

**EXCERPTS**  
**PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION MEETING**  
**COLUMBIA CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBER**  
**701 EAST BROADWAY, COLUMBIA, MO**  
**February 19, 2025**

**Case Number 74-2026**

**A request by the City of Columbia to amend Sections 29-1.11, [Definitions], and 29-3.2, [Permitted Use Table], of Chapter 29 of the City Code, (Unified Development Code), pertaining to the regulation of data centers. The amendments propose revisions to the definitions section of the Code to include a definition of the term "data center", and revisions to permitted use table indicating what zoning districts allow data centers. Defining this use and establishing where it may be pursued as the first step in regulating data centers as a use. Use specific standards and specific conditional use permit criteria for data centers are necessary as well and will be developed at a later date.**

MS. GEUEA JONES: May we please have a staff report?

Staff report was given by Mr. David Kunz of the Planning and Development Department. Staff recommends approval of the proposed text change as presented.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you. Before we go to questions for staff, if anyone has had contact with parties to this case outside of a public forum, please disclose so now. Yes?

MR. KUNZ: I forgot to note during the presentation, we received an e-mail at 4:50 today from someone who had a concern, and it should have been distributed to the Commissioners as a part of your agenda. They had concerns about a data center operating under the -- or a facility operating under the guise of being a data center, but ultimately being for the purpose of being a private unregulated power plant. We think that's a reasonable concern, but if City utilities are the only provider of electric and the only provider of water that exists within the City, I don't believe that that would result in -- I don't believe that that would be an outcome that could exist from the adoption of this definition alone.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Okay. Thank you.

MR. KUNZ: Yeah.

MS. GEUEA JONES: And, yes. I was going to say the Rural Crisis Center and Renew Missouri both reached out to me, and I directed them both to e-mail staff. So they should have done that. It seems like the questions they were asking were more appropriate to the use specific standards and CUP criteria portion of this.

MR. KUNZ: Yes. The e-mail we received was from the Missouri Rural Crisis Center.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Anyone else? Commissioner Ortiz?

MS. ORTIZ: Someone who I know in the community, I think he works for MRCC, also reached out to me. But I was too busy to even read the message, so I didn't engage.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Yeah. Yeah. No. Generally, I just tell them all to contact staff. That way,

we all get the same information. Sorry staff. All right. Any questions for staff? Commissioner Darr?

MR. DARR: I guess -- I don't know if it's a question, more of just a comment. I was reading this e-mail, and it was an interesting report, and I didn't really get the same meaning out of it as you described. It was more of they didn't like data centers bring in their own power, and not using the municipalities power, which I didn't understand why they would be opposed to that, other than they just said it's potentially noisy, and they wouldn't have any responsibility to the neighbors, which is addressed with noise ordinances and other use specific standards that would come along. But I -- I don't know if Mr. Murphy, who is the person who sent that e-mail is here, is going to talk. But I feel like it's an interesting thing they brought up. I just didn't know how it applies to this specific action.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Any other -- Commissioner Stanton, go ahead.

MR. STANTON: If I'm reading these, he's referring to generators, like, backup power, but they were abusing the use of that. But I'm hoping the guy is here, we can stop arguing -- stop guessing about it.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Any other questions? I just want to be very clear for everyone, because I know this is a big topic. So next steps are developing use specific standards and CUP which will say if we approve it, this is why we would approve it. This is why it would have to do. Here are all the guardrails around it.

MR. KUNZ: Yeah. Correct. The CUP criteria would be guidance for when approval would be considered to the bodies that make decisions and recommendations regarding this, and the use specific standards would be -- we need to collaborate with utilities a lot for that because it likely will have specific benchmarks and metrics for, you know, if you require a certain amount of megawatts, then XYZ. But again, we don't have that at this time. Yeah. But the CUP criteria would be more guidance for the regulatory bodies and the use specific standards would affect more how they would be developed.

MS. GEUEA JONES: And potentially, where.

MR. KUNZ: Correct.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Yeah. I mean, between now and then, this definition basically means if someone comes in with anything that looks like this definition, they have to come here and they can't do -- they cannot be an end zone other than IG, and in IG, they have to come and give us their full plan.

MR. KUNZ: That's -- there would be the site plan for it. I do not know if that would need to include all of the infrastructure associated with it, but --

MR. ZENNER: The current conditional use standards are very broad, and so I think in order for us to wrap our arms around an application, or a request for a CUP seeking data center approval, in the absence of actually the use specific standards, there will be a tremendous amount of latitude as to what studies are required, what additional analysis is needed. Presently, we -- you know, presently, we have been approached. We have been asked questions by potential data center users to our utilities, so we have begun a process of what is typical standard practice, or our utilities department, as Mr. Kunz has indicated, would be a critical component and a partner in this, along with REDI, in order to ensure that

we're preparing those standards. But in the interim period of time, given the broad -- very broad nature of the general conditional use criteria, anything is fair game. And I hate to say that in fear that anything becomes fair game, but in order to be satisfied that all of the concerns that would be expressed as a part of that conditional use application, much less the rezoning action, because what's going to end up happening like we have, unless you're going to take an IG-zoned parcel of property, which, as Mr. Kunz has also pointed out, there's a very limited amount, you're going to have to seek to rezone. Concurrent with that rezoning request is going to be, obviously, a clear indication, you know, that you're wanting to do this. And now that it's defined, now that it's a conditional use, to go through the IG zoning process at the end goal as a data center, you're going to want to pull both band-aids off at the same time, so to speak. And I think what we will do to strive to work with that applicant, should one come that's a real applicant, wanting to pursue it, we're going to be, not unlike any other project with a concept review, we're going to get some information out of it. We're going to start to set some benchmarks at the bottom -- at the very beginning to say here's what we need in order to do an assessment.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Right.

MR. ZENNER: Once we have that, then we have the ability to take it to you without use specific standards. The purpose for the use specific standards, though, is to be able to get all of that out of the way upfront when they choose, or if they choose to do an IG request, we know that they still have to go through the CUP. So somebody may not, like we see often, you know, you're not going to go ahead and go through all of your engineering if you don't know that you have your zoning. So we -- the two of them very critical together. But at this point, everything is very, very speculative. We do not have any firm user, so, I mean, the community has to understand that we are not actively being approached to give consideration of an approval for construction. We have been asked as to what's our capacity and our availability, and our utility staff has been responding to that accordingly.

MS. GEUEA JONES: So how I am seeing this action that we're contemplating tonight, is it gives us the opportunity to provide extreme scrutiny.

MR. ZENNER: Yes.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Over any data center.

MR. ZENNER: I think that is a very, very accurate statement.

MS. GEUEA JONES: And without this, they are subject only to the general IG guidelines?

MR. KUNZ: Or the use specific standards for light industry, as we've defined it. Yeah.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Yes. Yes. And that was the problem with the previous action, that we all had concerns about.

MR. ZENNER: Yes.

MS. GEUEA JONES: So, to me, I think what people need to understand about what we're doing tonight is by defining data centers, we are not allowing data centers. By defining them, we are actually subjecting them to a higher level of scrutiny that they would get without the definition.

MR. KUNZ: I would agree with that statement.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you. Just wanted to get all of that out before we open to public comment, so people know why we're doing this. Any other -- sorry. Commissioner Gray, go ahead.

DR. GRAY: Thank you. So with this definition change, the only by-right use would be if it were incidental or accessory to a hospital, universities, et cetera. What -- yes? Sorry.

MR. KUNZ: Go ahead. No, you can finish.

DR. GRAY: And maybe my brain is just stuck in the ADU conversation. But, like, if this is, like, incidental to a primary use, how -- what are the parameters of what makes it incidental or accessory?

MR. KUNZ: We would -- yeah. It's -- it's a good question. I would say if the site is already -- has a principal use, and it is very clear that that's the primary purpose and this is being installed not on a stand-alone lot for support for their existing use, I think we would have a pretty clear idea, if that's the case, whenever a building permit would come in for it. Yeah.

MR. ZENNER: Typically, a customary accessory use is by definition, it's incidental and subordinate. And when we talk about subordinate, it is subordinate in size and area. I think the impacts, though, again, this becomes while it's incidental potentially to a university operation or a hospital operation, there will be possibly issues associated with, well, what's the power consumption, and I think that is maybe where your questions is going, Dr. Gray. As a part of, I think, evaluating that incidental aspect, incidental and customary accessory, those are things that we will have to address as each unique situation may arise. I think as David pointed out, when we discussed this back in January, the University already probably has a data center. And so the University, as, you know, they're already a power consumer, and are they consuming -- is the power consumption that the University needs in order to maybe run a data center going to get it above what the capacity is available to serve the University, that's part of what we have to evaluate as part of that addition if they're wanting to put it in. So there may be a permitting process. You're probably going to have to -- you may have to put additional electrical circuits in. You may be doing an expansion to a building. So there may be other triggers that are going to allow us to be able to evaluate, not at the same level as a free-standing facility that's not incidental, which is the purpose of the definition that we're looking at, but we may have other methods by which we're going to be able to evaluate, well, this data center which is incidental, we determined that it's incidental, it's going to have other impacts, and we need to mitigate those impacts with that applicant, but unique to the fact that it's incidental to that existing use. So the question I think we would have to ask ourselves, is the addition of a data center that may not exist in an existing facility, Hi-Lo Insurance Company that's, you know, their home base is here, or a medical facility that's part of a hospital. You know, those types of things, do we want that additional supplemental accessory activity or not, and that's a question that I think we have to also -- we have to balance. But we don't have any specific situation that we've had to make that determination yet, but as we believe, probably all data centers that are here that would fall into this exception, are already existing. So we're not building anything, we're not seeing anything built that may be adding a data center at this point. But if something comes along and we have questions, you know, the building permit process is going to allow us the opportunity to probably dig a little bit and get more

information.

DR. GRAY: Could I ask a follow-up question?

MS. GEUEA JONES: Please.

DR. GRAY: So that accessory use is not the same as what is shown on, like, the Permitted Use Table? Like, you wouldn't go through that table and put, like, accessory for University Hospitals and et cetera?

MR. KUHN: That's correct, because the definition would exclude it, so you wouldn't -- it wouldn't need the definition in the use table, so it's -- it would, I suppose, be undefined kind of, or defined, but incidental, therefore not meeting the definition, therefore not included in the Use Table.

DR. GRAY: Got it. Thank you.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Any other questions? Commissioner Ortiz?

MS. ORTIZ: In reference to the -- the comment that we got or the e-mail that we got kind of last minute, there was a -- kind of an ask to include a modifier, a backup or redundant in front of -- I'm sorry. It's, like, I'm kind of just reading it -- in front of power generators. Would there -- what does staff think about adding that word, and do they -- do you feel that it's necessary?

MR. KUNZ: It's a good question. I -- I -- at this moment, I wouldn't necessarily see a downside in saying backup power generators. Yeah.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Commissioner Stanton?

MR. STANTON: Yeah. That's a good point. I think we just need to clarify that's what he's talking about. I think the backup, you're not going to run a data center off of a diesel generator. You're just not going to do it. So I think that had to refer to the backup power if your primary power goes out, and what do we want to regulate as far as noise and, you know, all that kind of stuff. But hopefully we can bring some clarity on that here very soon.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Yeah. I would just add that I don't have a problem with the concept, given that this is literally a stopgap measure, and we're getting ready to amend potentially this, as well as everything else. I don't want to slow anything down or create complications. But if -- if we're all fine with it, I don't have a problem adding the word backup. I just don't want to throw a wrench in the works, because I think it's really important to get this done.

MR. CRAIG: Just for clarification, I didn't see that -- that e-mail. Where would -- where would this --

MS. GEUEA JONES: Before the word power generators. Thanks.

MR. ZENNER: So the definition that's on the screen right now, we would just add "backup power generator".

MR. CRAIG: Oh.

MR. ZENNER: Backup in front of power, and I -- I'd like to point out, and I think adding backup to this provides, I think, added clarity to the purpose of the power generator, because within that same sentence --

MS. GEUEA JONES: Is utility substations.

MR. ZENNER: Yeah. Utility substations, which is obviously a component that if the -- if the City were to build or participate in the operation of a utility substation that the applicant may be building in order to support their data center operation, it's a public utility substation at that point. The backup generator is simply in case our system were to shut down. And so the clarity of a backup generator, I think, gets to the point that you're not running your data center off of a diesel generator, which is going to create more of an impact environmentally.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Commissioner Darr?

MR. DARR: I don't see why we would go to -- I feel like we're getting too far in the weeds, and if they want to have a backup generator, why shouldn't they be able to have one? They've still got to meet noise ordinances. They're in an industrial zoned property. I mean, it's -- why are we -- I feel like we're just getting in there and micro-managing the development at that point.

MS. GEUEA JONES: I think what we're saying is that the facility, as a whole, isn't just the one building, it also includes all these other ancillary buildings. The question is just do we want to include the word backup or not. Yeah. Commissioner Stanton?

MR. STANTON: From my wisdom having been on this Commission is you want to be clear and clean from the beginning because if you're not, they will -- people will try to find the gap. The reason why we're concerned with the -- where it says power generator, because that is different from the -- from how you're generating power to your data center versus a backup generator. What -- what the e-mail we got, I think, is concerned with a backup generator. So that's why we want it clear in the definition from the beginning, because if you don't, those who are trying to abuse this definition will take it to the lawyers, and dig through all of this. We can go from the beginning and be clear from the beginning -- very clear. Backup generator is not the same as power generators. That's different. So I don't think that word will muddy it up. You'll thank me later, young man.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Go ahead, Commissioner Darr.

MR. DARR: So we're saying a facility can have a backup generator, but they can't have their own power generator?

MS. GEUEA JONES: We're not saying this facility can --

MR. DARR: That's what you're adding the backup in front of power generator, so you're saying the facility may also include air handlers, backup power generators. That insinuates that they are not allowed to have their own generator.

MS. GEUEA JONES: No. What it's saying is that the term facility --

MR. STANTON: May also include --

MS. GEUEA JONES: -- includes all of these items.

MR. STANTON: -- air handlers, power generators.

MS. GEUEA JONES: It's not -- it's not saying what they can or cannot have. We're not -- we're going to have to get a site specific plan for everybody right now. We're just saying the definition of facility

includes all of these other -- the word appliances is what I'm thinking, but that's not it.

MR. STANTON: Components.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Components. Thank you. Does that make sense? So we're not saying that they can or cannot have right now.

MR. DARR: Okay.

MS. GEUEA JONES: We're just saying what's considered part of the facility. And I -- I think that it's probably over-complicating it at this point because we're just talking about the definition. I don't care if we include the word backup or not. We're just talking about what's included in the definition of facility, not what is allowed on the property.

MR. DARR: Okay.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Commissioner Wilson, and then I think legal has something for us.

MS. WILSON: I just wanted to ask if we could please open it up to public comment so we could understand what --

MS. GEUEA JONES: That's a very good point. That's a very good point. Thank you, Commissioner Wilson. Legal do you want to --

MR. CRAIG: I just wanted to say, if you -- if you decide to add backup power generators, I mean, adding the word backup in front of power generators would exclude power -- you know what I mean? If you add back a power generator, it would to add the inclusion of power generators

MS. GEUEA JONES: Yeah. Yeah. I understand.

MR. CRAIG: It would be to add the inclusion of power generators. So I just wanted to get that -- the consequence of doing that.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Yeah. yeah.

MR. CRAIG: So if -- if -- I think if that there's a path that this Commission goes down, you -- why not spell out both, power generators and backup power generators.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Perfect. Thank you.

MR. CRAIG: Yeah.

MS. GEUEA JONES: We'll close staff questions, which turned into Commissioner comment. Thank you, Commissioner Wilson, for getting us back on track, and open before the public comment.

#### **OPEN PUBLIC HEARING**

MS. GEUEA JONES: Who would like to approach first? State your name and address for the record. Three minutes for individuals, six minutes for folks representing a group.

MR. MURPHY: Hi. My name is Dan Murphy, so you have a face to the email. I'm a Columbia resident, and been a long-time member of MRCC or Missouri Real Crisis Center.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Oh, I'm sorry. Could you state your address?

MR. MURPHY: Oh, I'm sorry. 707 Mount Vernon.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you.

MR. MURPHY: And I'm also on the Board of Missouri Rural Action. And I've been texting back

with our staff at MRCC just because this conversation is so productive, and I want to say I appreciate everybody reading the e-mail. That was basically what I came to say to the Board here, and I think it's really important. I don't recall the exact term you used, but I do think we need to take -- do really serious diligence and any consideration with any kind of data center coming here. In the City Council meeting, I mean, it was a tied vote whether that property would be zoned light industrial. And we don't know for sure if that would have become a data center, but it -- but it very well could have. And so I just want to emphasize from personally my point of view and also my organization that we appreciate really thought -- your thoughtful address of this issue. Thank you very much.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you. Any questions for Mr. Murphy? Seeing none. Thank you very much for being here.

MR. MURPHY: All right. Thank you.

MS. GEUEA JONES: I'm sorry. Commissioner Darr?

MR. DARR: So -- what -- were you -- what was your concern with power -- with data centers having their power generators or backup generators?

MR. MURPHY: My understanding -- not so much backup generators. If there's -- you know, if there's a power outage and they need a backup generator, it's them creating their own -- their own generators, their own power, and that's came about, basically, because, like, in Memphis, they -- now that's a big data center, but they're using their own power, and it's created a lot of problems with the diesel and the fumes in the -- in the basic area, as well as, you know, just the harm that that can cause when it's not regulated.

MR. DARR: So you would be okay with the power generator that was regulated?

MR. MURPHY: Well, I don't know. If it's -- if they're running it, I don't know. I don't know. I -- to be honest, they asked me to come here and read my state -- read that statement. I'm not the expert on it. We do have staff now that is dedicated to this issue because it's so important to us.

MR. DARR: Thank you.

MR. MURPHY: Okay.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Mr. Murphy, again, I'm sorry. I know that you're not -- as you just said, you're not the expert. The definition that we're talking about tonight doesn't permit necessarily them to have these things. It's just talking about what's included.

MR. MURPHY: Right. Right. That's my understanding.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Okay.

MR. MURPHY: Yeah.

MS. GEUEA JONES: So your concern isn't what's included in the definition of data center, your concern is that a potential data center may be allowed to run a generator full time unregulated?

MR. MURPHY: Right.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Okay. Perfect. I really hope and I know because I know -- I know the folks over there, and they're very diligent. I really hope MRCC stays involved as we go through the use of

specific standards portion of this, because I think that's where the bigger concern is on what's actually allowed, not what we're describing. Am I correct in that?

MR. MURPHY: You're very correct.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Okay.

MR. MURPHY: Since I've been a board member, we have -- our issues continue to change. COVID was a big issue for us. Helping the community with COVID, and now data centers have suddenly popped up and we have dedicated staff to that right now. So we're trying to address all the -- a lot of issues, you know, as they come up.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Yeah.

MR. MURPHY: And I appreciate your support.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you. Commissioner Stockton?

MS. STOCKTON: I'm just curious, Dan. Are you all working on this on a statewide level, as well?

MR. MURPHY: Yes, we are.

MS. STOCKTON: Okay. Just checking.

MR. MURPHY: Yeah.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Any further? Seeing none. Thank you very much, once again.

MR. MURPHY: Sure.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Anyone else to speak on this case, please come forward.

MR. LAND: Hello, Commissioners. My name is Paul Land, and business address, 2501 Bernadette. Bear with me a moment. These new glasses have hearing aids in them. I start getting -- they are pretty cool. Huh? I mean, better than data centers. But I start getting feedback, I'll take them off and look at you blindly. Okay? So -- but I want to hear your comments. I appreciate the efforts that the staff has done. I really think we need to put this in a conditional use. The conditional use gives you absolute control. Even -- even if you don't adapt specific use standards, it gives you absolute control on a site-by-site, and case-by-case basis. My purpose for being here tonight is you need the ability to have a property rezoned without that discussion. That discussion completely derailed not -- it is okay to bring it up, but it derailed an important site which we'll have to come back with, and we can go through an IG zoning without that discussion if we had to come back for a data center, which I don't think is likely, it would require us coming back through this again. As painful as that is each time, we don't want to come here twice. It's a very costly exercise to come and rezone a property, and there's no reward for it if you -- if you lose that. I liked the discussion tonight. I -- I don't -- I can't tell you about a backup versus a power generator, but I think you could merge it and call it a regulated power generator, and a regulated backup generator, and that would satisfy your -- your definitions for tonight. But the most important thing is, I'd like to move this on because we need -- we need more opportunities in this community for industrial development. We need that kind of employment base. And by separating this into a conditional use, it allows us to have that flexibility on rezoning. Thank you.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you.

MR. LAND: How was the feedback?

MS. GEUEA JONES: It wasn't there at all. It was beautiful.

MR. LAND: Not too bad? Okay.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Any questions for Mr. Land? Seeing none. Thank you for being here tonight. Anyone else to speak on this case, please come forward. Seeing none. We will close public comment.

### **PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED**

MS. GEUEA JONES: Any -- Commissioner Stanton, go ahead, and then Commissioner Brodsky.

MR. STANTON: I really want to grill Mr. Land, but his presentation was so solid, I didn't have -- I couldn't even say anything. I think he breaks up a great point that, yeah, we're getting ahead of this, and adding clarity to this will help future endeavors, future businesses, and all that good stuff.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Anyone else? Commissioner Brodsky?

MR. BRODSKY: Yeah. When we had that rezoning for that parcel of land to IG several months back, I made the comment that I thought that we really needed to get out ahead of this issue so that we were prepared as a community when we did get a -- a bonafide data center proposal. I didn't know what mechanism that would take, but I think this is the perfect mechanism for that to take, for us to kind of give it a pause. If we do get one, we will have a lot of control and say over what that looks like, and in the meantime, we can develop some standards for, you know, if we do have data centers come to town, what that would look like. And then also to Mr. Land's point, it allows us to freely rezone IG land as needed without it -- the waters getting muddied with a data center. So I'm fully in support of this. The backup power, I kind of agree with legal's comment, you know, power generators necessarily encompasses backup power generators, and I think that conversation is probably left -- better left to when we actually go through the process of discussing the performance standards.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Anyone else? Commissioner Ortiz?

MS. ORTIZ: I -- okay. I don't know, like, a lot about data centers, so I just want to put that out there. But I'm -- I'm -- staff was receptive to adding backup, and I generally support what -- but staff was being supportive. I have it in front of me, that other cities have included backup in their definitions. And I don't want there to be loopholes. So I would support adding backup and whatever legal things will close that -- that loophole.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Anyone else? I would just say I -- I think we will actually create a loophole --

MS. ORTIZ: By --

MS. GEUEA JONES: -- by adding backup because this isn't -- this isn't what's allowed. This is what is included when we say the word "facility." So that needs to be broad, not narrow. When we get to what's allowed, we want that to be narrow. But we want to make sure that someone can't say, well, I did a data center, but I only had backup power there, so I didn't fall under this definition, or something like

that. So I -- I feel like the definition needs to be as broad as possible to encompass every kind of facility and every kind of utility infrastructure they may try to use, and then when we get to what's actually allowed in the property, that's where we want to say you can only use backup, it can only be this much, it can only have this much noise, it can only do this. Does that make sense?

MS. ORTIZ: That does make sense, but I also want it to be in public records that I -- that I brought this us, so if the City Council does get more information, they can make that decision, to amend it. I clearly am not, like --

MS. GEUEA JONES: Yeah. Yeah.

MS. ORTIZ: But, yeah. I appreciate it. Thank you.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Yeah. Yeah. And I don't feel super strongly one way or the other. I just -- I think the more specific the definition is, the more things get around it, and that's whole problem we're trying to solve. Anyone else? Commissioner Stockton?

MS. STOCKTON: I just wanted to thank the City and the staff for addressing this. I think it's important. It's not the first time you've had to add something, and it won't be the last. So I know it's not something you do every week, so we appreciate it.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Anyone else? If not, are we ready for a motion? Anyone like to make a motion? Commissioner Stockton -- Stanton? Sorry.

MR. STANTON: As it relates to Case 74-2026, Data Center's UDC text change, I move to approve the text change to Section 29-1.1, Section A, and 29-3.2 of the UDC.

MS. ORTIZ: Second.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you. Motion made by Commissioner Stanton, seconded by Commissioner Ortiz. Is there any further discussion on the motion? Seeing none. Commissioner Brodsky, may we have a roll call.

**Roll Call Vote (Voting "yes" is to recommend approval.) Voting Yes: Mr. Darr, Ms. Geuea Jones, Dr. Gray, Ms. Ortiz, Mr. Stanton, Ms. Stockton, Ms. Wilson, Mr. Brodsky. Motion carries 8-0.**

MR. BRODSKY: The motion carries.

MS. GEUEA JONES: Thank you. That recommendation will be forwarded to City Council. That concludes our cases for the evening.