Re: Canton Estates Case No. 91-2021 and B157-21

Mayor Treece and City Of Columbia, MO Council Persons,

Because we find ourselves in the position of not being able to collect the amount of signatures necessary to force a supermajority vote on the Canton Estates proposal, I am attaching this extra cover letter and map to try to put some things in perspective.

I'm attaching an extra map that shows how the vast majority of qualifying land is owned by absentee owners or other entities. The parcel to the north by the Jefferson City Diocese, the parcel to the south as we all know is the state park, and the parcel to the east is owned by Veterans United. There is also a roughly 1/2 acre parcel at the top of E. Bearfield Subdivision that is owned by a person that nobody around here knows and who would not return my phone calls. As you can see, the ten-acre parcel on the western border (colored yellow) of the proposed development blocks a sizable amount of eligible land that is actually occupied by the owners from participating in this effort. Those are filled in with the darker blue. If not for that ten-acre parcel, I think we would have the 30% needed despite the built-in disadvantage of three of the borders being impossible to obtain signatures from.

Further, whatever the final calculation turns out to be in regard to the percentage of land that the owners who have signed account for, I would like it noted that we do, in fact, have the signatures of 5 of the eligible 11 landowners, or 45%, and 83% of landowners whose daily lives will actually be affected by this outcome (5 of 6) Finally, if the demarcation line were longer than 185' (say, if the 1000-ft county parameter were used) we would many more. I realize this means nothing as far as affecting procedure or impacting the vote required to approve the proposal, but I feel it's worth noting.

Thank you, ughn Joel Huggins

