

# Energy and Environment Commission

## City of Columbia

### Analysis of proposed amendments to Chapter 29 of the City Code (B 83-18)

1. This item should not have been on the City Council's "Consent Agenda". It contains several matters that are NOT routine. Whoever establishes the agenda made a serious error.
2. Most of the verbiage in (1)(C) is unnecessary. All that needs to be said is that "Any lands designated as a floodway by FEMA".
3. 1(iii) is just a reward to developers for not building in a floodplain and is not necessary nor appropriate.
4. (2)(i) should be left as is; the language proposed to be added is repetitious.
5. (2)(1)(A) and (B) take away the decision of the City Council and provide such to the developer or to an PE. Consequently, (A) and (B) should not be approved and should be stricken
6. (3) Again, the proposed additions are primarily designed to aid developers. Original language should be retained.
7. (4) This is quite confusing (but appears to be aiding developers) and, consequently, should not be included in Chapter 29.