



Clinton Smith <clinton.smith@como.gov>

rezoning for Savoy (case #17-132)

4 messages

Steve Graham <stevegraha@gmail.com>
To: clinton.smith@como.gov

Mon, May 29, 2017 at 10:47 AM

Clint: I would like to indicate my objection to rezoning the 4206 Savoy (case #17-132) to multiple-family dwelling district. this does not make any sense at all, rezoning this section to multiple-family dwelling. it's in a neighborhood that was designed for the villas and already can get congested in that area. given that Columbia has already over-built the apartments and multiple family units it seems odd to create the potential for other units like that.

interesting thinking - less than a mile a way there are a number of apartments along Broadway that have expanded greatly in the past year. Plus this section is in a secluded and wooded area that would totally disrupt the local flavor as well as the wildlife we see along this side. just does not make any sense at all.

how about making Columbia a bit more family household friendly - don't you think we have developed a enough apartment buildings and condos to provide proper supply for the next decade or two. plus with considerably fewer students at the campus right now - there is no need for additional multiple - family units.

Steve Graham
stevegraha@gmail.com

Clinton Smith <clinton.smith@como.gov>
To: Steve Graham <stevegraha@gmail.com>

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 9:42 AM

Mr. Graham,

Good morning. I received your correspondence regarding the rezoning request for 4206 Savoy, but I did not see your name or address on the email body itself. Would you be able to provide this information? We typically request that this information be provided with public comment. If you have any question, please let me know. Thank you.

[Quoted text hidden]

—
Clint Smith

Senior Planner | Community Development
City of Columbia | 701 E. Broadway | Columbia, Mo
p: [573.874.7437](tel:573.874.7437)

Clinton Smith <clinton.smith@como.gov>
To: Steve Graham <stevegraha@gmail.com>

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 4:23 PM

Steve,

On second look, I do see your name on the email, so all I would need is your address. Thank you.

[Quoted text hidden]

Steve Graham <stevegraha@gmail.com>
To: Clinton Smith <clinton.smith@como.gov>

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 7:48 PM

Thanks for the note. I'm at 3725 Ivanhoe Blvd. CoMo 65203.

Let me know if you need anything else. Thanks for your attention to this.

Steve Graham

Senior Associate Vice President for Academic Affairs

University of Missouri System

309 University Hall

Columbia, Missouri 65211

[573.882.3119](tel:573.882.3119)

grahams@umsystem.edu

[Quoted text hidden]

May 30, 2017

Dear Mr. Smith

As a homeowner in Vintage Falls I am very opposed to the rezoning of 4206 Savoy to R-MF (Case #17-132). Savoy is a narrow steep street at the west end and does not lend itself to heavy or emergency traffic. Access from Straun Road is a very steep hill. Increased noise and traffic will decrease the property value of others on Savoy. Considering the tremendous over build of apartments in Columbia, coupled with decreasing MU student numbers the need of further zoning change from R-Land A to R-MF seems unwarranted. Thank you for your consideration.

Roger W. Hofmeister, MD.



Dr. Roger W. Hofmeister
4005 Ivanhoe Blvd
Columbia, MO 65203-1047

om

CARPE DIEM.

Lowell K. Lischer

4112 Fritz Court
Columbia, MO 65203-4223
(573) 449-2158
Cellular (210) 872-9155
lklicher@sbcglobal.net

June 1, 2017

Mr. Clinton Smith, Senior Planner
Community Development Department, 5th Floor
P.O. Box 6015
Columbia, MO 65205

Re: Case #17-132
Rezoning of 4206 Savoy to R-MF

Dear Mr. Smith:

As a resident of Vintage Falls II, I am writing to express my opposition to the referenced rezoning of 4206 Savoy currently under consideration.

While a graduate student at the University of Missouri in 1972-73, I was employed part time by the Columbia City Engineer's office. Under the direction of Dorothy Perkins, City Engineer at that time, I was given the task to walk out then newly annexed areas surrounding Columbia to ascertain the potential alignment of new arterial streets into those areas. My work included such streets as Nifong, Scott Blvd, and Vandiver to name a few. The concept was to identify issues of grade, cut & fill, and general alignment of these streets in order to offer a plan for access to new zoning and construction that would follow. This was intended to allow for the development by insuring adequate access and optimum traffic flow in the future.

Based upon my previous, I am baffled by the consideration of Case #17-132 that seems to fail to consider the very concept we were attempting to address forty-four years ago. Without any consideration of well planned or even proposed access to the subject property, the rezoning request would provide for up to fifty-six (56) individual units (by your statement at the May 16 meeting) units. At this time, while no formal plan for development of the property beyond the rezoning is being offered, I question how such a development would ever be adequately accessed. Even if both the existing successes from Savoy and Strawn Road were available neither appears adequate to handle this additional traffic from a multi-family development and existing residential properties at and on either of these streets. The question has already been raised as to whether the Savoy access would even be available under the proposed rezoning.

Currently, Savoy west of Napa is the only entrance/exit available to residents of Village Falls II. Obviously, adding the potential of numerous multi-family units accessing Savoy would not only hinder traffic, but I question whether it would exceed to design limits set forth for a residential street. Having looked at the access from Strawn Road, I question whether it could ever be developed into a major access to the rezoned property as well. Quite honestly, in either one or both instances, it appears evident to me that access for City services would be severely hampered if not all but impossible. This is not just for services such as trash and recycling, but more importantly the access for emergency vehicles, especially firefighting equipment. Realistically, would either one or both existing accesses meet fire code of the City of Columbia in the event such equipment in a multi-family development?

As a new resident to Columbia and Vintage Falls, it is my understanding that the City of Columbia is in process for changing the designations and rules for zoning. To me this is evidence that the City government already realizes the shortcomings within the current designations and rules. Therefore, acceptance of a rezoning in absence of a plan for how future development would occur seems short-sighted at the very least. I see the potential for a R-MF property that cannot be accessed or serviced for any prospective resident of such a development. While the property owner may see benefit, I and fellow residents of the area certainly do not. The proposed rezoning is entirely incompatible with existing residences in Village Falls and I suspect those few along Strawn Road as well. If this rezoning had any real merit for consideration then that merit would be equally sound under the new rules of the game once in place. However, the proposed rezoning at this time gives more the appearance of attempting to obtain the new designation BEFORE the new rules go into effect. There is no realistic justification for granting the rezoning in absence of knowing why, when and how the rezoning would be accomplished in the future against the current development of the area which is under a planned development.

It is my opinion the Community Development Department would be doing the existing community in this area a serious disservice to recommend granting the proposed rezoning.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Lowell K. Lischer".

Lowell K. Lischer



Clinton Smith <clinton.smith@como.gov>

Case #17-132 Rezoning of 4206 Savoy Drive

Kim brewer <kimbrewer@centurylink.net>

Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 2:33 PM

To: clinton.smith@como.gov

Cc: Ray Brewer <pastoray@me.com>

Dear Mr. Smith,

As 8-year residents at 3907 Savoy Drive in the Vintage Falls subdivision, we wish to STRONGLY OPPOSE the requested rezoning of 4206 Savoy Drive to Multi-Family housing. One of the main reasons we purchased our home in Vintage Falls was that it is a quiet neighborhood of single-family villas with limited traffic and low crime. If rezoning for 4206 Savoy Drive is approved and a multi-family dwelling (especially an apartment building) is constructed, the character of the neighborhood will totally change. Traffic and noise levels will greatly increase, crime could increase, and property values could be negatively affected.

Please preserve the character of this fine neighborhood by recommending AGAINST the requested rezoning.

Thank you!

Sincerely,
Kimberley S. Brewer
M. Ray Brewer
[573-234-1109](tel:573-234-1109)

Sent from my iPad



Clinton Smith <clinton.smith@como.gov>

4206 Savoy Rezoning

1 message

Carney, Rita <CarneyR@health.missouri.edu>
To: "clinton.smith@como.gov" <clinton.smith@como.gov>

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 11:49 AM

I am a Vintage Falls homeowner. I live at 4101 Fritz Ct. I am writing to express my OPPOSITION to the rezoning request of 4206 Savoy . I bought my residence purposefully for retirement and the neighborhood. I do not want to see MF dwellings on this acreage. The streets are too narrow, Emergency vehicles could not get by, and I do not want increased traffic, , school buses etc in the neighborhood. Bottom line is NO and I wanted you to hear my opinion. I also understand that the property owner is a city employee. As such I hope that this relationship Has no bearing in your decision and that you listen to the neighborhoods responses to this request . See you on the 22nd of June Rita Carney

Name: Rick Wise

Email Address: wisser@missouri.edu

Comments: Regarding the rezoning request by Mr. Teeple on Savoy Drive in the Vintage Falls subdivision.

I attended the June 8 P & Z meeting. At that meeting it was stated that Mr. Teeple wanted an opportunity to talk with the residents of Vintage Falls and explain his intentions for the rezoning request. The members of P & Z seemed favorably impressed by this request on his part.

This meeting took place June 15 with board members of our HomeOwners Association. At that meeting I am told Mr. Teeple provided NO information about building plans or what might be built. He avoided answering direct questions asking for specifics. As you can imagine we are more determined than ever to totally oppose his rezoning request. I urge you to do the same on our behalf.

Thank you

Rezoning of property at 4206 Savoy

Beverly <bawald@centurylink.net>

Fri, Jun 16, 2017 at 2:36 PM

To: Clinton Smith <clinton.smith@como.gov>

Mr. Smith,

I am writing again regarding the Rezoning of the property at 4206 Savoy. I am doing this with my past e-mail attached so you can be aware of my previous request.

The HOA Board Members of I and II met on June 15th with Mr. Teeple and Crockett Engineering did not attend this meeting.

Mr. Teeple did not furnish any building plans and did not commit to any ideas as to what will be built on the property at 4206

Savoy. the Board Member did not learn anything new at this meeting. Please consider the established community and deny this rezoning proposal from Mr. Teeple. Thank you.

Beverly Walden
4103 Fritz Court
Columbia, Mo 65203