tall windows in the front and that it seems more grand.	The windows at the very to of the terminal letting in light. I also like that the rain cover doesn't have any gaps.	Gaps in the rain cover and not as much natural light.	Easy to access and get around
1829	2	1	3	Front-facing glass	Length of the canopy.	Logo on the building.	Clean lines, thoughtful spaces, coffee available after TSA.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1830	1	3	2	I like the openness of the first design, feels less confining. I'm assuming there will be sufficient ADA accommodations for the 2 floors.	I like that it mentions more parking and covered connectors to parking and rental car. Parking is a pain now at Columbia airport.	I like the entrance into the TSA area.	Easy to see where you need to go (good signage within the airport) and plenty of space to stop and dig out your ID, wallet, flight information etc. I like an open feel since traveling can seem rushed and stressful.
1831	1	2	3	.	.	.	.
1832	1	2	3	More light, more windows, ability to expand, smaller footprint, lighter colors on exterior.	Light, space, windows, nursing mothers room, family restrooms.	Covered jetways	Good flow, windows, scenery, efficient use of space, environmentally friendly, good lighting, spaces for privacy.
1833	1	2	3	I like the high ceilings and lots of natural light.	It also has a lot of natural light and looks to maybe have a little more room with a more spread out floor plan.	The spaced out entrance/exit.	Modern, clean, offers food, drink, and comfortable waiting areas. Public art specific to the region is nice. Efficiency of foot traffic and ease of access to gate (not a concern with airports this size). This airport will be the first impression upon our city for many and should reflect the artistic, classical, and diverse spirit we have. This is important to a lot of us, we don't want to be embarrassed to invite folks to fly in here anymore!
1834	1	3	2	Unloading zone, two story, love all the interior	exterior bldg. character	unloading zone is to small	Loading and unloading zones, overall flow of interior, comfortable feel in waiting areas.
1835	1	2	3	Sleek lines	Open view	Not as modern looking	Entry and exit ease.
1836	1	2	3	canopy and two story	entrance	nothing	room to expand
1837	1	2	3	The space	The look at it, simple but still new.	Just looks plain.	Comfy and cozy.
1838	2	3	1	X	X	X	X
1839	1	3	2	The multi-story, glass front. Future expansion in multiple directions.	Three traffic drop off lanes. Growth accommodations for 20 years. Minimal carbon footprint	Comfort seating	Growth/expansion of the airport with consumer needs. Comfort seating, ease of traffic flow of vehicles outside, and passengers inside. The ability to view more than the airfield and air traffic controllers during wait times.
1840	2	1	3	The glass front	awning and waiting area	don't like it at all	capacity
1841	1	2	3	Clear glass and sharp lines	I like the open entry feel	Seems very cold and not inviting	Identify the entries to get me to the right place



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1842	1	3	2	simplicity and doesn't appear that it would "age" as quickly	My first to options are interchangeable to me. The heavy glass design is eye catching, but as a very frequent flyer, airports of that type struggle with temperature control and seating comfort due to the sun. This makes my first two choices just a bit more pragmatic. We want something pleasing to look at, but long term efficiencies that allow the facility to function and turn a profit are far more important factors in keeping a viable airport in our community.	Similar to my previous response.	simple to navigate open spaces that allow for easy viewing of signage and information build in flexibility now for the future I fly through Columbia Regional a lot - it allows me to keep mid MO as my home and still do my job. All I really expect is something that provides quality flight options, low stress access to my flight, and a design that doesn't unnecessarily inflate my flight costs. The beauty of this airport is that its become price competitive and flights have become dependable. That is all I ask. Avoid expenses or approaches that force paid parking or inflated airline costs. I'm excited for the new terminal, but don't allow the enthusiasm for this project to negate the real advantages of Columbia Regional. It isn't necessary to try and compete with what other cities have. It is necessary to make it viable for now and in the future for those of us within a reasonable radius who want to choose this facility over STL, KC, or Springfield. If you do those things this will be a tremendous upgrade for the region and allow it to flourish for years.
1843	2	1	3	Most open / welcoming appearance, sense of openness and expanse, modern appearance,	Extended canopy	nothing - it is very DARK, old-fashioned, and closed-off looking.	Room to get around - past the lines of people waiting to check-in, ease of baggage retrieval !
1844	1	2	3	Good design, visually appealing, looks like a 21st century update to current airport	Like the open area of the entry - but don't know if it is secure enough.	Canopies are interesting, but not large enough for pick up / drop off.	Ease of entry and exit, clear signage.
1845	2	1	3	Glass at front	Natural light	Columns	Check in area design
1846	3	2	1	two separate designated entry/exit. better flow	second story is a good add on	too much wasted space	flow
1847	2	1	3	1 level and like the idea of history being included	Energy efficient	1 level	Comfortable seating, bathroom close to terminal, & possible food vendors
1848	1	3	2	Arrivals Departures separated the most. Also higher viewing area while waiting. Hopefully it is an escalator and not stairs. Allows for expansion easier, less of a footprint.	Sheltered canopies to keep you dry while unloading.	Incorporates the beam, artwork	Easy access from parking lot. Lots of space for unloading and loading , waiting space
1849	3	1	2	Open look with all the windows	Longer overhang our front	Dark colors	A place you can enjoy waiting for your flight or a layover
1850	3	1	2	All on one floor, more expansive than the other one floor option.	Separate entrances for departure and arrival but for a building this size that is minimally important	Longer covered area in front	Close parking, one story.
1851	1	2	3	High glass..open sunlight...wide driveway	Lights in the sidewalks	I can't really find much	Open, easy to navigate, practical
1852	1	2	3	2nd floor access for boarding	historical artifacts interior	not enough covered access to front of building	convenient boarding access

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1853	1	2	3	Two story	It's ok	Boxy and boring	Functional and efficient
1854	2	1	3	I love the Stephens College history being brought into the design.	Love the clean look inside.	The design just didn't appeal me.	Lots of charging stations, food and beverage options, and great seating.
1855	1	2	3	Airy. High ceiling. Glass	Like the portico.	Not much. Looks like a warehouse	Drop off and parking.
1856	3	1	2	Natural light, stephens college beam,	use of existing brick openness of terminal	nothing	accessibility for all who seek to fly out of COU
1857	2	1	3	Doesn't look like a warehouse	Open interior	Nothing	Good people flow.
1858	2	1	3	The steel and glass and brick look nice together. also it seems like it might be brighter inside with the two story entryway.	I like the idea of boarding the aircraft from a 2nd story.	additional parking is much needed - more than 105 extra spots probably though. I like the interior colors of C but the outside looks like a repurposed school or bank or something where they bricked over windows.	secure, convenient parking - which I would pay for gladly if there was enough to accommodate the number of passengers expected to fly; security checkpoints that are quickly navigated;
1859	1	3	2	2nd level really allows for expansion and lots of room	It's an okay looking building. Nothing special about it .	Like the awning!	Space friendly, nice, clean.
1860	2	1	3	Nursing room, the bridge cover for flyers entering aircraft	The lighter color exterior	2 floors	Making sure each dept is well labeled and easy to follow for flyers
1861	3	1	2	The glass entrance with the vaulted ceiling	color	It is two plain looking	ease of access
1862	1	3	2	Environmentally efficient	Best looking of the three designs	I don't. It's ugly.	Moves people quickly, intuitively, and is comfortable.
1863	3	2	1	Welcoming without being too much	It is gorgeous, but is it economical?	More sterile looking	Adequate restrooms.
1864	1	3	2	Openness and light	3 drop off points	Looks like a box	Openness and comfort and easy to find your way around
1865	1	2	3	Being on 2nd story for boarding seems more like larger airports.	The outside view incl walkup and the "lantern" of that main tall area looks more welcoming	Arrivals and departures are very clearly defined	Boarding from inside a building, Comfortable and open waiting areas, not claustrophobic baggage claim
1866	1	2	3	Clean white design	Lighting	Too dark	Free parking, easy access, streamlined flow inside, convenience store with Mizzou/Missouri swag and snacks
1867	2	1	3	Option B for me combined an attractive exterior and interior features so won my top ranking.	Option A is the best looking exterior of the three but think a two-story is more difficult to navigate and is least accessible.	I actually like the description and concept of option C the best but the exterior is so unappealing it placed last for me.	Ease of access, parking, and safe and dry walkway to board.
1868	1	2	3	Full length covered drop off	Tall glass lobby	Make it not so square, give it a couple bump outs or insets.	Ease and convenience of use, such as the full length drive up canopy
1869	3	1	2	To look like an airport	A cafe	None	None
1870	1	2	3	Color scheme, looks bright and modern, not sure if gates on the second floor is good or bad..leave that to the experts.	some of the historical stuff is nice but the Stephens College Aviation beam is a head scratcher...	The color scheme in number 3 is horrible. The building already looks dated before it is built. Please don't use this one or at least this one with the color scheme.	Needs to look modern!! Needs to be functional now and for the future. Energy efficiency!
1871	1	2	3	Light, glass, sunlight, more spacious and stylish, and modern design.	Same as above.	The least favored has nothing special that needs incorporating. It's just horrible!	Space, comfort, amenities, style

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1872	2	1	3	I like the open look. Being able to enjoy the weather while waiting for a flight is nice.	The canopy looks nice and hopefully will work to be able to access the building without standing in the rain.	Nothing	comfort, simplicity, convenience.
1873	1	2	3	The openness and airy feeling of the interior. The exterior has a welcoming entrance and grand scale without being overwhelming. Seemingly the two story solution would be a better utilization of the valuable real estate.	Entry definition... although I believe the rendering depicting artificial light probably is accenting entry	perhaps some brighter color accents on the interior	Way finding and ease of travel inside and out. Security.
1874	1	2	3	Clean Design	Clear story glazing - natural light	N/A	Adequate drop off and pick-up staging, under canopy for travelers. The other thing would be simple flow inside the building.
1875	3	1	2	Color and flow	Color and design	Color	Speed of security and baggage claim
1876	1	2	3	Exterior is inviting and easy access for a lot of flow.	Easy access at drop off, glass front.	No comment I just wouldn't like that design.	Easy access or flow of people traffic
1877	3	1	2	The overhang and structure of the entrance	Welcoming in the front with easy access to doors and exits	Looks like it only has 1 entrance and exit and it looks small so it could get crowded going in and out	Easy access for parking, enter/exit. A food option
1878	2	3	1	ROOM FOR EXPANSION	OPEN LIGHTING	NOTHING	ROOM FOR EXPANSION
1879	1	3	2	I like the lighter colors	2 did a better job describing features so i know more what to expect but I like the ease of flow and second level gate	Did not like entrance	Ease of traffic flow but outside for dropping/pickup, ease of accessing gate and nice signage, baggage claim that is open and ease of exit.
1880	2	1	3	Multi-level roof line	A lot of light from up above	Nothing	Accessibility, room, light
1881	3	1	2	Layout of exterior and interior	Layout of interior	Interior layout	Spacing
1882	3	1	2	Energy efficient	Prominent entrance and exit	Outside design	Space, wow factor, energy efficient
1883	1	2	3	It looks exactly like it does now, so save the money because no one wants your new airport but you!	It's a joke!	Another terrible idea for Boone County!	Keep the one we have now and save the money for something people in Boone County really could use!
1884	2	1	3	Brick and glass openness of space	glass	canopies	feeling of openness of space
1885	3	2	1	The focus on energy efficiency	Nothing comes to mind	I don't like option 1 at all	Energy efficiency
1886	2	1	3	Openness inside	MU stuff	stairs	Get me in and out fast
1887	3	1	2	B	least number of steps to enter and or to get to getting on the plane/getting off areas.	I do not like all of the bricks/concrete areas on the front.	Easy access from parking, drop off areas and getting on the plane.
1888	2	3	1	Nice	Nice	Nice	Easy
1889	3	1	2	Light and airy.none	None	None	A feeling of spaciousness.
1890	3	1	2	Awning and open space	Clean design and the brick color	Looks plain and uninviting	Ticket takers and security
1891	3	1	2	Windows	Openness	None	All of them

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1892	1	3	2	The openness of the building and the use of solar for heating and cooling. Use of low maintenance materials outdoors is good.	The addition of more parking is needed badly. I like the use of historical airport memorabilia.	The three lanes for drop-off	Parking and ease of finding your way around. Good traffic flow for dropping off or picking up passengers. Love the smaller airport terminals due to less people.
1893	1	2	3	Canopy for pick up/drop off area in A	Openness of the glass facade in B	C looks uninviting and the facade is flat with little glass	Close to FREE parking!!!
1894	3	1	2	The natural lighting and open design	The flat entrance and not the slight incline	Nothing	Comfortable space to wait. Easy to navigate
1895	3	1	2	The glass and openness of the airport. It looks great!	The outside looks clean and professional. I like the darker color	Not much, looks like an old school	Resting areas that can handle lots of people and still be functional while waiting for your flight
1896	1	3	2	I like the large covered sidewalk. And a flat approach to the building. Nice glass only upstairs is appealing for climate control.	The colors.	Why so white?!? Bold colors please!	Flat terrain. More than enough bathrooms...there is congestion when planes land. Checkin kiosks. Charging g stations.
1897	1	3	2	Like how open it is and modern. Love all the sun light inside and that it will match the surrounding buildings on the outside	I like the red color throughout and the open seating.	Additional parking spots and high ceilings.	Ease of use. As an employee, I want to be able to move throughout the terminal without having to go through high traffic areas meant for passengers.
1898	2	1	3	It looks inviting and modern.	Maybe extend the awning overhead so people are able to exit a car without weather.	Looks like a school with all the brick. More modern materials needed.	Signage! Bathrooms easily found. More spacious seating in terminal while waiting
1899	2	1	3	open atrium entrance	windows on 2nd story	COU sign	ease in check in and baggage claim, good amount of space pick up and drop off area in drive, truly handicap accessible bathrooms
1900	1	2	3	Clean lines and neutral pallet	I like the outside view. Very inviting. The exterior is actually my favorite but don't like the inside.	Nothing. Don't use those colors. This design is awful.	It should be inviting and welcoming to all with smart elements that make sense. Timeless. Include a full gift/snack shop.
1901	1	2	3	Clean, sleek, expandable for future	*	*	Safe. Professional, clean, modern, room for growth, reflects local the community.
1902	2	1	3	Great center point	2 story may reduce the walking that a sprawling one story might require	Separate entrances for arrivals departures	Plenty of parking...really bad now. Plenty of seating while waiting at the gate. Amenities. Good coffee options and food with a full menu.
1903	2	1	3	I like the mix of industrial with the brick along with lots of windows	I like the windows	I don't like option c at all. It literally looks like a really large Applebees	Needs have plenty of seating. I don't wanna sit on the floor while waiting. Wheelchair accessible is very important. Food and adult beverages
1904	1	2	3	Enough natural light in the building. Also there's covering outside, so people waiting for taxi etc. is covered from rain & snow.	Separate bay for waiting/drop-off.	Covered walkway to parking spot.	Short walk to the terminal. Don't be like SGF, which is probably about the same level of activity but is too big and walking accross takes too long. Also, need more short term parking (15-30 min). I drop-off or pick up often and sometimes I have to wait longer (for baggage or late flight, say). The closest parking spaces are always taken by the long-term parkings so I have to walk far. I feel this is getting worse in the last year or so.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1905	1	3	2	The modern design which brings the airport into the 21st century, open-concept, plenty of light will make the small terminal feel spacious, potential to expand.	Some of the modern European interior to add some color to the plain and white, Option A.	I like the memorabilia wall and about the history of aviation in Mid-Missouri and the cultural elements both of which could easily be incorporated into Option A.	Feel open and spacious with lots of light, modern amenities, plenty of seating.
1906	1	2	3	single level from check-in through security. plenty of exterior cover when waiting for pickup (ride share friendly). nice layout with separate areas for ticketing, relaxing/seating, and gate access. looks like it could expand for future growth. gates at a higher level possibly a good move for future larger jet commuter services. like the separate entrances/exits for ticketing and arrivals.	layout appears to be spread out further, which will be desirable in our post-COVID world. good compartmentalizing of ticketing, waiting areas/seating preflight.	there isn't much of anything that I like about the third option. too boxy, layout feels closed.	1. layout that moves traffic in a logic manner. 2. ample indoor and outdoor cover for ride sharing and to stay out of the elements. 3. expansion for future growth. 4. amenities - food, meeting/work space.
1907	1	3	2	I like the open, bright and airy entrance. It looks like a well and intuitively laid out design that can support current functions and flights, while also being open to new.	I'm not sure how they could be quite incorporated. I like the design in it's entirety, just slightly less than my most favored.	I'm not sure how they could be quite incorporated. I like the design in it's entirety, just slightly less than my second favored	Ease of navigation, adequate rest facilities, possibly a cafe, adequate seating.
1908	2	1	3	Modern, plan for the future	Love the design, looks like the most use of space	Looks good but not quite there	Functional and attractive
1909	2	3	1	The taller look of C, the all brick exterior of C.	the skylight looking main lobby area	Large covered pick up and drop off area	Speed of check in and TSA clearance, an easy option for food and drink. Convenient and clean restrooms.
1910	1	2	3	Open concept lots of windows	Open concept. Lots of windows.	Looks too much like current building.	Modern looking
1911	3	1	2	Inside and out has a very inviting atmosphere.	Warm and friendly with a modern twist.	Nothing. Very sterile atmosphere. Too hospital like design.	Easy in and easy out. I want to get through security as quick as possible. Locating my luggage and being able to exit the terminal without having to walk throughout the terminal.
1912	2	1	3	High ceilings, modern	two floors	Very practical, sturdy design	Usually efficiency is important, but it's a plus to have a nice area to wait in
1913	3	1	2	everything	not sure I like exterior	nothing	lowering time trough security, when arriving bags close to pick up
1914	2	1	3	The front of the building.	The inside	N/A	N/A
1915	1	2	3	Both A and B are good but just knowing the safety and security. Waiting time is also counted even if the there is a restaurant just across the hall.	Two floors is okay but for 2022. I do really think that waiting time even to the bathroom is a concern.	Luggage pickup and receiving a boarding pass.	Making sure that payments using the new mobile apps are installed and making sure the new terminal arrangements meets the qualified safety standards.
1916	2	1	3	Windows. Green space to look at.	The drop off pull around.	Entrance.	Ease of use is number one. Especially flow through TSA. Something nice to look at is nice to since if I need to wait. Maybe a nice place for families to look at airplanes. Always a plus to have it look nice like Jackson Hole's and these proposals.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1917	2	1	3	I like the wall of windows and the fact the designed is tying in the history of the area. It looks more academic for a University town.	I like the interior colors used for option A and the fact that it is two levels.	I think option C looks too much like it is now but dressed up. I do like the sign with option C. I think ticket lobby is not a good first impression with option A. Option C looks like a high school. Columbia is a sophisticated community.	I think "green energy" options should be used in the new airport. There should be food options for sure and adequate seating area for large groups. It should be a dramatic and artistic look with natural lighting and tranquil colors like blue/green to represent the sky and Earth
1918	2	1	3	modern open space. welcoming features. opportunity for growth.	two story, more modern, welcoming façade.	same as now. depressing.	space for sitting, work waiting areas. space for amenities, services.
1919	1	3	2	Two story aspect	Colors	Front entrance	Ability to allow for expansion
1920	1	2	3	Modern aesthetic. Two-floor footprint.	Indoor bursts of color in the form of artwork (I'm picturing a glasswork focal point sculptural hanging of some kind)	N/A	Many different sections for seating. Being intellectually stimulated by artwork in the space
1921	2	3	1	1. Compact design is more economical to build and maintain, and better for the environment 2. Family restroom and mother's lounge accommodations	Compact design is more economical to build and maintain, and better for the environment	Neutral color scheme	Low carbon footprint, intuitive and efficient foot traffic flow, accommodations for families and nursing mothers
1922	2	1	3	The glass	The awning	I don't	Accessibility and timeless design
1923	1	2	3	Covered outside loading and unloading area Neutral interior colors	Exterior - 2 story glass Interior - all one level - historic touches	Exterior - 2 separate entries for arrivals and departures Interior - do not like colors	Easy outside access to parking and picking up passengers and short walks to gates with interior jet bridges.
1924	1	2	3	I like the clean interior look of the first design. More modern style	Again has a more modern feel inside and out. I like the exterior more than A	Looks too similar to how it looks currently	N/A
1925	1	3	2	Clean look	Traditional	Needs more lights - potential eco friendly	Open, clean. Lots of outlets. Good flow for bathrooms
1926	2	1	3	The theme of the building	The access to the planes	Too much color - does not look good.	Best flow getting into and off of the plane without going outside
1927	2	1	3	Looks most like an airport	It looks second beat	The exterior overhangs look good. I think an elevated building exhibits an impressive professional visual and makes a statement.	Professional look that represents the city in a positive way.
1928	2	1	3	I like the grand lobby with tall ceilings, plus all the glass	I like the two story design	I like the design of the outside area where you pull up	I most appreciate a grand, beautiful and impressive airport design
1929	2	1	3	Love the Stephens College history!	Clean, crisp design!	I don't love the red interior. Dark and dated.	Cleanliness, crisp, not distracting
1930	1	2	3	Full long canopy and high windows	A lot of exterior windows	color of the brick	ease of access, good close parking, clean.
1931	2	3	1	The mix between kubrick and glass	The color creme for inside #2 chicle could be used for the inside on #1	The awning.	Comfortable waiting areas Large clean restrooms with Open door design with touchless faucets and paper towel holders. Easy luggage retrieval and friendly staff at ticket counter and security.
1932	2	1	3	Lots of glass, open airy design. Looks modern and high quality. This design would age gracefully.	This was a nice design too. Wouldn't be upset if this was the chosen design really either.	Design looks cheap, resembles a restaurant or Dave and Busters from the outside. Cheap and hokey interior. Won't age well, at all.	Pleasing environment, places to charge electronics, comfortable seating, good food choices.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1933	1	2	3	I like that it's 2 story and easy to expand upon. I like the bright interior with the windows.	I much prefer the interior materials of this design with the blue and teal that is peaceful and reminiscent of the river. I also think there could be a place made in Option A for the Stephens beam.	The separate doors for arrivals and departures.	Capacity for larger aircraft with jetways, ease of expansion as more gates are needed in the future, enough room for each airport need (i.e. security lines, gate waiting area, adequate baggage area, etc.).
1934	2	3	1	Modern entry and design.	Lighter glass framing	Lighter glass framing	Accessibility from parking and ease of locating luggage upon arrival back in CoMo
1935	2	1	3	Daylight from atrium and non-linear roofline.	High ceilings giving an open feeling like at O'Hare or the Philadelphia airports. And extra windows for natural light like at Charlotte.	Larger atriums at the front to create a more interesting entryway.	Sufficient space, both in floor space and vertically, to avoid claustrophobic sensations when busy. Current airport has a feeling like LaGuardia in New York which is horrible.
1936	1	3	2	Honestly, with the information provided one cannot make any decision. Floorplans? Absent. Area of facilities? Absent. What is included? (restaurants, bars, rest areas, etc.) Absent. Cost? This survey makes no sense.	Honestly, with the information provided one cannot make any decision. Floorplans? Absent. Area of facilities? Absent. What is included? (restaurants, bars, rest areas, etc.) Absent. Cost? This survey makes no sense.	Honestly, with the information provided one cannot make any decision. Floorplans? Absent. Area of facilities? Absent. What is included? (restaurants, bars, rest areas, etc.) Absent. Cost? This survey makes no sense.	Honestly, with the information provided one cannot make any decision. Floorplans? Absent. Area of facilities? Absent. What is included? (restaurants, bars, rest areas, etc.) Absent. Cost? This survey makes no sense.
1937	1	2	3	Like exterior of B, interior of C. Due to all the glass facing west in B, I would choose C.	I believe front exterior on B is more attractive. Could not get back to view of interior.	A aesthetically, least favorite. Kind of like it had 2nd level.	Visibility, easy access to check in. Some concession or restaurant would be nice.
1938	1	2	3	Like the second level to board so fewer ramps	Like the incorporation as Gateway to the terminal	More brick might be safer with strong storms	Easy access to not only terminal and parking but also boarding the planes
1939	3	1	2	Glass atrium look	Canopies	Looks like a 1960's school	Easy access in and out
1940	1	2	3	Layout with potential future expansion	Compact layout	Nothing	Compact, efficient layout Energy efficient
1941	2	1	3	Ascetics	Openness of interior	None	A food option inside of security
1942	2	3	1	Option C is supposed to work for 20 years and seems like it would be the most economical for a good design	Two story design is most visually appealing and requires shorter jetways.	Second story requires shorter jetways to board passengers.	Able to support future growth with an economical design.
1943	3	1	2	Feels more open. Part of the landscape.	Low profile. Feels a bit open. But looks like a sonic drive thru.	Looks like a school. Don't like it.	Ease of checking in and a nice waiting area prior to boarding and for passengers arriving.
1944	1	2	3	Upper windows letting in the light	Awning	White siding	Better amenities
1945	1	3	2	Practicality and functionality is important	Cost effective is more important than looks	Anything is an improvement	Easy access to check in, reasonable walk through security, easy to find gates, boards to read for times and gates, baggage claim speed, waiting room seats by gates and waiting for a ride.
1946	1	2	3	Reflects Missouri and its history.	A second floor.	A second floor.	Waiting area to board airplanes containing all essential needs for passengers.
1947	2	3	1	I like the interior design of option C.	The functionality of A and C seem the best. Simple design like the current airport is.	I like the outside design the most. I don't like the box look of A and C.	Good restroom amenities and good TSA design are important. If the airport is easy in and easy out like the current design that would be the best. Those are the main reasons I fly out of COU. Food/drink/news would be a great feature as well.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1948	2	1	3	Grand entrance from the outside, impressive and open lobby area. I like the history and the terminal would have a story.	Modern and sleek. I like that the gates are upstairs. If design B had gates upstairs it would be perfect.	Unique design that is unlike anything I have seen before.	Jet bridges, views from the gates
1949	1	2	3	Open glass design, entry awning	Open glass	Red cantilever entrances	Large, clean bathrooms, jetbridges, food and beverage options
1950	3	2	1	Lots of colors	Inside	Nothing it's perfect	Food places and easy access to things.
1951	1	2	3	2 stories	Entrance	Awnings	Ease of accessibility
1952	1	2	3	It's light and bright inside. The bathrooms are near the airline booths	The open design inside is perfect and the outside is attention getting	The outside of the building color is dark	Freedom to move and not be crowded Inside
1953	3	1	2	central entry	raised waiting area	none	enough room in waiting area for flights so it is not overcrowded
1954	1	2	3	Modern - energy efficient.	Modern - "comfortable"	Possibility for expanding parking.	Plenty of laptop & phone charger plug-ins, comfortable width seating.
1955	2	3	1	Bridge	Bridge	Unsure	Cost effective and efficient
1956	1	3	2	Most appealing, not enough info provided. More unloading and loading area.	Nothing	Nothing	Access and ease in and out
1957	2	3	1	C his Shelter to storms	But I do like the windows for natural light	brick	Energy efficient
1958	2	1	3	entrance large vaulted space	2nd floor	i don't	interesting space
1959	1	2	3	Open. Lots of natural light	Open modern	Looks very industrial	Spacious and great amenities like restaurants, restrooms, WiFi, comfortable seating.
1960	2	1	3	A variation of lines. Open and airy.	Similar to 1 choice, but it appears to be more closed in.	Too boxy	Design features
1961	1	2	3	Two stories seems like a smart way to go. I like all the windows.	I like the high ceilings and the main entry way is eye catching.	Looks very practical but not eye catching.	A lot of room and easy to get around.
1962	3	1	2	The historic flare with a forward thinking open layout is what I enjoy about design B. I love the mature look inside and out. It commands your attention and welcomes you in. The clouds seem to poke through the raised ceiling, how cool! Also, looks the most handicap accessible.	None. Design C seems like an old, dark office building. The color pops are strange and off-putting.	None. A two story building seems too clunky here. Features are plain.	Has a unique airport feel that commands attention, fits with the landscape, tells you about the history of where you are, is open and inviting, is handicap accessible, and maintains a wide, open concept full of rich character.
1963	2	1	3	Clean just brick and windows	Windows and openness	Too much brick. Like a school	Efficiency, cleanliness, easy navigation
1964	1	2	3	Front roof line and window placement	Windows on the foyer	None	Aesthetic beauty
1965	2	1	3	less basic box look than others	different use of materials on exterior	feels generic like a elementary school	Open and modern feel with high ceiling
1966	1	3	2	Windows. consolidated	Entry/exit in center	Like the tall part in the middle	Line layout/ease of access/interior
1967	2	1	3	Modern, more windows	It's just better than the hideous option #3	I hate this design- but I guess I like the awnings best?	Lots of seating, bathrooms and food options. Charging stations!
1968	2	1	3	Red Brick and glass. Open area inside as a focal point.	Greater exterior height	entrance design	First impressions of the building upon arrival to either the front of the building or arrival gates.



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1969	2	1	3	How close they can pull up to front of bldg. And it just looks nice and canopy over the entrance.	The overhead entrance. And the way the can pull up to front door.	Just drive up. The bldg. Is just not pleasing.	Drive up to front entrance. And security inside of the airport bldg.
1970	1	2	3	I like the two story height, possible growth.	If this was a two story height with glass on the upper portion like my first choice it would definitely become my favorite.	The exterior looks like a fast food chain restaurant	Close parking to the terminal - No hassle getting to your gate.
1971	1	2	3	Stretched white awning is very user friendly to protect travels from the weather.	Shorter ceiling heights, which will reduce energy cost.	Really like the COU logo on the building, it's a sharp logo and should be front and center.	Functional, environmentally efficient, easy to drop off and pick up.
1972	1	2	3	Clear glass windows brightens the aura of the terminal.	Welcome overhead shelter platform looks inviting as a customer ready to check-in to COU.	Love the side by side rectangles. Two separate overhead shelters cool look.	Walking into the terminal feeling welcomed and able to easily navigate from the onset.
1973	1	3	2	Two level. This is needed for proper jet bridge installation. Must have two entrance points.	Multiple entrance/exit points. Cheap.	This is a waste of space. Just forget it. You can put the historic beam in any design.	Space, and function over form. I've been in airports all around the world and don't give a crap what they look like as long as I'm not running into people. Flow is important!
1974	2	1	3	I like how open the interior is.	My second choice is bright and uses natural light. However, I would only lighten my choice a little if at all.	A smaller footprint and touts more energy efficiency.	Fully Americans with Disabilities Act compliant Good vehicular and pedestrian traffic flow Easy to find your way around i.e. signage Amenities for personal comfort
1975	2	1	3	I think this design looks like it welcomes travelers. More open and spacious looking.	I like the structure that is overhead for shade or lighting for pedestrians that is all along the front of the building.	I don't see anything that could be used.	Good lighting and safety outside, good traffic flow for parking. Efficient flow thru the process of checking in thru the boarding process. Lobby areas with food court etc.
1976	2	1	3	more windows the better and i just really hate the third option like there is nothing special i like my fave pick the best because its got a cool layer of windows	i cant see any interior features really but i guess i like that weird upside down v statue thing to the far left	i guess i like the door coverage of the last one BUT IF YOU GUYS PICK THIS ONE I WILL BE SO SAD you have the potential to be an airport with good natural lighting so DONT pick this weird brick building of boringness... please i will fly out of st louis if you pick this one. okay?	windows and if you guys had shake shack i would like that
1977	3	1	2	Don't really like the exterior. Looks like a post office or library. Maybe a school. Interior is spacious but lacks character and this is my favorite pick. Who did these designs?	Exterior: nice looking warehouse. Those big garage doors that fly up over the loading docks are interesting. Interior has some color, has some energy. Lacks character. Do we live in a college town? Does this communicate a cool feeling - no	Don't like it at all. No imagination. Looks cheap. It'd be a nice hanger. Interior is plain.	For our terminal I'd like people arriving to know they're in a college town with the energy that comes with it. These almost look like you took the old terminal and refreshed it.
1978	1	2	3	Simplicity	Brick. Safety	Openness	Natural Light
1979	3	1	2	Larger, glass entry area	None	None	Logical, efficient follow of travelers

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1980	1	3	2	Looks unique, minimal and lots of natural light from windows. Two story is a must for exact reasons listed in the description. This is the first sight of the city for lots of people passing thru.	Nothing really. Looks like a converted Warehouse. Option 3 looks like a school.	Nothing. Love the first design A. 3 lanes at the front is great. I'd like to see the awning cover all 3 lanes to protect drop off/pickup from weather.	Great signage, multi lingual. Sure you can pull data to see. Definitely a welcome sign of some sort in all languages. I'd like to see you build the interior for self service kiosks and new airport technology. Lead the way in Municipal airports! Have some food and beer options. Hotel shuttles should have specific area with Uber/taxi terry parking lot. Also start charging for parking to invest in the airport... it's 2020 people can pay something for parking. Would also like to see the rental car facility improved, patrons should be able to walk about a door to the lot to view car.
1981	1	3	2	Option A	Option C	Option B	Sleek design, but also comfortable and welcoming. But also efficient and easy to navigate
1982	2	1	3	Entrance for the exterior, and the comfort of the waiting area.	The covered sidewalk	Separate entrances	Dinning
1983	1	2	3	Longest covered area	Wide	Two story	Ease of entry and not getting wet or snowed on
1984	3	2	1	Modern	Emphasizes local culture	Boring	Comfortable and aesthetic
1985	2	1	3	Open glass to let sunshine in.	Very modern design.	Too much red. This isn't Nebraska. Try maybe black and gold?	Free parking. Must have free parking.
1986	1	2	3	Openness	Glass lobby	Nothing, uninviting warehouse appearance	Open, inviting, clear direction and flow
1987	3	2	1	I like the cost savings construction and the explanation of how it was laid out. Sounds efficient. I don't like the canopy design or the reddish interior. Makes the building dated.	I think the design is modern, light. But the glass could be expensive to maintain and dangerous in a severe storm.	I don't like the white trim, lobby area. It isn't welcoming.	Easy access to luggsge, comfortable waiting room, covered, on-level loading. Well thought out traffic pattern.
1988	2	1	3	It's the only one that doesn't look like a Prison	It looks less like a prison and more like a school.	It looks like a Pizza Hut	Easy arrival. Easy departure. At the same time the airport sets the tone for our city. People arriving for jobs or business don't want to arrive to a prison or trailer park (current sitch). Make it pleasing. Get a new logo. B is the only one without the logo. It's Not a prison or Pizza Hut. Throw in a gift shop and art. Maybe a bike rack.
1989	2	1	3	The covered entry	Lots of windows	The traditional brick	Easy drop-off/pick up, parking, food.
1990	3	2	1	Seating areas. Compact design.	I like the exterior and interior materials better.	I like the interior and exterior materials the best on my #3 choice	Simplicity. Ease of getting around. Convenient. Low cost.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1991	2	3	1	The arrival and departure entrances are clearly marked.	I like the interior look of option A but not exterior look-reminds me of hospital building.	None	Having bathroom stalls that are big enough to bring luggage in stall if you need to since can't be out of sight or stroller/kids. Current bathrooms at Cou are super small and only 1 staff bathroom in flight waiting area. Having food/drink option, if flight is delayed there's nothing but vending machine.
1992	2	3	1	Modern designs	Glass exterior	Waiting area	Food court selection
1993	1	2	3	Bright colors on the exterior. Small footprint to decrease energy consumption and allow current terminal to function while construction moves on.	I love the open area when you enter. The historic beam and photos is an excellent touch.	Ease of expansion. Good flow to avoid traffic congestion inside and out. Clear signage.	Proximity to parking. Easy to navigate both inside and out.
1994	1	2	3	A	B	C	Efficiency
1995	1	2	3	The over hang on the front.	The windows	The non windows	Windows and design. Also most bathrooms.
1996	1	2	3	Exterior in option A is more timeless	Option b is very modern	Option c exterior looks old and dated	TSA precheck, coffee, comfortable seating, charging ports
1997	3	1	2	Openess of lobby entrance	Entrance to building	Colors make it look cheap	Appearance, functionality
1998	3	2	1	Easy to navigate	Ability to access the airplane through a jetway.	Least favorite is so much glass!! Not safe for Midwest weather systems.	Safety. Cleanliness, separate areas for arrival and departure so that you're not encountering two sets of passengers.
1999	2	1	3	The brick and less glass feature. Least amount of wasted space and over building.	Less glass than option A. Less wasted space than A.	Too much glass and exterior space to maintain! Keep it low maintenance for Future upkeep.	Easy access to get through security/baggage and ease of parking and dropping off.
2000	2	1	3	Looks big and expanded	Addition to existing not much new	Looks like old mall	Free parking and easy bag handling
2001	1	2	3	I like the small footprint.	The meandering roads.	Not sure	Easy parking lot, and in/out is easy
2002	1	3	2	Makes the best use of existing structure	Extended cover for loading and unloading	Very limited coverage for outside	Easy access, easy boarding. What about coffee shops, snack bars
2003	1	3	2	I prefer option A, it's open, easy and modern.	Option C because it's offers more than option B	I don't like that it doesn't have the two floors in the option B	Modern, easy functioning, and two stories.
2004	1	3	2	Lots of windows, clean look	Good colors inside	Nothing, I do not care for this design.	Clean, bright, modern, elevated loading area
2005	1	2	3	Looks fresh and inviting	Not too much really. The up lighting looks nice at night.	Not too much really.	Accessibility and amenities
2006	2	1	3	Natural light	Natural light	N/a option C sucks	Ease of access and natural light
2007	2	1	3	Windows for natural lighting	Good chairs	Greenery around the place for fresh air	Bathrooms at good locations
2008	2	1	3	The covered entrance, it's beautiful The way the design captures the essence of the location and it's purposeful...utility in nature.	The streamlined lightness of this design	Too simple	Feasibility

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2009	2	3	1	This was my favorite in that was a good blend of aesthetically pleasing, but also stated it was able to "grow" in the next 20 years or so	I actually liked the inside of this one the best! Loved those high windows, seemed to have a very pleasant atmosphere inside which is calming (since travel is often not calm). But said nothing about being able to grow over the years	This was so cold, unfeeling, sterile inside. I didn't like it for the first impression people would get of Columbia! The two stories, open, yuck. But--i loved that this one seemed to have the most forward thinking plan in terms of being able to grow into the future.	Easy to navigate! Pleasant interior. Plan/space to continue to grow over time, that makes sense in the design. Good restrooms and a couple of snack places please. (RDU is a great "little" airport!! One of the best I've been in, fyi. Check that one out) Easy drop off/pick up. Signs that are clear and easy to follow!
2010	3	1	2	Largest covered outdoor space and drive up area. Option c has the best outdoor cover; but creating a two story building is not needed. Visit Springfield airport. So easy!	It two story	Two story building is not needed	Close long term parking. Easy pickup and drop off lanes. Easy access for elderly— no up or down elevators or escalators. Way expensive to buy, install and maintain! Again, go to Springfield mo airport. It is so easy to go thru!
2011	2	1	3	Simplicity, modern feel, industrial vibe	Pleasing, soft, friendly	There is some appeal to its uniqueness; a little off-beat, a little unconventional, which is not a bad thing	Convenience, curb appeal, makes a good impression on visitors, fits with a progressive/university community
2012	2	1	3	The large amount of glass and modern look. Also love the multi store entrance way.	I like the canopy wing thing and the height.	Maybe the landscape?	Modern design and ease of use. Plus space for lines. The current building is embarrassing.
2013	3	1	2	The interiors looks very spacious and beautiful	It looks better than my option 3!	It looks like the present airport	Security
2014	2	1	3	More professional looking; easier to modify as airport to grow	N/a	None looks like a medium budget hotel	Big Signs, Easy to enter and exit without going in circles, interior services like food and drink options, clean bathrooms
2015	1	2	3	boarding on upper level. I hope an escalator is included in the design though.	more warm colored brick	nothing	ease in boarding, spacious waiting area; larger baggage claim area
2016	1	3	2	Good access	.	Where is the queu for the security line? Does it just go out into the lobby?with any number of passengers seems very strange to have the line just go out into the lobby	Easy access. Quick security lines.
2017	1	2	3	I like the exterior of option B but like the ideas of an elevated gates for better views in option C.	I like the modern look of option A .	The elevated views from gates is my favorite feature in option C.	Curb appeal and and modern welcoming interior with place for passengers to sit.
2018	2	1	3	Open and airy entrance	Parking coverage when dropping off and picking up at terminal	Not much other than the functionality that might be present with separate entrances and exits but having flown out of COU many times that's not a huge problem. Space inside the facility is the MOST important aspect and what is lacking at the current location.	Room to move throughout the facility. Seating and standing room without having to bump elbows with other passengers.
2019	1	2	3	Canopy, windows	Windows, modern looking, lights outside	None	Easy to drive up to
2020	3	1	2	Glass allowing natural light	Open concept	brick and no light	Coffee and food options past security.
2021	2	3	1	Simple, clean, white based wall color	I like simple design! Not much fancy decoration! Chair with electric outlet... so we can charge our phones.	Dark interior	WiFi access, improved parking area.. I cannot walk with my suitcase, I broke my suitcase.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2022	2	1	3	Very well-rounded design, with a nice balance of materials used. Very inviting.	Prominent signage	Prominent signage	Functional space, lots of natural light and nature-influenced design, balance of safety features and ease of movement throughout airport.
2023	1	2	3	It is bright	Nothing	Looks too much like a school	Ample space for waiting
2024	3	1	2	B is beautiful!!	The lights.	Windows.	Feels welcoming and warm.
2025	3	1	2	that it is open inside. very impressive	clean lines	2nd floor was interesting.	easy of getting in and out of terminal. ease of meeting people at arrivals. ease of spending time with family before passing thru TSA
2026	3	2	1	Separate arrival and departure entrances. Seating. One level	Openness glass viewing outside for time occupation while waiting	I like to have a more attractive outside than option c but I like the two entrance and departure. I like the outside of option b	Separate entrance for arrival and departure. Larger Area for waiting. One level. Restrooms
2027	1	2	3	The light colors and bright, sunny spaces	?	I like the set up inside the most of this, with the exception of color scheme	Layout/clarity of where to go
2028	3	2	1	The compact space, the accessibility of restrooms, ease of boarding... a lot of thought was put into the functionality of this design.	This design is the most appealing to the eye. The windows and exterior canopy are great.	The ease of finding ticketing counters, the clean white interior color pallet, and the second floor work well here.	It should be easy to drop off, find gates, and security should be as painless as possible.
2029	1	3	2	clerestory windows daylighting the main area	nothing about this design really appeals to me except the fact that it is more utilitarian, therefore should be considerably less expensive to build,	interior color palette with a little more color	ease of use, "high tec" design that is timeless and not trendy
2030	2	1	3	I really like the open and welcoming look to option B.	Honestly I don't care for the style of the 2nd option. It looks more like a school building	The darker exterior color isn't bad.	Openness, easy access to entrance and nice big windows.
2031	1	2	3	The high level of accessibility. Smaller foot print.	Similar not as much change.	Overall not attractive.	Easy accessibility for patrons.
2032	2	3	1	Seems most modern and doesnt look cheap	Not too much different than my first selection. Just like the darker color	Looks like the entrance to a cheap motel	Getting people in and out quickly without TSA backups or luggage delays.
2033	3	2	1	I like the inside features. More colorful. The description reads like it will have less congested flow of people	Second pick exterior looks a little better than first pick. First pick exterior looks like a warehouse. But passengers spend their time inside so make the inside comfortable	The look of my least favorite design is so ugly. They took the look of the current airport and made it 2 stories of ugly. My least favorite design has the worst looking floors,walls ,counters etc. where do you even find building supplies that look that utilitarian	The number one thing the airport terminal needs is when the passengers are getting on or disembarking the plane the gate needs to have the cover walkway or bridge so you aren't outside in the weather trying to find your plane. Walking around the tarmac with no signage is so stupid . Second have enough bathrooms for passengers of all ages. Basically go down to Springfield-Branson airport ( the one in north Springfield MO) and make it like that one. It's over built for the future but a nice airport
2034	1	2	3	A looks like the natural light would be nice in option A	Widows along the top.	Don't have anything.	Speed, parking, staying dry when it is raining!
2035	1	3	2	covered walkway in the front	flexible seating options	na	aesthetically appealing ease of access inside and out comfortable/flexible seating if we have to wait for a flight

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2036	1	2	3	Looks clean and functional.	The high roof, I liked.	Color of brick.	Functionality. It HAS to be easy to function and navigate. And cleanliness, as well as easy access to bathroom.
2037	3	2	1	I love the inside color of option C. The other two are to plain.	I like the window front of the building.	I just don't like anything about option A.	Police and fire are a major unseen part of an airport. They need a new, up to date office area. Maybe even a nice restaurant to wait for my flight. Easy and fast baggage pickup.
2038	1	2	3	None	None	All	Hotel, food
2039	2	1	3	Openness, natural light	Two story not needed	Colors	Signage and the flow
2040	2	1	3	Covered from the rain/snow during passenger drop-off/pick-up. Security entry point on ground level	Having the most covering from the elements during passenger drop off & pick up is VERY important. Also having ample eatery options and bathrooms both inside and outside the security areas	Design 3 was fine, I just prefer bigger awnings	Protected from the elements during passenger drop off and pick up as well as plenty of eatery options inside the terminal while waiting for a flight plenty of bathroom options, easy to maneuver, And above all ample, well lit, free parking!!!!
2041	2	3	1	Simple and functional	Color	Drive way	Easy access Good design
2042	2	1	3	High glass middle section of Option B	The front awnings/overhang area and the height of the building	The front awnings/overhang	Comfort, low stress feel, easily navigable to rental cars and other ground services, luxury (within reason), nod to local aviation heroes, good eating/drinking options, and convenience
2043	3	2	1	Arrival and Departure feature areas separate and ,covering from elements	Natural lightings	Outdoor seating	Signs to be able to find areas quickly. (Airline ,Checking In ,Gates.Etc.Efficient security procedures, to get on my flight without delays.Amenities that making visiting the airport as pleasant as possible :More comfortable seating, food areas before and after security ,restroom and charging station by all gates. Also have flights boards Thur-out airport so more social distancing. It's also nice to have waiting parking lot . So people don't have to keep circling an airport pick up .
2044	3	1	2	Lots of light	Color of the exterior	#3 has an ugly exterior	Convenience, cleanliness and efficiency
2045	3	1	2	Open	Brick	White	Efficiency
2046	1	2	3	2 story design but exterior could use more of the Option B appearance. From the outside looks like a High-school	Exterior appearance and spacious interior	Interior lay out is okay but exterior is just not appealing	Ticketing Space, flow into on boarding area and TSA efficiency. Gate ways and accommodations for larger planes in the future.
2047	1	2	3	The open air feeling that two stories provides.	It's a very close second to Option A. Has a nice balance and feel going from outside the terminal to the inside. And the aviation history seems well presented.	Exterior is very plain, but interior is inviting with the color scheme and art/decor hanging from ceiling.	Comfortable seating areas on both sides of TSA screening, and a restaurant and bar.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2048	1	2	3	I like the light colors and extra natural light.	I like the wooden accents.	Don't like the red.	Comfortable seating with lots of access to electrical outlets.
2049	2	1	3	The open glass on B is pretty, provides natural light, and looks more clean and inviting. Also, it's architecturally pleasing.	Option A is ok - I like the glass, it the building is boring and has no architectural appeal.	Option C is not pretty. It has no architectural appeal and looks like a high school building.	Safe, easy to navigate, large clean restrooms, lots of seating - LOTS of wall plugs. One plug per chair would make the largest difference in the world!
2050	2	1	3	The big windows	The overhang	None	The big windows
2051	3	1	2	I like that it seems to incorporate some of the existing building's features. We came up with our own designs for COU in my Architectural Studies Thesis class 10 years ago, and I've come to appreciate repurposed structures more.	I really enjoy the waiting/lounge area in the interior of Design C. Open and airy.	I like the clerestory and the floor to ceiling windows of the entrance that allow for natural light.	Signage and way-finding, ample space at ticketing counters, ability to move through TSA lines quickly.
2052	2	1	3	The roof overhang. And the lighting	The windows on the second story, letting lots of light in!	The colors	Modern, clean, open and airy
2053	3	1	2	Natural lighting and glass	Interior colors	Nothing	Efficient entrance and exits
2054	1	2	3	It's open and bright	I like the long building.	I like the brick. But really, anything is an upgrade to what we have now.	Ease through TSA screening, a restaurant, and security personnel near by. I'd like to see security and the fire department where I have to stand and board. I think the public safety area needs rebuilt also. They have an old area with sinking floors.
2055	1	2	3	The neutral color palette and sleek style.	Exterior design!	I do not like the 3rd option at all. Too much red	Starbucks!!!
2056	2	3	1	I liked the sophisticated and very simple design of the outside of option C. The interior is amazing and beats the two others on the interior because of the unique and beautiful design.	It looks clean and nice.	Very modern but too into the future.	Simple and practical, which is what the first option is, yet it is very outgoing.
2057	3	2	1	Use of existing structure	Close to originsl	Nothing	More flights to south and East. Free parking
2058	2	1	3	I love the big Windows of B. I think it'll age well.	I like the clean look and the flow	I like the logo	The flow has to work well where things get moving. If people are stuck there, some place not terrible and relaxing to stay in.
2059	3	1	2	Connection to history	Covered connectors	2nd story for a smaller footprint and less grade	Open and roomy, short distance to gates
2060	2	3	1	I love the flexibility and low carbon footprint	The interior rendering is impressive	?	Restrooms after security, comfortable waiting area at gate
2061	1	2	3	Very open and BRIGHT	Lots of windows and light	More open than current	Bright, inviting. Food and beverage options!!
2062	2	1	3	Open and spacious. Clean, warm colors.	Second story	Open spaces	Plenty of room so as not to feel cramped in with other passengers. Comfortable and spacious seating. Plenty of restroom stalls.
2063	2	1	3	Open and artistic	Easy access	Nothing. Kind of boring	Artistic. Flying should be an adventure not just a way to get around. Make it an experience and more people will fly out of Columbia.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2064	2	1	3	Impressive entrance.	Lighter interior tones.	This design looks like a suburban chain restaurant. Please don't choose this one.	As much natural light as possible, open views of the runway and planes, less cramped operating quarters. Less obnoxious baggage claim.
2065	2	1	3	Light	Looks like a hospital	Logo of the plane	Footprint, and architecturally avoiding a box.
2066	3	1	2	Big windows and external architecture.	Logo	V shape architecture on front of building.	Fast security and plenty of outlets.
2067	3	2	1	Darker brick	Architecture is nice	Looks like a school	Inviting
2068	3	1	2	Simple / cost conscious	Simple	Nice Flag	Easy in and out, free parking, simple
2069	2	1	3	I like the architecturally appealing and that the building actually has character and not just a box box.	The use of two the different building materials to make up the exterior of the building	It is simple and would not have as much maintenance as the other two buildings	Enough lighting
2070	1	2	3	Two levels make sense. Like the clean and bright look.	Like the glass entry.	Didn't really see anything I liked here.	Easy entry. Rolling a suitcase over a graveled lot sucks. Want a bridge to enter plane, not stairs. Want some elbow room for social distancing. Some food/drinks for purchase without dealing with a machine would be nice.
2071	2	3	1	Option C had separate arrivals and departures, also don't see steps in front elevation	Level entrance no steps seen in front entrance	The text in each one did not cover same info, so hard to evaluate	Convenient access. Walking in from parking lot with suit case and carry on, don't want to deal with sidewalk steps
2072	1	3	2	Clean and spacious. Good coloring.	None.	None.	Two story so you have better views.
2073	2	1	3	Entrance of B.	Driveway.	C looks too generic.	Dedicated line up area to ticket counter.
2074	2	3	1	I like the wide parking area for the drop off pick up area, I like the way the inside was spacious and that there was a nice sitting areas. The colors with the brick look very professional and mesh well together.	I like the clean and simple look on the exterior and interior and the three lane for the pick-up drop off area, I like that there are also escalators.	I didn't like the drop off and pick up area it looks too small for a lot of cars that would going through there. It would be hard to quickly unload or load while other cars are waiting for you.	Parking, drop areas, I also want a nice sitting/waiting area and a place to get coffee or a bottle of water if I needed too.
2075	2	3	1	Separate entrance and exit One level Lots of seating Very colorful (hope it doesn't look outdated too soon)	Long drop off area with cover Sunlight flooding the interior Least liked - 2 level design w/ escalator	Nice natural light Least liked - pretty much looks like a bigger version of what we have, both inside and out. Big open space, where's the quick easy to follow directional information?	Easy to figure out where to go when you are running late. Good seating, and these days access to charging plugs -- not charging stations that can be hacked. Easy movement from ticket counter through security. on return, easy and fast access to luggage and your car.
2076	1	2	3	The colors and how it looks.	Flag	The dark brown exterior on option 3.	Easy of access, nice parking, plenty of restrooms, many places to drop off/pickup guests, distance between drop off/pick up to the terminals.
2077	1	2	3	Openness and glass on top	Open layout inside	Dark brick	Easy to navigate- food and beverage options
2078	3	1	2	Lighting	Clean lines	Brick color	Roominess
2079	2	1	3	Large glass front	Canopy at entry	Accent stone	.



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2080	1	2	3	Two story design that allow for future expansions.	The clearstory.	None. The design is dated and boring.	Flow and comfortable gate areas with plenty of device charging options.
2081	2	1	3	Open and more inviting	curb side awning	promenant COU Branding	Ease of drop off and pick up Easy parking options
2082	1	2	3	2 story, smaller footprint	No opinion	No opinion	Appearance and energy efficiency
2083	1	2	3	Simple and visually appealing design that is the most timeless design. Appears to fit it's purpose and meet the needs a passengers.	Exterior looked good but liked interior of #1 better.	Not appealing to the eye from exterior. Brick warehouse building with few features.	Ease of flow when arriving and departing. That it can grow as use grows and that it is a place our community can be proud of that will be appealing and relevant for many years to come.
2084	2	1	3	Nice glassy entrance; classy appearance with the dark brick color.	I like how the building is two stories, lending itself to future expansion. It doesn't wow me architecturally but is pleasant and functional.	I really don't like this one. It looks like a warehouse.	A nice contemporary, civic appearance. Easy motion through security and to the planes is also important but shouldn't be a huge issue given Columbia's rather light traffic load. I also like having a good view of the runway/aircraft from the terminal.
2085	2	1	3	Openness, sheltered area with view of drive up area	Looks like it might have more natural light inside the whole building.	I don't really like it.	Not crowded or cramped. Attractive exterior. Lighting
2086	3	1	2	All glass	More seating rooms, well lighted tall glass	None	Good seating specially at the gate, more bathrooms after going through security, more seats while waiting to check bags
2087	3	1	2	I love Option B, I think option B goes very well with the architectural of our beautiful Columbia Missouri. I love the colors and large glass windows that It give you a beautiful views of nature from our town. Also important that this Design give you the feeling your outside no inside a boxy building . I like the contemporary look without been to extreme. Love love Love	None. The design is boxy but a little better than option 3.	I do not like at all. It is box with two entrances. Design wise is not very well thought. IT look like they design was created in and hour. Do not represent any designer love for the project.	The most important part in designing and airport Is the flow. Easy access to passengers to go in and out. I with minimal structures that interrupted the flow. The design need so good that no need for signs to direct passengers where to go. The exterior need to represent the location. The materials exterior and interior need to be simple and low maintenance without sacrificing the design.
2088	3	2	1	Energy efficiency, one story, room for expansion	Looks nice	None	Easy access, expansive room
2089	2	1	3	Windows	Also windows but fewer than option B	Looks too boxy and like a middle school	Bright and airy - doesn't feel small and old
2090	2	1	3	Love the glass in the front.	I like the sort of modernized mid-century style	Hmm...maybe the brick?	Attractiveness, good restaurants
2091	2	1	3	Feels more futuristic, functional, and friendly.	N/a	N/a	Open, light, and warm feel, while being functional.
2092	1	2	3	The light coming in through the windows.	I like the home-y feel to the materials. It feels like traverse city's airport.	I don't like anything about my third option. It's too basic and elementary.	Good lighting, high efficiency/green materials, great views of the airfield.
2093	1	3	2	It has natural light at the ceiling level and looks easy to access by car, with the 3 lanes	It looks the cheapest. (Most similar to current design)	I don't like anything. First, it doesn't look accessible with those stairs. Second, it faces east/south-east, that is going to be a sunny headache certain days of the year. Third, it looks difficult for car traffic.	Ease of access, reduced hassles inside and outside. BATHROOM PAST THE SECURITY AREA. Open plan when feasible. Natural light--but not burning direct sunlight for hours at a time.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2094	1	3	2	It looks like an airport terminal. The interior two story space adds so much volume.	The exterior materials appear richer.	The interior heights.	This only happens every 60 years plus so we need something that provide a significant impact on our community and offer great views.
2095	1	2	3	Lots of natural light for inside via windows. Modern looking	Lots of windows and complementary brick	Looks dark and dull	Space, natural light, openness
2096	2	1	3	I think it looks better. Like the surrounding area.	It's ok.	I think it would stick out like a sore thumb.	I would like for it to be easy to navigate through. I would like it to have a small little shop that I can pick up something I forgot. And maybe a little restaurant nothing big or but local where I could pick up breakfast.
2097	3	1	2	Exterior-this is aesthetically pleasing. Looks clean, modern, "fast" and welcoming. Option A looks like an aesthetic afterthought-like the aftermarket untreated deck on a designer home. Option C looks like a generic office out parcel on a strip mall.	Interior of A is lovely and I like the options of both ground and 2nd level boarding in A and C.	See above.	Quick access to check ins. Lots of bathrooms, lots of outlets. Food drink options in secure and non secure areas. Easy parking.
2098	1	2	3	Very inviting	More natural windows	Not much, not a fan	Welcoming travelers from here and from afar
2099	3	1	2	The atrium windows	Sleek look	Awning	Ease of check in and security checks. Ease of baggage claim
2100	1	2	3	2 story	Open clear view	None	Boarding and deplaning
2101	1	2	3	I like how it appears to be spacious and light, with lots of glass. I also like the 2-story design with separation between gates and baggage claim. Finally, the compactness of the 2-story plan makes potential future expansion easier.	This design too appears to be spacious and light, with lots of glass.	Not a big fan of option C: exterior and interior materials look kind of cheap, and this design has the least open feel of the three.	Given that COU will finally be transitioning away from a cramped, dark building with cheap materials that is a very unappealing gateway to the city, I think we need a spacious, modern, light-filled terminal that will be a pleasure to see upon arrival and to spend time in upon departure.
2102	1	2	3	Light colors - white/neutral.	Large windows/high ceiling	Dark colors	Open design, plenty of amenities airside
2103	1	2	3	I like how clean and modern the design, while minimizing the building footprint and the use of solar panels. It has a classic color scheme that will age well and not become dated.	I like the expansive opening, the white stairs, and the line of windows at the top, but I don't want to sacrifice environmentally friendly features which limit heating/cooling/water costs purely for aesthetics.	I appreciate the focus on amenities in the design, but they incorporated a lot of the current logo in the design. This could become dated if the logo ever changes. It also reminds me of a movie theater.	Make it less awkward to get from check in (the baggage drop off area in the current terminal blocks those who use the kiosks) to the gate. Make it self sustainable, with a living roof, compost collection of food waste if you add dining, or water-recapturing improvements. Have a place for pets, sensory disabilities, but make it way more eco friendly!!
2104	1	2	3	Has a more open feel spacious.	Still had the open feel not so constraining	Feels Closed not very welcoming	Welcoming, not cramped
2105	1	2	3	Modern look, color scheme and lots of windows	Nothing	Sleek design	Great coffee shop included and easy flow through
2106	3	1	2	Warmth	Light	Nothing	Ease of finding your way around

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2107	2	1	3	I like the window facade of B and the classic interior design with the references to the Stephens airport. It looks easy to navigate and will withstand time with the interior design features	Unknown	The interior of C looks like a carnival scene and will outdate itself quicker.	Ease of access to gates and security
2108	3	2	1	Low maintenance	Not two story building	Too much glass	Low cost
2109	2	1	3	Can only go by exterior because you have shown me NO interior features! Of the three exterior designs, this own is the least "boxy". It's more inviting and easier on the eyes.	While my second pick is still "boxy", it is at least of a light color. But, it still looks as though it was thrown together in a matter of weeks. But, hey. If it doesn't 'fly' as an airport, perhaps Lowes, Home dept, or Costco would be interested in this cheap-looking, Big Box design!	See my comment to question 3. This one only ranks lower because of its dark color scheme!	Lots of room at check-in counters and baggage claim. Easy access to car rental companies. Good flow from check-in, through security to gates, and back to baggage claim.
2110	3	1	2	The combination of the materials is a lovely blend of classic neutral colors and pleasing textures in a modern yet classic design.	The awnings are a nice touch to the boxy design.	The light colors are nice and comforting.	The smoothness of traffic flow. Going quickly from A to B to C makes traveling easy and pleasant.
2111	1	2	3	2nd story and lots of windows	The glass (open) lobby area	Entranceways are nice	Modern looking with windows for light and views of outside while waiting
2112	1	3	2	Light and bright 2 story space.	Nothing	Nothing	Parking Larger restrooms Coffee shop
2113	1	3	2	I like the light colors and covered walkway. I also like the windows at the top of the building letting in natural light.	Clean lines.	High coverage has a nice look.	Room to maneuver, plenty of seating, plenty of restrooms, and food options. I have four children and someone is always hungry and/or needs to use the restroom.
2114	2	1	3	Looks more friendly, openness	Very few windows inviting people to come in	Uninviting	Would love to see the interior design. Prefer an open layout
2115	2	1	3	The glass gives it an openness	Contemporary design	Too industrial looking	Easy check in and departure. Meaning multiple lanes with user friendly lanes. Plenty of curbside pickup and drop off. Easy to read signage.
2116	2	1	3	Option B More interesting exterior, i think it needs a little more height to it, even if it is just for looks.	Love all the natural light and clean inside look. I think a better balance of brick and white paint.	I didn't see much I liked about option C.	Safety, efficiency, and cleanliness
2117	1	2	3	More modern, better color palette	Great amount of glass	Boring colors, not enough glass	Security close to entrance, good seating at lounges
2118	1	3	2	The upper windows will bring more natural light into the facility. The covered area running the length of the building look fantastic, and serve a tremendous purpose.	The cantilevered roof over the entrance/exit look great.	It has a portion of the upper windows that my favorite design has throughout.	Efficiency, natural light, and a covered drop off/pick up area.
2119	1	3	2	Light & Airy and I like the simplicity inside	I like the arrival and departure areas clearly marked	nothing.	I like simple - don't need fancy. Just able to know where to go and be comfortable while waiting.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2120	2	1	3	The 26-foot Gateway inside. Don't much like the outside, but it's better than the others.	Two stories sounds like a good idea.	Exterior color.	Ease of use, open-air environment, imaginative architecture. I don't see much of the latter in any of these options. Also, it would be good to know who submitted these plans and how much it would cost to build them.
2121	3	2	1	Looks least like a school of the three options.	Nothing, looks like a school.	The American flag	Look at Denver, CO or Madison, WI
2122	1	2	3	two floors, restrooms, clean and neutral colors	the lit stairs leading up to the entrance, couch seating	more parking spots, memorabilia	clean and comfort
2123	3	2	1	Exterior: looks like an airport that belongs in mid Missouri Interior: unique pictures on the walls depicting Mid Mo places as well as the color scheme to match COU logo!!	Openness as well as all the windows but looks expensive to maintain	It looks very tall and clunky, don't really understand the need for a second story	Accessibility as well as easy way finding, no confusion about where to go
2124	1	2	3	I love that option A has natural lighting and two levels. I think the two stories give it the feeling of a larger airport and would provide room for growth.	I feel that option B sort of looks like a school, both inside and out. I do really like the up-lighting that is incorporated on the outside of the building, as well as the natural light that the windows would provide. Though I wonder if having that much glass on the front part of the building would make the inside extra cold in the winter/hot in the summer.	I really don't like the look of option C. I feel like the outside looks like a movie theatre and I also think that with so many colors on the inside, it will look outdated sooner than the other two designs. I like how option A has a very light and clean look, maybe a small amount of color could be added in subtle ways. I like that option C has the COU logo is affixed to the outside of the building.	Safety, cleanliness, access to food/beverages after going through security, close parking options, well designed drop off/pick up lane
2125	2	1	3	Overall curb appeal of the outside. Nice use of color on the inside, not too bland but not overwhelming. Tying together the history by displaying the Stephens beam in full view.	Covered drop-off area using the solar fins.	There's really nothing we liked about the least favored option.	Ease of use for current use, but also capability of being expanded as it grows.
2126	1	2	3	Light, bright, large windows	The overhang	The logo up on the building instead of on a sign in front. Or both.	Easy to access, continuing to have free parking, efficient security line
2127	3	1	2	Option B has a very appealing and defined entry. Seems like a nice mix of daylight which works well with interior finishes picked.	The brick and canopies are nice but it seems dark.	The height of the structure but it seems institution like.	Appeal and ease of getting to your destination. Amenities for a layover are also a plus.
2128	1	2	3	Light bright energy efficient. Easy access boarding Ramps. Saves space for future expansion	None	None	It's actually easy & cheap parking that are important
2129	2	1	3	Light open and airy. Like design and colors	Wide entry/drop off area	None	Easy to load/unload, pick up drop off, covered walkway, clear line of sight to what you are looking for
2130	1	2	3	The windows and bright lighter colors make it the space feel fresh, new, and clean.	What I like most about the second design is that the space feels business chic. I wouldn't mind coming in early to sit and work in a space like this. I like the top of the building with the height of the added windows.	Do not like this design. It looks like a high school storage shed.	Business friendly, A lot of windows, open seating, coffee shop.
2131	1	3	2	Open simple concept	Overhang is stylish	The overhang is plain	Efficiency

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2132	2	1	3	Openness	Windows	Closed front	Ease of drop offs and pick ups
2133	2	1	3	Great curb appeal, plenty of windows, and doesnt look like a giant box of a building	Its modern looking, but looks like a box with windows	More windows, looks like a prison	Efficiency and safety
2134	3	2	1	2 entries/3 lanes	windows/light	light and bright	ease of access (unloading, getting to right airline desks quickly; streamlined and efficient path from car to plane; calming colors; comfortable wait areas; charging stations; food; great visual signage
2135	1	2	3	Extended canopy for multiple cars. Natural light, use of high glass in upper wall for more light. Interior color choices, natural tones.	None	None	Lasting, timeless, functional, practical, natural colors and components.
2136	2	3	1	Interior is very modern and reminiscent of a larger city airport	Interior is open and full of natural light	Looks like an elementary school	Logical flow from entry though checking and security to baggage and waiting areas. Same but reverse for arrivals
2137	1	3	2	Pleasing colors	Open design	Too stark	Easy parking
2138	3	2	1	Looks welcoming	Nothing	Looks like a hanger	Unknown
2139	1	3	2	Clean professional look and timeless	None	Looks dated	Open easy access
2140	1	3	2	solar fins, abundant natural light	color scheme, historical references	I very much like the color schemes in design B and the ways it evokes the landscape. I do not like the interior color scheme in Design A at all but it is the best exterior design and the clerestory is great	accessibility, sustainability/environmentalism, well-designed modern restrooms, logical flow of foot traffic, comfortable seating, no carpets (they get disgusting and slow down roller bags)
2141	1	2	3	The light white coloring!	The brick	Least amount of windows	Starbucks
2142	2	1	3	Modern look, natural lighting and safe walking area from parking.	The glass roof.	Nothing.	Accessibility to ticket counter from main entrance.
2143	2	1	3	Glass front and height	Glass	N/a	Bathrooms
2144	1	2	3	The exterior of the building is fully covered by canopy, allowing for a comfortable transition between interior and exterior spaces. The interior space is bright and comfortable. The design of the facades clearly correlates to the needs and experience of the interior space. This design seems professional, comfortable, and efficient. This project places human experience first and is clearly the best airport and the best first impression available for people arriving to this community	This design is also good. However it looks like it could be a school, or office, or many other things. It doesnt stand out or leave a lasting impression.	This option is by far the worst. In its description it clearly places architectural convenience over human experience. The design of this building is most comparable to an industrial distribution center. Choosing this design would be settling to satisfy a budget, not people. This community can do better than this.	Human experience, quality of interior space. The best airports in the world make people feel comfortable through light and spatial organization
2145	2	1	3	High ceilings	Light colors and second floor for boarding planes	None	Clean and open
2146	3	2	1	Futuristic, contemporary, the others look like a school	Drive up drop off	nothing	idk

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2147	1	3	2	Love the 2-story concept and the look overall.	Really don't like the design at all, but it's the only one that indicated additional parking spots.	I like the interior look of this option.	Spaciousness and effective use of the space. Logical and efficient people traffic flow, and good signage.
2148	1	2	3	Large area for dropping-off. Largest overhead canopy at drop-off. Free parking in lot.	Lots of glass in from let in natural light and let travelers see in and outside.	Small area for dropping and returning passengers. Paid parking lot.	Visually easy to see and understand where I need to go to make my plane. Visual.
2149	2	1	3	Convenience with car and walking steps	Brighter	Dark	Convenient and well organized
2150	1	3	2	A	X	X	X
2151	1	2	3	I love the large entry way atrium with lots of natural lighting. I like the calm color selections and the two-story aspect.	None.	None.	A nice view when I'm waiting for my plane. Food options before and after security (coffee!).
2152	2	1	3	The exterior of option B looks inviting!	I like the glass curtain wall.	The entry is ok. It could be made to be more inviting.	Easy to get in and out of. Traffic flow inside and outside.
2153	2	1	3	More windows/glass - more modern	The overhang and lots of windows	Too much brick - outdated looking.	Open hallways/lots of room. Organized parking/security of parking lots. Food inside - not just vending machines. Clean restrooms. Charging stations for phones/laptops. Comfortable chairs/seating.
2154	2	1	3	Glass frontage	Overhang to protect passengers at drop off/pick up in case of inclement weather.	None	Having a smooth, ramp-like walkway from the parking lot to the entrance of the building (no one wants to drag a suitcase up steps). Bathrooms with multiple large stalls so you can bring in your suitcase. Enough seating at the gate. A snack bar would also be nice.
2155	3	2	1	The dark brick is good, and the visibility of the logo on the building is a nice look.	The amount of exterior glass gives it a nice aesthetic. The glass makes it look more open and modern/sleek.	There appears to be a sculpture in the background. I thinking having public art outside would be a nice addition.	Clean, aesthetically pleasing/modern look, easy to navigate in.
2156	2	1	3	The open lights and windows just makes it welcoming	Same the brightness of it	Looks fine to me	Na
2157	2	3	1	Simple brickwork. Looks a lot like what we have now.	Lots of high windows.	Too many windows.	Simplicity and ease for passengers.
2158	1	2	3	covered passenger drop off	windows	nothing	easy to get around in and lots of room
2159	1	2	3	The airiness and natural light as well as the modern finishes on the inside. The brick on the exterior needs to be changed to something more modern though as the current proposal looks too much like brick on the existing terminal. I hear a lot of people wanting a bar post security as well!	The prominence of the main entry area is really nice. Also, the design looks like it could accommodate a bar post security.	The images of this one make it look like we'll be updating the decor within the first year to modernize. I also don't see where the bar post security would be placed.	Hmmm...How about having a bar after you get through security to have a glass (or 6) of champagne prior to boarding? Modern feel is important. Natural light and high ceilings. A little play spot for kids could be cool. City and Mizzou imagery. Oh, and good music!!
2160	3	1	2	Bright and airy inside with lots of spread out seating	Fun pops of color	Felt boxy and boring	Lots of seating and plugs, easy access to parking, light and airy decor

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2161	2	1	3	Bright, airy and open. Lots of glass. Not a box; has architectural interest with variance across the front. Center entrance. It looks open and inviting.	The canopy breaks up the boxiness. It's light and bright.	Probably more energy efficient due to less glass. (Limited comments due to: nothing much attractive about this one - dark and boxy.)	Bathrooms - clean, bright, sanitary, and more for women than men (I'm a man - always waiting on my wife due to long lines for women's restroom). Food: need something land-side for everyone, but also need something (a bit more) on air-side. sufficient comfortable seating. WiFi. Plentiful powerpoints for recharging. Parking.
2162	2	1	3	Option B seems much more welcoming and is a more timeless design.	Option B appears to have an atrium with a high ceiling and windows at the top to let in light.	Option C is very dark and heavy looking. Not very welcoming.	it is important for an airport to have visible and easy to understand access for dropping off and picking up passengers.
2163	1	3	2	Separates passenger flow, exterior is bold and proud!	Exterior easy maintenance.	Use of glass at entrance	Easy drop off and check-in. No crowded lobbies.
2164	1	2	3	More modern feel. The viewing area on second floor.	Seating areas on first floor. Smooth walkway and garden lights out front but no steps	I would have to see this in bigger picture as not a favorable option at all.	Coffee or bar area. Food area and place to shop for magazines, books, last minute gifts. Comfortable seating.
2165	1	2	3	Two story is impressive striking exterior	Gate entrance	Use of glass entrance	Easy check in
2166	3	1	2	Option B is very tasteful yet not over the top. It looks much like what an airport should look	It looks very inexpensive.	It looks too flashy.	Speed to gate.
2167	3	1	2	Jet bridges. No more boarding or deplaning in the dark or bad weather.	The aviation photos	Nothing	Comfort, especially for delayed flights. Access to some type of food option(s) after security as well as enough restrooms after security.
2168	1	3	2	I like the 2 story feature, it seems the most able for future growth and this design has the smallest footprint with minimal need for excavation.	Same as the first, I like the minimal amount of disruption to the existing structure and land. I like the covered walkways to rental cars and the possibility of expansion. The colors could be dated soon and seem almost elementary school like and not a professional airport.	I don't think this design offers much for expansion for future use and it requires much external regrading of the land. I like that it showcases our history but think it is stuck too much in the past and doesn't look forward enough.	Availability for future expansion, sleek professional and forward thinking design. Impacting the current terminal use and landscape as little as possible.
2169	1	3	2	-NA-	-NA-	-NA-	An airport that can eventually handle medium size aircraft such as 737. Good views of field and operations. Comfortable waiting areas.
2170	2	1	3	Big windows, openness	Large size	Awnings	Modern look, open, amenities.
2171	2	1	3	all of it	all of it	all of it	all of it
2172	1	2	3	Option A	Option C	Option b	Inside seating
2173	2	1	3	Large windows. Covered area	Brick facade	Nothing	Convenience
2174	1	2	3	looks and feels "bigger" looks like it would have good natural light.	also feels open. i like the open nature of the entry way.	looks a little industrial/retail... like a Dave and Buster's near a mall.	Big, airy, looks like it's the link to a growing, bustling city.
2175	2	3	1	Doesn't look dark like the other 2	Like the way the inside looks	Looks simple	Comfort
2176	1	2	3	Simple yet dignified, efficeint	Appears to have second story entry to help separate arrival and departure areas.	Dark brick.stone exterior.	large enough to serve for years (or be able to expand in the future) without feeling either humongous now or disjointed later.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2177	2	1	3	Light and openness	Color	Space looks cramped	Openness and protection from outdoor weather
2178	2	1	3	B looks like a modern airport building with the way the exterior is modeled.	Option A looks a little too sleek, but perhaps the awning could be included in Option B.	None. Option C looks like a glorified fast food restaurant. Genuinely, it looks similar to like a redesigned Wendy's.	Spacious, room to sit, a larger baggage area with more seating and better lighting.
2179	1	2	3	Complete design!	Green space.	I don't. Option C does not appeal to me.	Be the part AND look the part. For many, this is what people's first impression is, when seeing Columbia for the first time. Let's get it right.
2180	1	3	2	Clean appearance	Large windows	Nothing	Easy access
2181	1	2	3	The long awning for guests waiting for pickup on inclement weather days. Also like the skylights. Looks like it would be bright inside.	Lots of windows.	It appears there is more than one entrance/exit.	1. Feels safe, any time of day or night. 2. Convenience of baggage claim. But, more than any features, I choose my airports for cost effectiveness.
2182	2	1	3	I like the exterior look of design 2.	I like the overhang feature of design 1.	I like the lit up logo on design 3	Customer-friendly, easy to navigate, close parking
2183	2	3	1	Simple	Ugly	Ugly	Amenities after security screening
2184	1	2	3	Glass	Entry	Nothing	Ease of travel
2185	2	1	3	What I like about Option B is that there are interior features that shows the history of Missouri and the history of Columbia. Also, the interior of option B looks very open compared to the other two options.	For option A, I like that the building is two stories.	For option C, I like the fact that there is an option for boarding via jet bridge and ground boarding.	What is most important to me in an airport terminal is showing the history of the city and surrounding area. Also, it is important to show items that showcase what the city and surrounding area is all about (i.e. sports teams, nearby attractions, etc.).
2186	1	3	2	Clean, bright, natural light, only one that clearly showed 2nd level	I dont really like my 2nd choice, but I chose it over my 3rd choice, because the 3rd choice looks exactly like the current building.	The exterior lighting is ok, that's about the only thing I notice.	Modern, clean, easy and quick to navigate
2187	1	2	3	Second story boarding, energy efficiency design	Lots of windows for natural light	Very little light	Convenience, efficient and smart design for queuing, boarding, and exiting
2188	2	1	3	While having more glass and cleaning it can suck in my opinion more visibility and openness look well. Also the circle drive looks more professional and controlled	The front circle looks more open but the half brick in the middle design of the building is horrible. outdated looking. Leave our k-12 school designs to themselves ;) As for what deserves to come to option A--the COU sign and the bushes/sucrubry look well and should be included in the first design	The window design--the half shaded looks good. Also the overhangs in the enter/exits Would do well to provide cover in stormy weather	Minimalistic yet up to date look. Keep green around our airport. nothing advanced--but good amount of grass--few trees--some shrubbery. Do not let it be one big concrete jungle.
2189	1	2	3	All the glass!	Two floors	The third one looks too much like what we have--boring	Light bright and spacious
2190	2	1	3	Open	Modern	Not much different than current	Open plan.



	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2191	2	1	3	Option B is inviting and aesthetically pleasing...the spacious, open entry way and building facade are very welcoming. Also love the interior color scheme and fixtures. They are modern, yet timeless and I feel that they will serve passagers well over time without appearing to be a dated fad.	I appreciate how option A pays attention to sustainability and energy efficiency.	Familiar COU logo signage	Convenient location of ticket agents and food/beverage options, comfortable seating with plenty of USB and electric outlets.
2192	1	2	3	Sleek and modern, minimalist, will still look nice in another 20 years (will not look dated)	Sleek just like option A, also lots of windows/natural light just like A	None.	Clean, easy to navigate, plenty of space so people aren't crowded, aesthetics that are sleek and simple and will stand the test of time
2193	1	3	2	I liked the boarding on second story. Love the color selections that are indicative to the area. The exterior brick chosen to match other buildings makes it more cohesive. This also looks like the only two story option.	I like option A the best, the two story I think is the way to go. Again, you aren't giving us a floor plan to see hard to say what I would change on the interior.	Again, I like option A the best, the two story is the way to go. Give us a floor plan to see, I can't tell you what I would change without it.	It's all about the passenger experience. What is the best flow of ingress and egress. Also, what is the best model for future expansion. Also these options should have included a rendering of the inside so public could see the flow of people. I feel like all you are giving us to look at is the outside and color selections.
2194	2	1	3	The windows	Wider front	None	Seating and quickness
2195	1	2	3	Lots of light, color pattern, feels like there is more open space.	None	None	Waiting room area with enough seating, easy pick off and drop off. Perhaps increasing food services (everyone survives on the two bending machines)
2196	2	1	3	It actually looks like an airport terminal. I travel quite a bit and I find the material choice as well as the architecture both aesthetically pleasing. Looks expandable, modern, yet the design will age well.	the canopy along the road. It's a very common thing at an airport and would provide protection from rain and snow for people waiting for pick up from the airport. Also gives shuttle stop potential	Nothing. I hate this one. I know someone spent hours upon hours designing this but that's not gonna make me like it. It will age like the old terminal and in a decade or two feel out of date and old and quite frankly a bus stop again.	At least one dining option, A smooth flowing TSA checkpoint area, and for the love of god jetways please. I understand it's easier to just walk to the plane and that most of the aircraft flying into the airport are CRJ's but jetways would increase the appeal of the airport to other airlines as well as make it feel more legitimate.
2197	1	3	2	It looks cool and a little mid century	The brickwork	The windows	Ease of parking and convenience.
2198	1	2	3	Open and bright, a lot of natural lighting	The Gateway concept.	The elevated plane side bonding.	Easy to navigate, food options near gate, easy access to power outlets for charging electronics, natural lighting
2199	2	1	3	Option B looks much more impressive and soaring. The airport is Columbia's chance to impress people coming to the city. The other two are much too utilitarian.	While I do not like the interior features as well (narrow halls) the opening to the second floor does add scale.	This is looks way too utilitarian and "small town". One could utilize the seating areas that are carpeted and recessed.	I think it needs to look impressive after all these years with the "shack" we are dealing with now. It needs to be functional, of course, and comfortable so matching these up will be a challenge to the architect.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2200	1	3	2	2nd story boarding would be a nice advantage. Lobby looked bright and inviting	Lobby is very nice , not sure how boarding ramps would be.	Windows would make a wonderful light source	We are part time residents at The lake of the Ozarks and flying in and out would be very easy and less time consuming than the present. Hopefully new airlines will open a base there.
2201	2	1	3	I like the glass, generous over hang.	light	looks like a box store.	Access in and out. Openness. Adequate space and seating to wait. Well functioning services - e.g. baggage, fueling, maintenance, etc.
2202	1	2	3	A-I like the covered area for drop off (seems like there is more compared to the other options). I also like that the interior is fresh and clean looking that is open.	B is also clean, fresh and open looking.	I don't like the exterior and the interior has darker colors which makes it seem more closed in.	Cover for drop off
2203	2	1	3	Color, just the general architecture	I think an overhang would be nice.	Overhang	I want it to look nice overall. When I go on a trip, I'm excited. I don't want to be met with an old, dingy airport.
2204	1	3	2	Boarding is covered and on second level.	Color scheme and multiple drive up lanes	Historical displays	More comfortable seating, nursing room, boarding at same level as plane so it's covered
2205	2	1	3	The raised entry with glass all around. The exposed structure with wood tones. The large, open entry space able to let artists be creative.	The 2 story layout with future flexibility and reduced upfront cost and reduced footprint. The modern look.	The increased parking and cheaper cost design	Flexibility for future growth and technology solution. Identity specific to Columbia. Reduced impact to current terminal and parking
2206	2	1	3	Windows, lighting. Not too boxy.	Clean, contemporary, simple design.	N/A.	Coffee, seating, ample facilities.
2207	2	1	3	I love the lighting at the top of the building because the terminal would be filled with natural sunlight.	I'm just a big fan of windows. I think you can add a light and cheerful atmosphere to the terminal. There's not much to look at out there but just having some sunshine would be a big boost	I really don't like dark brick. I think we have enough of that. I like the lighter and breezier effect. I think the awning or whatever that thing is called at the front is ridiculous. When we have bad weather that thing is not going to protect anyone from the elements and if it's not constructed properly will end up crashing into the building.	Of course it would certainly be nice to have an attractive terminal that was efficiently designed and easy to understand. For example why would you ever have steps or stairs around an airport? Just to make it more difficult for people to drag around their suitcases? But none of this makes any difference if the prices continue to be outrageous.
2208	1	2	3	Sleek design	Interior color choices	None	Easy to get in and out. Lines for TSA
2209	1	3	2	I love the look of the 2-story option! It is practical, built for expansion, and has a great look! The interior is amazing as well! I wish we could see more!	Option C seems less appealing. The awning is unique.	The Option B seems less appealing, the entrance is nice. However, it looks like a vocational or technical school.	The features of this terminal should be a showcase for the community, enhance the passenger experience, and be able to be expanded for the future.
2210	3	1	2	The entryway	The color of the brick	Cou sign	Easy to access and get through
2211	3	1	2	Entryway roof overhang and windows make it look most inviting	None.	None - Looks too boxy and more post office like	Plenty of destination options, comfortable seating, variety of fast dining options
2212	1	2	3	I think the two story option gives you better flow which is similar to an airport in the Metro East in Illinois	Maybe a little more glass at the entrances or just take some brick away and add a little glass connecting the first and second floor	Nothing really stands out	Good flow thru the airport and not so stretched out
2213	1	2	3	Glass and brick	Brick	Too boxy. Industrial looken	Easy access

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2214	2	1	3	large entry area, raised boarding giving flexibility to different aircraft sizes	The small foot print of the building, the open feeling inside. Aircraft direct boarding. The outside is the least attractive of the three.	Attractive exterior design, separate doors for arrive/depart.	Flexibility for future growth. Climate controlled access to board aircraft.
2215	2	1	3	Glass	glass	too plain	open
2216	1	3	2	Brightness of color outside building is cheerful- but you don't want too much glass, for storms/ tornados in Missouri	No stairs outside	Seating but make sure it's cleanable - think virus. No materials use plastic or metal could add color with the seating	Clear signage of where to go - easy to read bold/ clean letters
2217	3	1	2	Light effects, outside pleasing design	Color	Least typical design, retro look	Ease of use, wow effect when you walk in
2218	3	2	1	3 drop-off lanes, separated entry points, parking increase	parking access and overall design is visually appealing, might be able to take the exterior design and incorporate it	honestly there wasn't much I liked about option 1	ease of access while also being visually pleasing
2219	3	1	2	The exterior looks like an airport and gives a good impression of Columbia for someone just landing	It looks more like a movie theater but it is still a very nice looking terminal	Oversized flag	Aesthetics as well as ease of use of ticket line
2220	1	2	3	simplistic, clean white interior design.	Less white/clear on the exterior and incorporate more of the brick design.	Again, more of the brick exterior would look nice. Also, raised gates, if they weren't already included, would allow us to receive larger aircraft and more destinations.	Ability to receive larger aircraft in the future. Simple base design structure that supports future expansion, without high redevelopment costs. Make it so expansion is possible in the future by simply adding on to existing structure. As far as the actual terminal, bathroom and seating.
2221	2	1	3	Appears to be a larger footprint, most "airport like" in design. Open feel to lobby area.	COU sign. The ticketing area with high ceiling and natural light look clean and modern.	COU sign on the building.	Clean, bright, well-marked signage.
2222	2	1	3	Visibility	Lets in natural light at top	Canopy	Functionality
2223	2	1	3	More space better amenities!	The design	The design	Amenities for delayed flights and wait times.
2224	1	2	3	windows	more windows	all the brick.	space to spread out and natural light
2225	2	3	1	Option C seems to take into account the need for people to sit more than other options. There's something more comfortable about it. And what do people do most in an airport? Sit and wait. Sitting comfort is key to a good airport.	I like the idea of a second floor. It's not clear if Option C has a second floor or not. A second floor seems to hold out the promise for a good food court. Another airport must-have.	I like the tall windows that let in ample light and give an "airy" feeling.	Ample comfortable seating. And a place to get something to eat and drink. And easy to find restrooms before AND after going through security. Finally, FREE PARKING and PLENTY OF IT!
2226	3	2	1	Outside Look of building. Looks efficient on the inside. Best description of design.	More light through glass.	Going upstairs to enter planes.	Ease of security screening and luggage management both arriving and leaving.
2227	1	3	2	incorporates design of adjacent structures; solar installations and looks to keep costs down; 2nd level boarding; allows for future growth and expansion	open concept in gate/waiting areas	maximum natural light/windows	ease of access to the terminal building itself, ease of access to the gate with sufficient waiting space, and intuitive design/layout
2228	2	1	3	Brighter. Less boxy.	Okay. Natural light would be great	Looks like a grocery store.	Efficient and imaginative. MKE a good first impression

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2229	1	3	2	Appears to be the most economical. Simply designs. Multiple boarding options. Increased parking	Beautiful views. Designed for future expansion. Boarding from a second floor.	None. I just liked the other 2 better.	Modern features like bathrooms. More space. Bettering HVAC. Planned for growth. Economical.
2230	2	1	3	The design	The neatness	The color	Cleaness
2231	2	1	3	Windows	Windows	Dated	Easy to navigate
2232	1	2	3	Glass second story. Lengthwise front exterior covered entry.	Glass central interior for light airy interior.	None	Second story jet bridge. Simple/easy baggage claim.
2233	3	2	1	I like the look of this option and the open feeling after you enter the building	Again, I like the open feeling of this option	dislike the two story approach, I think the flow for arrival and departures work better on one level	Openness of the building and easy traffic flow to make ones visit a memorable experience
2234	3	2	1	Option C	Stephens College Aviation Department beam and archives from Stephens telling about the program.	Steps, not escalator? Where is security?	Clearly marked areas. Restaurant/coffee shop. Interesting displays to highlight local attractions and history!
2235	2	1	3	The atrium look.	None	None	Easy traffic flow and a clean modern look.
2236	2	1	3	Glass and light, interesting design. Airy, inviting spaces on the interior.	Similar windows and entrance; second story gateway.	None. Not a very attractive building.	Easy to traverse and find ticket counters/gates, open space, amenities.
2237	2	3	1	Openness	Make flight check-in areas larger to reduce crowded conditions during any busy times.	Second floor for coffee shop and/or viewing area or seating area	Expansive, roomy, good waiting areas that have vending machines for coffee/ snacks
2238	1	3	2	extended canopy for more protection for weather while drop off and pick up	exterior signage and canopied walkway along the side to get to parking and rental cars	none	interior features such as space in the bathroom stalls to bring your luggage with you into the stall with you and room to shut the door. Currently you can't fit in the stall with your luggage so you have to leave it unattended. Doors that swing out vs. in allow more freedom. More open plan inside to allow for expansion and alternative options for different gates. No steps to drag luggage up and down in the exterior. Option is dysfunctional with the steps. TSA precheck line.
2239	2	1	3	The windows and modern look	The size	None	Spacious, modern, functional
2240	2	1	3	Option B does not appear to be a box; like the look and inclusion of history in interior.	None.	None.	Aesthetically pleasing; ease of use; includes elements that reflect local area.
2241	3	1	2	Modern	Looks stylish and safe	A bit boring looking but still functional	Safety, lighting, better functioning
2242	1	2	3	Windows	Columns	Front entrance	Ease of entrance, close parking
2243	3	2	1	The sensory room and ADA room. Modern design and colors.	The reference to the history of the airport involving Stephens College. Nice design as well.	The windows near the top of the building are nice.	Security, safety, accessibility, efficiency.
2244	1	3	2	Option A has a crisp clean look to it. Well placed use of glass to open it up. Nice long covered canopy for loading/unloading in bad weather. Ticketing is immediate and easy to get to.	I like the location of the Stephens College Aviation beam.	I do not have an option from this design that I like enough to add to Option A	Efficient layout. Minimize/eliminate cross traffic from arrivals/departures.
2245	2	1	3	Windows	Windows	None	Space to move around.

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2246	1	2	3	It has a more modern look. Option A reminds me of sports complex and Option C is similar to the current terminal look.	I like the seating design in Option C.	That it has two levels. The other two options have a lot of wasted space in the ceiling area. Loss of heat in the winter.	Bar/Grill, space for all passengers during delays and cancellations.
2247	2	1	3	C	More windows	exterior - brick too dark	Smooth flow well staffed clean conveniently spaced restrooms
2248	1	2	3	2 story	glass entry	nothing	?
2249	1	2	3	Seems more open	The glass entry	None	Entry
2250	2	3	1	The brick, lighting, canopies, upholstery, and accent colors.	Solar fins and carpet	Carpet, wall base, and wood	Ease of navigation and proximity to parking
2251	1	3	2	Marked arrival and departure. Matches current landscape. Covered area for drop off	Lighted sign	Lit sidewalk	The inside. I would like to see more on this. I can't tell you the last time I looked at the outside of an airport.
2252	1	3	2	Arrivals and departures need to be at opposite ends to allow for drop-off and pick-up parking. B and C do not appear to provide adequately. Also, drop-off and pick-up need to be covered and B does not provide for that and C's cover is too small. Worry more about function and less about the appearance.	More front windows are needed so that passengers may look for their pick-up rides. Design the canopy that provides the pick-up and drop-off cover as a shade against the western setting sun late in the day.	Not enough front windows.	Functionality. High ceilings. Openness. Hard surface floors for roller bags (no carpeting). Convenient access to rental cars. Designed to easily accommodate future expansion.
2253	3	1	2	The approach, walkup	Depart arrive	Viewing glass	Quick access/flow
2254	1	2	3	The modern look	The different levels	Looks older to me	Lots of outlets and comfy places to sit where you aren't right next to others
2255	2	1	3	I like the brick and large glass entrance, as well as the angles of the building (not boxy)	Same as my first pick, but this one is too boxy.	I like the brick.	ease of getting through the lines
2256	1	2	3	The long overhang makes the appearance look professional and sleek.	The tall glass windows in the atrium make the building seem bright and easy to navigate	The entryways are separate and create a easily accessible terminal	Easy to navigate
2257	1	2	3	Windows and thus light.	Again, windows and lots of light.	It looks like a box. Include more windows.	Windows, light, comfort, dining options, internet, nice bathrooms.
2258	3	1	2	Looks least like a barn.	Looks like a barn with some spiffy porticoes	Is a barn - but may be cost effective which is always a quandary.	Ease of arrival and departure both on the ground and in the air. Should look like we are proud of our city and want to show it off.
2259	2	1	3	Open, lots of light, visible interior, good spacing	Clearly marked entry; less clustered/busy drive; drive runs closer to the building	Awnings over entrance/exit; good for poor weather	Easy to get in and out; clear signage; open feeling
2260	2	1	3	Steps, the drop off space, circular drive, windows, visual impact	The landscaping, the art and airiness of the design, modern lobby font	COU logo. This looks like the most practical choice since it is the closest to the current building.	Visual impact, space, natural light
2261	2	1	3	It is good when an airport is well lit and open. My preference is based on this for ordering the pictures. Option B is Modern too.	It is next lit and less open compared to My option 1	Too closed. It looks like a store.	Openness, easy access and brightness
2262	1	3	2	Doesn't feel crowded	Separate exterior for arrival/departure	Exterior color	Openness- not a feeling of crowding. Clearly marked!!

	Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).			Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
2263	1	2	3	The two lane drive	More outside lighting at night	N/A	Easy parking. Easy access to entrance/exit of building/terminal.
2264	1	2	3	Option A is very modern and looks updated! The two floors would be very nice to have as well.	It still have the modern look on the outside and has high ceilings which would be very nice	Hated the last design	Having a place where one can buy water and snacks. Also comfortable seating with lots of outlets to charge your phone and laptops
2265	2	3	1	Open design	Open and has second level	More windows	Easy in and out, close parking, comfortable terminal area
2266	2	1	3	The open and airy (welcoming) feel of the glass.	I like the canopy design of this option, but find it more traditional than my first choice.	There is not much on this design I like.	For COU, I would say more spacious waiting areas, jetways.
2267	3	1	2	I like all the windows and the raised section will create a large airy interior. I also like that it has an interesting shape.	I like the wedge shaped roof and the extended awnings.	I like the awning and upper windows.	Lots of light. Good flow. Don't trap me in one place. Don't put TSA line right in front of an entrance. Restaurants and/or food kiosks in multiple locations. Coffee.
2268	1	2	3	Windows and natural light.	Windows.	Too much brick. Looks more like a restaurant.	Easy to navigate. Easy directions. Lighting.
2269	1	2	3	All of it	Can't really find anything.	Don't let it at all.	Accessibility
2270	2	1	3	Glass and natural light	The entrance on my second option is a nice look	Too dark of exterior treatments	Openness, lots of electrical outlets and seating.
2271	1	2	3	Clean lines, not super confusing.	Na	Na	Accessible, easy to navigate and cost effective
2272	1	3	2	Open design plan which allows space so travelers aren't on top of each other.	Exterior surfaces, color and clean design	Not much, sorry.	Ample space so that you're not on top of other customers.
2273	1	3	2	Everything was clean, functional, and minimalist style.	None.	None.	Clean, minimalist style.
2274	1	2	3	Love 2 story option. Great view of airfield and planes.	Lighting effect at night is nice. Would like to see Option A at night.	Nothing. Ugly building	Good covered drop off and pickup near ticketing and baggage claim
2275	1	3	2	It looks clean and matches current surroundings	Departure and arrival signs	Open windows	The inside! Most individuals don't care about what the outside of an airport looks like. I want to see the amenities inside and how everything is laid out. This survey severely missed the mark on what's important. I also want to know about expansion opportunities so we don't need to rebuild in the future.
2276	1	2	3	Clean look, second story for minimal footprint and greater nearby areas for expansion.	The personalization... cues to the past, unique touches. Honestly the Option A overall and exterior design / with some of the Option B interior design cues would be neat.	Two entrance / exits is a nice touch	Easy to understand design, food or shop option in secure area open through the final flight arrival or departure, local feel
2277	1	3	2	Love the 2 story option and the ability to grow.	Not a big fan of the colors or the flow of the other 2 options.	The openness of the entryway is nice no	Ease of getting around, different seating options, convenient store or snack cart of some kind
2278	2	1	3	Spacious, most convenient layout	The combination of the white exterior color with large glass windows	There is no good features about option C.	Seating, dining, ease of movement throughout the terminal
2279	1	2	3	Light and airy design of option 1. Reuse and too much dark brick involved in other options.	A little more modern look.	Too dark and old looking due to reuse.	Bright and open, room to move, covered areas to board and deplane, better restaurant/bar available.



Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).				Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
<b>COMPLETE COMMENT FROM RESPONSE 49 (Question 3):</b>							
<p><b>Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?</b></p>				<p>The expansive frontice to the building is more inviting then the other designs. The space will be miserably hot in the summer with the windows facing west. The drive is too narrow. The sidewalk goes to no where - it needs to direct to the parking. NO STAIRS/ESCALATORS or elevators. These plans are all poorly thought for air travel. Who was it said "passengers don't take bags to the plane" never flew on a plane. This stair feature in several of the planes is a real problem. Passengers have strollers, rolling suitcases, and other luggage to transport UP and DOWN. The MSN airport has multiple escalators, and generally one is down for maintenance at any one time. The elevator then becomes an issue b/c wheelchair, chair assist and passengers needing assistance walking (no stairs) will use it. If it is not working - what is the back up plan? Yes, elevators and escalators break. If there is an escalator it should be a SPLIT design one UP and one DOWN, so at least you have a chance 50% of the time to have something working. The materials on the stairs should be such that they are skid and slip resistant. They should also have color variation to help distinguish the edges of the stairs to assist passengers. And, hand rails that employ antimicrobial services. The Carpet is a big NO. Too hard to keep clean. There is entirely too much space devoted to the "entry" of the airport - NO ONE USES the entry inside - they use the waiting area. The other thing I find all these designs to miss is that THIS IS NOT 1960 - airlines no longer function behind the counter - they have a front of the counter presence with equipment and personnel. This complaint applies to all three designs. All three designs are basically taking what people thing is the "Check In" station not what is. Look at check in stations in Hong Kong, the new China airport. Great examples of baggage check in and passenger check in. Security - I'm putting it here. Please rethink the TSA space. Each lane should be divided and private - so that screening can be accomplished without the plain view of the entire terminal facility. There should be accessible PRIVACY ROOMS for screening as well. And, I'm leaving my other comments here b/c this format is not very helpful. Describing the waiting ares as comfortable is meaningless. Each waiting area should have electrical outlets or plug ins at EVERY SEAT. I see one design that includes a table. That is not enough space for everyone waiting to use electric outlets. Tables are great, but they are not enough to service pax needs. Bathrooms. Nursing rooms are fine, but no bathrooms is ridiculous. There needs to be full services on the security side of the terminal. Toilets for Men and Women, with stall sizes large enough to accommodate LUGGAGE that that the passenger is carrying. Yes, passengers take their ROLLING bags to the restroom, and many parents take children - including strollers to the restroom. My responses to numbers 1 -2, 4 - 5 are incorporated into this response.</p>			



Q1: Rank the proposed terminal design renderings (Option A, Option B and Option C) from most favored (1) to least favored (3).				Q2: What exterior or interior features do you like about your MOST favored option (design rendering)?	Q3: What exterior or interior features do you like about your SECOND favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q4: What exterior or interior features do you like about your LEAST favored option (design rendering) that could potentially be incorporated into your most favored design?	Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.
Response	Option A	Option B	Option C	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
<b>COMPLETE COMMENT FROM RESPONSE 49 (Question 5):</b>							
<b>Q5: Describe the features of an airport terminal that are most important to you.</b>				<p>What is very important is that there is not one central walk way out of the airport into the parking area - it needs to be spread out. Any design that incorporates a PLAZA on the second floor needs to have serious considerations as to access and mobility. Stairs, Escalators, and Elevators are not very user friendly with LUGGAGE. Or even with children or elders as well. The selection of an escalator plan that provides ample back up in case of break down is necessary, and multiple elevator back up choices. Disability travelers will rely on these elevators and stairs or escalators are not an option. In my opinion, there would need to be a minimum of 4 escalators - TWO UP and TWO DOWN. Redundancy is your only hope. And, with the option to reverse directions on alternates is useful. Elevators in my experience are UNDERSIZED at airports. An attendant, a wheelchair, 1 -2 family members and 4-5 rolling suitcases are common. Another scenario is the jumbo stroller, parent and 3 - 5 kids plus luggage. Most airports have a single small elevator, poorly suited for the traffic it carries and not generally rated for the weight either. Signage. I didn't see much about signage. On the exterior - why in the world do you need in a small airport "Arrivals" and "Departures" you don't ... You might need a sign that says "CHECK IN". All the rest is unnecessary unless you are going to patrol and enforce the curb lanes on the road. You will not. So let go of the Arrivals and Departures - - WELCOME is just fine in an airport this size. Interior signage. I saw some of this in the design, but more needs to be developed on that end. No sign should every be RIGHT AS YOU WALK IN THE DOOR - ever - it creates a back up. Signs overhead to the right or left. And, directional signs should say - how far way (Toilet, 3 minutes). The security entrance should include a CREW LINE. This is helpful as CREW do not have the same restrictions as passengers. It helps get them to the aircraft for an on time departure. Carpet or not to carpet. Any carpet that is used should be removable and cleanable. Period. Bare floors of a style that is attractive is more easily cleaned and better to keep clean over the long term. Lack of carpet, can create "echo" chambers and that issue can be dealt with in design. Along with carpet, is the furniture choices. They should also be easily cleanable, and not a fabric choice. If there is a fabric choice, a replacement schedule needs to be set at the outset. Fabric doesn't hold up over time to spills and soilage. Every CHAIR, SEAT, BENCH, COUCH should have access to a BEVERAGE holder. And every seat should have access to ELECTRIC OUTLET and CHARGING. Lighting. I saw a bit on lightening in the designs. While I have already mentioned my concern about "heat" and West Facing windows - my experience with these designs is that the designers never have to live or work in these hot spaces. I've yet to see a WEST FACING WINDOW that has heat mitigation that met expectations. If you want a west facing window - just plan right now that the airport will be hot. Other lighting is important too. Natural light has been used effectively in airports like the Daxing airport in Beijing or the marvelous Madrid airport. They all use large expansive windows in the terminal to allow for natural light. This eliminates the stark light from LED or Fluorescent lighting. The check in counters are the airlines in all the designs are antiquated and do not reflect current practice. This matters because flush counters take up space in a way that is different from current practice which reaches out in an expansive way from the wall. Free standing kiosks, staggered for check in, with personnel working withing the kiosk space and not necessarily behind a counter. Facial recognition, and other tools are coming to check in, including self check in for many passengers. These designs did not seem sensitive to the changing ways of airline travel. Doors. I do think I need to add that automatic doors are standard in airports and should remain at this airport, that being said - left to ride sliding doors and not left to right opening doors are best suited for people with luggage, strollers and travel gear. I would also like to highlight that this airport has many international travelers and as such some thought should be given to including signage in other languages. Some airports have accommodated these needs through an APP interface as well. Toilet facilities. I am a BIG NO on fancy toilets, with automatic changing seat covers or anything more. A large space, one with a counter over the toilet for holding things like purses, boarding passes, etc. is useful. I am TOTALLY opposed to the toilet hand washing stations that are ALL IN ONE like what is currently in the waiting area toilet at COU. I like an opportunity to "happy birthday to you" wash my hands in an appropriate manner, and go back and rinse again if needed. I also prefer hand towels. Not air dryers or fans. They floors get all wet and are a mess. My two cents are that. I also want to see outlets in the toilet. I often see women pumping breast milk in the toilet b/c the FAMILY toilet is occupied. Outlets are part of life - put them in there. I believe the airport should have parking that is not too fancy, but is accessible. I do not agree on a price. Over my more than 20 years using the Columbia airport there has NEVER been a public transit option to the airport or away from the airport. You cannot get to this airport without a car, a friend or a taxi. That is wrong. There should be incorporated into the design, a nice taxi, Uber, Lyft, and PUBLIC TRANSIT bus to COMO, and possibly one to JC. It is rather unconscionable that I have to pay a \$35 Uber fee to get to this airport, and \$35 back home. STL starts to look more attractive when I consider door to door pick up from Mo-X. I would also like to see the City consider an "off site" parking location. In Madison, WI, there is an off-site parking location that is at no fee. The airport has a limited service bus between the lot and airport. Other ride services give a reduced rate on that transit point as well. My responses to 1-4 are incorporated into this response.</p>			