



# City of Columbia, Missouri

## Meeting Minutes

### Columbia Area Transportation Study Organization (CATSO)

---

Thursday, December 1, 2022  
2:30 PM

**Regular Coordinating Committee Meeting**

City Council Chamber  
City Hall  
701 E. Broadway  
Columbia, Missouri

---

#### I. CALL TO ORDER

CHAIRMAN: I think we'll go ahead and call the meeting to order.

#### II. INTRODUCTIONS

CHAIRMAN: And then we'll start with the introductions with myself. I am Mike Griggs, Deputy City Manager, and De'Carlton Seewood is in a certification meeting this afternoon, so he won't be here, which is why I am in his place. So introductions now. We'll start with you guys.

MR. HENDERSON: Mike Henderson, MoDOT Central Office Transportation Planning.

MS. HOLTSCRAW: Jerica HOLTSCRAW, Multi-Modal Operations Director, MoDOT.

MS. WATKINS: Michelle Watkins, District Engineer, with MoDOT Central District.

MR. TEDDY: Tim Teddy, City of Columbia Community Development.

MR. CREECH: Shane Creech; I'm the Director of Public Works for the City of Columbia.

MR. YONKE: Thad Yonke, Senior Planner, Boone County. I'm here for Justin Aldred, Commissioner.

MR. MCCANN, Jeff McCann, Chief Engineer for Boone County.

CHAIRMAN: Yeah. We're -- make sure everybody speaks in the microphone so that we can -- because we don't have a recorder, so we'll need to -- we're going to record this for the minutes, so -- and then staff?

MR. SMITH: Yeah. Clint Smith, Community Development Senior Planner. Also CATSO staff.

MR. SKOV: Mitch Skov, CATSO staff. And Brad is with us, as well, even though he's sitting out there.

### III. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

CHAIRMAN: All right. Our next item, approval of the agenda. Seeing any changes or revisions to the agenda?

MR. YONKE: Move to approve as written. This is Thad.

MR. HENDERSON: Second. Mike.

CHAIRMAN: All approve say aye. Negative? All right. (Unanimous voice vote for approval.)

### IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Attachments: [CATSO.8 25 22 Draft Meeting Minutes.doc](#)

CHAIRMAN: All right. Potential Philips Farm Road. Or approval of minutes. I'm sorry. I'm jumping ahead. Everyone had a chance to review the minutes.

MR. SKOV: Mr. Chair, I have an amendment to make. Ms. Watkins informed me yesterday that on an item -- a motion made on the August

25th meeting by Mayor Barbara Buffaloe, she was not the person to second it. And I checked the notes on that. Thad Yonke is the person who seconded that motion, so I would suggest that we make that amendment to the August 25th minutes, and give them approval with that amendment. That is the only revision that I have. Perhaps -- Tim?

MR. TEDDY: Well, this is very minor, but it is a typo, and it's at the bottom of the 15th page. This is Tim speaking. There's reference to the cap, all lower case. I think that should be all capital letters, CAAP.

MR. SKOV: Okay. Bottom of Page 15.

MR. TEDDY: Yes. Didn't paginate, but I counted them.

CHAIRMAN: All right. We have two amendments to the minutes. Do we have a motion?

MR. YONKE: Move to approve as amended. This is Thad.

MR. TEDDY: Second. Tim.

CHAIRMAN: Tim Teddy. All right. We have a motion and second. All in favor? Opposed? (Unanimous voice vote for approval.)

## **V. POTENTIAL PHILIPS FARM ROAD CORRIDOR MAJOR ROADWAY PLAN (MRP) AMENDMENTS**

**Attachments:** [\\_Philips Farm Rd alignments\\_v1.pdf](#)  
[CATSO Revisions\\_Philips Farm\\_Gans area.pdf](#)  
[Philips Farm Road Corridor MRP Amendments Memo 12-1-2022.docx](#)

CHAIRMAN: Mitch, do you want to start off with your --

MR. SKOV: Well, I'm just going to mention. Mr. Smith is going to talk

about this, but we have some need for some amendments in the Philips Farm Road Corridor, and in the current alignment as it's shown on the major (indiscernible) plan now is actually south of where the actual stub is for that street, which is a collector street. It does need to be revised to reflect the reality of where it will begin and the actual alignment. And I'll let Mr. Smith take it from here to discuss this -- this particular issue.

MR. SMITH: Thank you, Mitch. Yes. So as was stated on this agenda, this will be kind of a conversation around some potential major amendments to the -- to the roadway plan. We'll kind of take a look at it as kind of three separate amendments all kind of within a package, and this is really roughly bounded kind of by Grindstone land Parkway on the north and Gans on the south, and then Providence to Highway 63 on the west and east. And this kind of started a little bit earlier in the year where we had taken a look at a project that would have been located at the western terminus of Philips Farm Road, and I'll just kind of go to the map. This -- this attachment is also included in your materials. There -- we -- there was a proposed development that would have been located west of Philips Lake Park, which would have facilitated the extension of Philips Farm Road. And I may misstate some streets in there, so any apologies if I get off on -- there's a lot of streets in this conversation, and there's some different street names. And for purposes of this meeting, I'm going to refer

to this as Philips Farm Road even though at the western extension of this major roadway, it would necessarily turn to Veterans United Drive. So for purposes of this, though, I'm just going to refer to it as Philips Farm Road. So what we're looking at here was kind of based on that development application, the developer did ask for some clarification on the roadway alignment. Our staff, Public Works staff, and Site Development staff had looked at a couple different alternatives there, that being the red and green alignments that you see in front of you here today. The preliminary plat that kind of facilitated the need for this has been approved, and so looking at this, it's really kind of two segments. There's the east of Bearfield and west of Bearfield. The preliminary plat is more generally aligned with the red alignment east of Bearfield, however, the location of a round-about that's on there actually will facilitate or would facilitate either the green or the red really going forward. So Tech did discuss this a little bit, and I think there is a little bit of recognition that really for east of Bearfield, we really may be development driven in the location of that extension, so there may not be a need to -- to assign a specific alignment at this time. West of Bearfield, I think we'd be taking a little bit additional look. And just to clarify, Tech will look at this again at their next meeting, and the -- and I should have started with this. But really the purpose of this is to request a public hearing at Coordinating's next meeting so that we can actually approve these amendments. So this is kind of an overview of what's been discussed so

far with these amendments, and give you a little idea of what Tech is going to be looking at at their next meeting, and ask for any feedback from the Coordinating Committee on specific recommendations that they think Tech should consider. So back to this portion. This is kind of the first phase of these package. So there was discussion from the developer about an offset at Bearfield, so that will be part of the discussion. Really going west to Bearfield will be a little bit more of the additional attention probably paid to it. Both these alignments have some challenges. To the south, there are some grade issues at Rock Quarry. On the north, there are some structures that would need to be removed, so that's something that, going forward, we'll take a look at whether there would be a specific alignment recommendation, I think, that comes back to Coordinating at the next meeting. So that's really the first one is the Philips Farm Road extension. So it's not adding, it's really just solidifying an alignment that was a little more general prior to this. It really -- initially, when the Discovery Park facility was -- was developed, it was shown kind of going through the lake with the Discovery Office Park facility and the construction of that first leg, that kind of set the eastern location. The western location being where it is still today, it's kind of that middle part which needs to be a little more kind of locked down. So the second part of this, and which is also connected, is really the extension of Gans Road. There was a 2009 alignment study done, so a lot of that is kind of known. Some of the amendments we'll be

looking at really just adopting some of the recommendations for a preferred alignment that was within that study in 2009. You see kind of here towards the bottom of the screen the clouded red area would have been the initial alignment. The study recommended kind of a jog to the north where there would be an additional round-about, which would then facilitate the extension of a leg going north. This would be the addition of a State Farm Parkway extension, would extend north to the current terminus at the round-about at the northeast corner of Veterans United Drive and State Farm Parkway. It will also allow a western extension from that round-about to connect to Corporate Lake Drive, which currently does have a curb cut on Providence, a very small extension, so that -- that kind of starting point is there, but the extension of that as a major roadway is not currently shown on the major roadway plan. So -- so you really have your Philips Farm Parkway extension, you have your Gans Road study extension and kind of ancillary extensions that are coming from that recommendation. And really along with this conversation of this whole area, I think kind of in the background, there's been some conversations about providing some neighborhood collection extensions north of Veterans United Drive in the vicinity of where Buttonwood Drive coming from the north kind of terminates where the outer road is, as well. So the outer road kind of stubs into this undeveloped approximately 80-acre tract located kind of at the northwest area of Veterans United and State Farm Parkway, and that would be this

general vicinity here. So those amendments would include really two neighborhood collectors facilitating mainly an east-west and then a southern extension from that east-west to connect State Farm Parkway and Veterans United Drive, as well, providing kind of that additional connectivity within that commercial area. So those are kind of the three elements of this package that we -- we're going to bring forward to Coordinating again in February. And we don't have any specific recommendations, although these recommendations were put together by our Public Works team for consideration, and that's what Tech will be reviewing at their next meeting, and again we hope to have a more formal recommendation at that time. So our -- our request for the Coordinating Committee at this point is to go ahead and set a public hearing for February in anticipation of looking at those recommendations from Tech. And I'll be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Clint. Do we have any questions? Well done. Tim, go ahead.

MR. TEDDY: Yeah. I'll make a comment on the first graphic with the two alignments. I just wanted to point out, and everyone might be aware of this, but west of Bearfield, that north alignment not only would require removal of houses, there's some fairly recent construction on Meadowview Drive. And in our platting we didn't make any accommodation for Philips Farm or any other road to come across that street, so I just kind of wanted



that noted that that would be a substantial impact. There's also a plat called Cambridge Place Plat 4 where we also didn't make accommodation for right-of-way, so we really didn't leave right-of-way in a substantial segment west of Bearfield for that alignment number two. And then just a general comment on a couple other aspects of this, kind of anticipating our next agenda item, and one of the comments made in that piece. On the trails plan, there's Clear Creek Trail is a tertiary priority trail, and maybe we want to either represent that graphically or by notation on our exhibits as we continue this study just so that we have that identified as a planning and design consideration.

MR. SMITH: All right. We'll make a note of that.

MR. TEDDY: My final comment unrelated to the first two is, I like the idea of the -- the new roadways in the vicinity of Veterans United Drive there because we face a situation there where we could have a tract -- a substantial tract development that doesn't have any access to Providence Road otherwise. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN: Any other comments? Seeing none. It was recommended that we direct staff to set a public hearing for the next meeting, and is it February 23rd, 2023?

MR. SKOV: Correct. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: At the next CATSO meeting, so need a motion.

MR. YONKE: So moved. This is Thad.

CHAIRMAN: Second?

MR. CREECH: I will second. This is Shane.

CHAIRMAN: We have a motion and a second to proceed. All in favor?

All right. (Unanimous voice vote for approval.) Seeing none. Okay.

Moving on.

## VI. COMMENTS ON 2050 LONG-RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN - REQUEST FOR REPORT

**Attachments:** [Item 6 Report - CATSO Coordinating 12.1.2022.docx](#)

CHAIRMAN: Tim?

MR. TEDDY: Yeah. This is something that not only I prepared, but I'll -- I'll take responsibility for any shortcomings in this. But I prepared with help from staff a report that was requested by Mayor Buffaloe at our last quarterly meeting. She's not here today, so this might be something we just want to defer discussion on, but I'd be happy to hear your reaction to some of the thoughts in this memo. She was wanting to know in particular what kinds of things we can do now in the say of changes along the lines suggested by Council in -- in their letter to CATSO, and particularly the -- the short-term changes. So I've quoted those short-term changes regarding public input, mode-shared goals that are consistent with those adopted in the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan of the City, and a transportation infrastructure score card for rating projects, a project list that prioritizes walking, biking, and transit, and an implementation accountability strategy. So I kind of used that text to organize some

thoughts in the memo, so I would just like to hear your reaction now or later.

No action is required on this item.

CHAIRMAN: Any comments? All right. Well, thank you, Tim.

MR. TEDDY: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN: Anything from staff on that down -- Mitch, anything from your end on this?

MR. SKOV: No, thank you.

CHAIRMAN: Okay.

## VII. OTHER BUSINESS

CHAIRMAN: Do we have any other business to consider?

MR. KELLEY: Yeah. I've got two things. This is Brad Kelley for the purpose of minutes, staff of City of Columbia and part-time staff for CATSO, as well. One thing we had a Tech committee at our last meeting was for looking at a potential amendment to the Major Roadway Plan for Brown School Road. It was determined that we did not need an amendment there, we're going to have classification or alignment. Everything was in compliance with what is on the plan, so no action was needed there. The second thing, MoDOT Central District is having a public hearing next week for their district's unfunded needs list. That will be at the ARC here in Columbia next Wednesday on December 7th from 5:00 to 6:30, and they have a presentation online available on their website, as well. And that's all I have.

CHAIRMAN: Do you guys have anything to follow up on that?

MS. WATKINS: No. He covered it perfectly.

CHAIRMAN: All right. Well done. All right. Any other other business?

All right. Seeing none.

#### **VIII. GENERAL COMMENTS BY PUBLIC, MEMBERS AND STAFF**

CHAIRMAN: Welcome, Mr. Simonson.

MR. SIMONSON: Hey. Well, welcome to you, too. Yeah. Lawrence Simonson with Locomotion. Hey, I reviewed the letter that the staff prepared that the Mayor had requested that you look into some stuff, and I would just say that we really appreciate the work that you put into the letter and the staff that gave input on the letter. It seems like a very reasonable and well thought out letter, and thinking of some strategic ways to move forward to improve the process and the long-range transportation plan into the future, so really appreciate it and thank you.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Any other comments? Okay. Seeing none.

#### **IX. NEXT MEETING DATE**

CHAIRMAN: Our next meeting date? Mitch?

MR. SKOV: This is Mitch speaking, and the next meeting of the CATSO Coordinating Committee will be on Thursday, February 23rd, 2023, in the usual location and time, 2:30 here in the Council chamber.

#### **X. ADJOURNMENT**

CHAIRMAN: All right. Unless there's any other comments, this meeting  
is adjourned. Thank you.

(The meeting adjourned at 2:49 p.m.)

Members of the public may attend any open meeting. For requests for accommodations related to disability, please call 573-874-CITY (573-874-2489) or email [CITY@CoMo.gov](mailto:CITY@CoMo.gov). In order to assist staff in making the appropriate arrangements for your accommodation, please make your request as far in advance of the posted meeting date as possible.

**USB DRIVES PROHIBITED:** Due to cyber security concerns, flash drives and other media devices are no longer permitted for delivering files or presentation materials. A speaker who desires to display a presentation must upload the presentation, in advance, to the city network using an upload portal. To upload your files and learn more, visit [CoMo.gov/upload](https://CoMo.gov/upload). (Effective Jan. 1, 2023)