## **Public Comment Form**

## **Manor Drive Traffic Calming**

We would appreciate hearing your thoughts complete mailing address, and sign your co		rite legibly, incl	ude your
Public City o PO Bo	ew Briedwell c Works Department f Columbia ox 6015 nbia, MO 65205		
			ubject line and
[♥] I support traffic calming	g being installed on Manor L	r.	
Traffic Calming wouldn	't bother me if it were impler	nented.	
I do NOT support traffic calming being installed on Manor Dr.			
We are accepting comments	until July 1, 2022. Thank y	ou for your inp	<u>ut!</u>
Comments: Speed tables	Seem like the in	ght This	ng.
(4.	N.	1	1
In a perfect world,	hon about	Laking o	ut the
considered a lake rout	lding larger pat	ts Cover	al schools
lows Stops, and kin	an. 3 Park /s	et's 00	bod and
calm haffir by making	it easfor to wa	16, b. Red u	he bus.
There is more space on the back of this form.	,		
** The personal information requested belo	yw is not required and could	be made availab	le to the public.
Signature:			
Jackson Hotaling	Jack Son (a	) warng un	Sour org
ZIY St. Joseph St. #202	2 Columbia	MO	65201
Mailing Address	City	State	Zip



## Fwd: [PubW]: Manor Drive Traffic Calming

1 message

**Dana Sample** <Dana.Sample@como.gov>
To: Andrew Briedwell <andrew.briedwell@como.gov>

Thu, Jun 16, 2022 at 7:57 AM

Andrew,

Please see the comments regarding Manor Drive.

Thank you,

Dana Sample, CTA
Sr. Administrative Support Assistant / HR Liaison
City of Columbia, Public Works Department
701 E Broadway, 3rd Floor
Columbia, MO 65201
573-874-2489
Dana.Sample@como.gov

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Melissa Tague <mtague00@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Jun 16, 2022 at 7:29 AM

Subject: [PubW]: Manor Drive Traffic Calming

To: <pub/>pubw@como.gov>

Melissa Tague 207 Manor Dr Columbia MO 65203-1733

I attended the meeting on 6/13/2022 in City Hall Conference Rm 1A for Interested Parties for Manor Dr. Traffic Calming.

I would like to commend the city for their follow up policy/procedure that they have instituted.

That a project would be revisited to review the progress made, what changes that have occurred: whether positive or negative. Then ask for public comment of those residents that are directly affected by the project. This is a great improvement over being a complaint driven process of change.

The meeting was informative. I liked seeing the data and the diagrams/photos of the area of concern. Great graphics to show the specific areas of concern.

The staff presenting it were great in explaining the process of review that led to the meeting and presenting the subsequent possible options to choose from.

I think that the original traffic calming items added to the street (3 bulb outs and speed bumps) when the sidewalk was installed have had great effect in reducing the traffic speed on Manor compared to what it was before the sidewalk was installed.

These traffic calming things operated as desired.

But you can't change human nature and the higher speeds seen are part of the human nature behavior issue of driving fast on a straight, wide, open road way. The design of the street from the 1950/1960s planning process is part of what makes people feel free to speed along.

And you can't stop certain neighbors that live on the street from speeding! Or the drive through folks either!

Of the options to check off on the Public Comment Form I think I choose all 3. I support traffic calming being installed, and it wouldn't bother me if it were implemented, and I do NOT (rather, see no need to add because it is way better than it was) support traffic calming being installed.

As a tongue in cheek idea: do a prototype/experiment to mimic a 2 lane angled slow point, by parking cars/trucks/trailer/barricades to create the semblance of the areas where traffic calming would possibly be installed and then look at data to see if that would make any change in the speeds. Low cost for installation and easy removal if not desired, just drive away the vehicles. The cost would be in the data collection and analysis.

My science background always loves a good experiment!

Again a great process of review that the city is now using over the complaint driven process. And I think there is no necessity for additional traffic calming installation.

Thanks for looking at the traffic issue on my street.

--

**Melissa Tague**